### 2006 VIGRE REU Paper

#### Matthew Bruce

September 13, 2006

# 1 The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra - Proof 1 (Topological)

#### **Definition 1.** Winding Number

The winding number of a curve,  $\lambda$  with respect to a point  $z_0$  is the number of times  $\lambda$  goes around  $z_0$  in a counter clockwise direction.

#### Property 1. The Fellow Traveler Property

Suppose that two functions, f(x), and g(x), are such that  $|f(x) - g(x)| < \epsilon$  for some  $\epsilon$  and  $\forall x$  on some circle of radius r,  $C_r$ , with  $\epsilon < r$ . Then  $f(C_r)$  and  $g(C_r)$  have the same winding number.

#### Theorem 1. The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \ldots + a_0$ . We can assume without loss of generality that  $a_n = 1$ . Furthermore, we can assume that  $a_0 \neq 0$  otherwise z = 0 would be a root. Now, f(z) is a continuous complex polynomial, mapping  $\mathbb{C} \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . We also know that

$$\lim_{z \to \infty} \frac{z^n}{f(z)} = 1$$

and so for a circle with sufficiently large radius  $r(C_r)$ , we have

$$|z^n - f(z)| \le \alpha r^n$$

with  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and z on the circle,  $C_r$ .

For any r > 0,  $z^n$  winds  $C_r$  around the origin n times. Therefore, by the fellow traveler property f(z) will also wind a sufficiently large  $C_r$ , n times around the origin. For a sufficiently small radius r around the origin, f(z) is approximately equal to  $a_0$  and will not wind around the origin at all. Since f(z) is continuous,  $f(C_r)$  will depend on r continuously. Since  $f(C_r)$  has winding number of 0 for sufficiently small r, and winding number of n for sufficiently large r, it follows that there exists a radius, say  $r_1$ , such that  $f(C_{r_1})$  passes through the origin. Thus,  $\exists z_1$  on  $C_{r_1}$  such that  $f(z_1) = 0$ . This proves the theorem.

## 2 The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra - Proof 2 (Analytic)

**Lemma 1.** The absolute value of a continuous complex function has a minimum value. Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ . Then |f(x)| has a minimum value at some point  $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that  $|f(x)| \to \infty$  as  $|x| \to \infty$ . Thus, for r sufficiently large, the greatest lower bound of |f(x)| on the disk  $x \le r$  is also the greatest lower bound of  $|f(x)| \forall x \in \mathbb{C}$ . Thus, |f(x)| is a continuous function on a closed and bounded set (compact), and hence attains its minimum value.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ . Then, for any  $x_0$ , if  $f(x_0) \neq 0$ , then  $|f(x_0)|$  is not a minimum value of |f(x)|.

*Proof.* Suppose f(x) is a nonconstant complex polynomial and suppose  $x_0$  is a point such that  $f(x_0) \neq 0$ . Now make the change of variable  $x = x + x_0$ . Thus, we may assume that  $f(0) \neq 0$ . Now multiply f(x) by  $f(0)^{-1}$  so that we have f(0) = 1. Now, it suffices to show that 1 is not the minimum value of |f(x)|. Let k be the largest non-zero power of x. Then f(x) is of the form:

$$f(x) = a_k x^k + \ldots + 1$$

Now let  $\alpha$  be the k-th complex root of  $-a^{-1}$ . Now make the change of variable  $\alpha x$  for x and our equation takes the form

$$f(x) = 1 - x^k + x^{k+1}g(x)$$

for some function g(x). Now, using the triangle inequality, for small positive real x we have

$$|f(x)| \le |1 - x^k| + x^{k+1}|g(x)|.$$

Since x is sufficiently small, we have  $x_k < 1$  thus:

$$|f(x)| = 1 - x^k + x^{k+1}|q(x)| = 1 - x^k(1 - x|q(x)|).$$

Thus, for small x, xg(x) is small, thus  $x_0$  can be chosen such that  $x_0|g(x_0)| < 1$ . Thus  $x_0^k(1-x_0|g(x_0)|) > 0$ , thus  $|f(x_0)| < 1 = f(0)$  completing the proof.

**Theorem 2.** The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra If  $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ , and f(x) is not constant, then f(x) has at least one complex root.

*Proof.* Suppose f(x) is a nonconstant complex polynomial. Then we know that there is at least one point  $x_0$  where f(x) attains its minimum (lemma 1). We know that  $|f(x_0)| = 0$  by lemma 2, thus  $f(x_0) = 0$ , which completes the proof.

### 3 The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra - Proof 3

**Lemma 3.** Any odd-degree polynomial must have a real root.

*Proof.* Let  $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  with degree f(x) = 2k + 1 for some k. Suppose further (without loss of generality) that the leading coefficient,  $a_n > 0$ . Then the following limits are true:

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} f(x) = \infty$$

$$\lim_{x \to -\infty} f(x) = -\infty.$$

Thus, there exists some sufficiently large  $x_0$  such that  $f(x_0) > 0$ , and there exists some sufficiently large  $x_1$  such that  $f(-x_1) < 0$ . Thus, since f(x) is a polynomial and hence continuous, there exists some  $x_2$  such that  $f(x_2) = 0$  by the intermediate value theorem.

Lemma 4. Any two-degree complex polynomial must have a complex root.

*Proof.* This lemma is a consequence of the quadratic formula. Given  $f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$  the two roots are:

$$x_0 = \frac{-b + \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}, x_1 = \frac{-b - \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}.$$

We know both of these exist as all complex numbers have square roots. However, if  $b^2 = 4ac$  then the two roots will be the same.

**Definition 2.** Splitting Field If  $0 \neq f(x) \in F[x]$  and G is an extension field of F, then f(x) splits in G, if f(x) factors into linear factors in G[x]. This means that all the roots of f(x) belong to G.

Lemma 5. Any nonconstant real polynomial has a complex root.

*Proof.* Let  $f(x) = a_0 + \cdots + a_n x^n \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $n \geq 1, a_n \neq 0$ . Then let us perform induction on the degree n of f(x).

Suppose  $n = 2^m a$ , where a is odd. Now let us do induction on m. If m = 0, then f(x) has odd degree and by the lemma 3 has a root. Now let us assume that the theorem is true for all degrees  $d = 2^k b$  where k < m and b is odd. Now assume that the degree of f(x) is  $n = 2^m a$ .

Let G be the splitting field for f(x) over  $\mathbb{R}$  which contains the roots  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ . To prove the theorem we will show that at least one  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Fix an integer  $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and construct the polynomial:

$$H(x) = \prod_{i < j} (x - (\alpha_i + \alpha_j + h\alpha_i\alpha_j)).$$

This polynomial belongs to G[x]. The number of pairs of alpha's for any given  $n = 2^m a$  is given by

$$\frac{(2^ma)(2m^a-1)}{2}=2^{m-1}a(2^ma-1)=2^{m-1}b$$

for some odd b. Thus, the degree of H(x) is  $2^{m-1}b$ .

Now, H(x) is a symmetric polynomial in  $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_n$ . Since the alphas are roots of a real polynomial (f(x)), and H(x) is symmetric in the splitting field, H(x) must be a real polynomial.

Therefore,  $H(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  with degree  $2^{m-1}b$ . By the inductive hypothesis H(x) has a complex root. Thus, there exists a pair of alphas such that

$$\alpha_i + \alpha_j + h\alpha_i\alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Now, since h was arbitrary, we can specify some  $h_1$  and get alphas such that:

$$\alpha_i + \alpha_j + h_1 \alpha_i \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Since there are only finitely many pairs of alphas, it follows that there must be at least two numbers,  $h_1$ , and  $h_2$ , such that

$$z_1 = \alpha_i + \alpha_j + h_1 \alpha_i \alpha_j$$
 and  $z_2 = \alpha_i + \alpha_j + h_2 \alpha_i \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Now,  $z_1 - z_2 = (h_1 - h_2)(\alpha_i \alpha_j) \in \mathbb{C}$ . Thus, as  $h_1$ ,  $h_2$  are in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , we know that  $\alpha_i \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$ . Thus  $h_1 \alpha_i \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$ , and further  $\alpha_i + \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$ . Now, let a new polynomial, p(x) be as follows:

$$p(x) = (x - \alpha_i)(x - \alpha_j) = x^2 - (\alpha_i + \alpha_j)x + \alpha_i\alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}[x].$$

Thus, p(x) is a degree-two complex polynomial and thus its roots are complex (previous lemma). Thus, f(x) has a complex root.

**Lemma 6.** If every nonconstant real polynomial has a complex root, then every nonconstant complex polynomial has a complex root.

Proof. Let  $p(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  be a nonconstant complex polynomial. Now assume that every nonconstant real polynomial has a complex root. Let  $h(x) = p(x)\overline{p}(x)$ . Now  $h(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  and thus has a complex root,  $x_0$ . Thus,  $p(x_0)\overline{p}(x_0) = 0$ , and thus either  $p(x_0) = 0$ , or  $\overline{p}(x_0) = 0$  (as  $\mathbb{C}$  does not have zero divisors). Thus, either  $x_0$  is a root of p(x), or  $\overline{p}(x_0) = \overline{p}(\overline{x_0}) = p(\overline{x_0}) = 0$ . Thus  $p(\overline{x_0})$  is a root of p(x).

**Theorem 3.** The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra If  $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ , and f(x) is not constant, then f(x) has at least one complex root.

*Proof.* Suppose f(x) is a nonconstant complex polynomial. Then by lemmas 5 and 6 since all real polynomials have real roots, and thus all complex polynomials do, f(x) has a complex root. This proves the theorem.