# ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF THE NUMBER OF PRIME PARTITIONS

#### S. CONSTANTIN

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A prime partition of a positive integer n is a collection of positive integers  $\{a_1, a_2, ..., a_k\}$ , not necessarily distinct, so that every  $a_i$  is either 1 or a prime, and  $a_1 + a_2 + ... + a_k = n$ . The number of different prime partitions (with the same terms in different orders counted as equivalent) is denoted q(n).

## Theorem 1.

$$\ln q(n) = \theta\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}}\right)$$

## 2. A LOWER BOUND

## Proposition 2.

$$\ln q(n) \ge 2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}}$$

Proof. One specific kind of prime partition of n is the kind in which all primes are distinct and less than x(n), where x(n) is the largest prime such that  $2 + 3 + 7 + \cdots + x \leq n$ . Call this particular prime partition a "simple prime partition." The number of simple prime partitions is less than q(n), so an approximation would yield a lower bound for q(n). There are exactly  $2^{\pi(x(n))}$  such prime partitions, where  $\pi(x(n))$ is the number of primes less than or equal to x(n). This is because every prime partition whose primes are all distinct and less than x(n)is formed by taking a subset of the primes from 2 to x(n), and adding enough ones to make the total sum equal to n. Now  $\ln(2^{\pi(x(n))}) = \theta(\pi(x(n)))$  so it is enough to show  $\pi(x(n)) = \theta(\sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}})$ .

Lemma 3.

$$\sum_{p \le x} p \sim \frac{x^2}{2\ln x}$$

*Proof.* By a consequence of the Prime Number Theorem, the nth prime  $p_n \sim n \ln n$ .

$$\sum_{p \le x} p \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\pi(x)} n \ln n = 1 \ln 1 + 2 \ln 2 + \dots \pi(x) \ln \pi(x).$$

We also know by the Prime Number Theorem that  $\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\ln x}$ . So

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\pi(x)} n \ln n \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\frac{x}{\ln x}} n \ln n.$$

For large x, this approaches

$$\int_{1}^{\frac{x}{\ln x}} n \ln n \, dn = \frac{\frac{x^2}{(\ln x)^2} \ln(\frac{x}{\ln x})}{2} - \frac{x^2}{4(\ln x)^2} + \frac{1}{4} \sim \frac{x^2}{2\ln x} - \frac{x^2}{4(\ln x)^2} \sim \frac{x^2}{2\ln x} - \frac{x^2}{2\ln x} = \frac{x^2}{2$$

Now use this identity to show that  $\pi(x(n)) \sim 2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}}$ . It is true that  $x(n) \sim \sqrt{2n \ln n}$  since composing this function with  $\frac{x^2}{2\ln x}$  we get

$$\frac{\sqrt{2n\ln n^2}}{2\ln\sqrt{2n\ln n}} = \frac{2n\ln n}{2\ln(n\ln n))} \sim n.$$

Substituting, we get

$$\pi(x(n)) \sim \pi(\sqrt{2n\ln n})$$
$$\pi(x(n)) \sim \frac{\sqrt{2n\ln n}}{\ln\sqrt{2n\ln n}} = 2 \frac{\sqrt{2n\ln n}}{\ln 2 + \ln n + \ln\ln n}$$
$$\sim 2\frac{\sqrt{2n\ln n}}{\ln n} \sim 2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}}$$

#### 3. An Upper Bound

**Proposition 4.** 

$$q(n) \le \sqrt{2} \ln\left(\frac{5e}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}}$$

*Proof.* Here is one way to construct an upper bound for q(n): Choose n primes, with repetition permitted, of the primes between 0 and x(n). Add the number of such choices to the number of choices of  $\frac{n}{x(n)}$  primes between 0 and 2x(n), then choices of  $\frac{n}{2x(n)}$  primes between 0 and 4x(n), and continue, multiplying these quantities until we reach a power of two for which  $2^k x(n) > n$ . The number of primes chosen within each block

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

 $[2^k x(n), 2^{k+1} x(n)]$  is  $\frac{n}{2^k x(n)}$  because if every prime is greater than  $2^k$ , then any sum of more than  $\frac{n}{2^k x(n)}$  will automatically be too large to be a partition of n.

What is the highest allowable power of two? If

$$2^k \sqrt{2n \ln n} = n$$

then

$$k = \frac{\ln(\frac{n}{\sqrt{2n\ln n}})}{\ln 2} \sim \frac{\ln n}{2\ln 2}.$$

So, using the fact that the number of ways to choose k objects at a time from n is  $\binom{n+k-1}{k}$ , we can write a product expression for this upper bound.

$$q(n) \le \prod_{k=0}^{\frac{\ln n}{2\ln 2}} \left( \begin{array}{c} \pi(2^{k+1}x(n)) - \pi(2^k x(n)) + \frac{n}{2^k x(n)} - 1 \\ \frac{n}{2^k x(n)} \end{array} \right)$$

Use the fact (which will be proven in 5) that

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}n\\k\end{array}\right) < \left(\frac{en}{k}\right)^k.$$

If we let  $z = 2^k x(n)$ , this yields

$$q(n) \le \prod_{k=0}^{\frac{\ln n}{2\ln 2}} e^{\frac{n}{z}} (\frac{z^2}{n\ln z} + 1 - \frac{z}{n})^{\frac{n}{z}}$$

Note that  $\frac{n}{z} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}}$  and that  $\frac{z}{\ln z} \sim 2^{k+2} \frac{n}{z}$ . So the kth term of the product is asymptotically equal to

$$\left(\frac{5e(\sqrt{\frac{n}{2\ln n}})}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^k}\sqrt{\frac{n}{2\ln n}}}$$

Now let y be  $\sqrt{\frac{n}{2 \ln n}}$ . This makes the kth term of the product equal to

$$= \left(\frac{5e(\sqrt{\frac{n}{2\ln n}})}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^k}\sqrt{\frac{n}{2\ln n}}}$$
$$= \left(\frac{5ey}{\sqrt{2y}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^k}y}$$
$$= \left(\frac{5e}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^k}y}.$$

Now when we take the natural log of this, we should have a constant multiple of  $\sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}}.$  We see that

$$\ln \prod_{k=0}^{\frac{\ln n}{2\ln 2}} (\frac{5e}{\sqrt{2}})^{\frac{1}{2^k}\sqrt{\frac{n}{2\ln n}}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{\ln n}{2\ln 2}} \frac{1}{2^k} \sqrt{\frac{n}{2\ln n}} \ln \frac{5e}{\sqrt{2}}$$
$$\sim \sqrt{2} \ln \left(\frac{5e}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}}$$

4. PROOF OF AN UPPER BOUND OF THE BINOMIAL COEFFICIENT **Proposition 5.** 

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}n\\k\end{array}\right) < (\frac{ae}{b})^b$$

*Proof.* The Taylor expansion of  $e^x$  is

$$e^x = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} \frac{x^3}{3!} + \dots + \frac{x^k}{k!} + \dots$$

Therefore, for any individual k,

$$\frac{x^k}{k!} < e^x.$$

In particular, letting x = k,

$$\frac{k^k}{k!} < e^k$$

or

$$1 < \frac{ke^k}{k^k}$$

Now observe that

$$\frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-k+1)}{k!} \le \frac{n^k}{k!}.$$

Recalling that the previous expression was greater than one, we have

$$\binom{n}{k} < \frac{n^k}{k!} \frac{k! e^k}{k^k} = \left(\frac{ne}{k}\right)^k.$$

4

# 5. An Upper Bound for Q(N) using Generating Functions

Another way to estimate partitions is using generating functions. The Hardy-Ramanujan formula for p(n), the number of unrestricted prime partitions, was based on the fact that p(n) is the coefficient of  $x^n$  in the product

$$\Pi \frac{1}{1 - x^n}$$

where x is between 0 and 1. There is a similar generating function for q(n), that is,

$$\Pi \frac{1}{1 - x^p}$$

where p is a prime less than n and x is between 0 and 1. Expanding this sum it becomes clear that for every prime partition there is a corresponding contribution to the  $x^n$  term. Now note that

$$q(n) \le \frac{1}{x^n} \prod \frac{1}{1 - x^p}$$

since there are other terms in the product than the  $x^n$  term. So

$$\ln q(n) \le -\ln x + \sum_{p \le n} \frac{1}{1 - x^p}$$

And we claim this is  $\theta(\sqrt{\frac{x}{\ln x}})$ , so at least the first term must be of that order. This gives us a generous necessary value for x;

$$-n\ln x = \theta(\sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}})$$
$$x = e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n\ln n}}} \sim 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n\ln n}}.$$

Now it's left to calculate the sum, and in future we will keep

$$x = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n \ln n}}.$$

Now consider

$$\sum_{p \le n} \ln \frac{1}{1 - x^p}$$

We will prove that this is  $\theta(\sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}})$ , but we will not keep track of the actual constant factor, and the symbol ~ will be used to mean "asymptotically equivalent, possibly with a constant factor." Note that

$$\ln \frac{1}{x} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{n \ln n}}.$$

Also note that

$$\frac{1}{1-x} = \sqrt{n \ln n}$$
$$\ln \frac{1}{1-x} \sim \ln n.$$

We divide the sum into three cases:

Case 1 : 
$$p < \sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}}$$
  
Case 2 :  $\sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}} \le p \le \sqrt{n \ln n}$   
Case 3 :  $p > \sqrt{n \ln n}$ 

For simplicity, let

$$M_1 = \sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}}$$

and

$$M_2 = \sqrt{n \ln n}$$

Lemma 6 (Case 1).

$$\sum_{p \le M_1} \ln \frac{1}{1 - x^p} \sim \sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}}.$$

*Proof.* In this case,

$$p \le \sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}},$$

so since  $\frac{1}{1-x^p}$  is a decreasing function of p,

$$\sum_{p \le M_1} \ln \frac{1}{1 - x^p} \le \ln \frac{1}{1 - x^2} \pi(\sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}}).$$

Using the Prime number Theorem, this yields

$$(\ln \frac{1}{1-x} + \ln \frac{1}{1+x}) \frac{\sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}}}{\ln \sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}}}.$$

As n becomes large, x approaches 1, so  $\ln \frac{1}{1+x}$  approaches 0. Thus the previous expression is equivalent to

$$(\ln n + 0) \frac{\sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}}}{\ln \sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}}} \sim \ln x \frac{\sqrt{n}}{(\ln n)^{3/2}}$$
$$= \sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}}.$$

6

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

Lemma 7 (Case 2).

$$\sum_{p=M_1}^{M_2} \ln \frac{1}{1-x^p} \sim \sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}}.$$

*Proof.* We split this expression into a dyadic sum, breaking it up into powers of e and replacing it with

$$\sum_{k=\ln M_1}^{\ln M_2} \sum_{p=e^k}^{e^{k+1}} \ln \frac{1}{1-x^{e^p}}.$$

The expression inside the nested sum is a decreasing function of p, so the sum is less than its highest value multiplied by the number of terms:

$$\sum_{k=\ln M_1}^{\ln M_2} \ln \frac{1}{1-x^{e^k}} \pi(e^{k+1}).$$

Using the prime number theorem, this is asymptotically equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=\ln M_1}^{\ln M_2} \ln \frac{1}{1-x^{e^k}} \frac{e^{k+1}}{k+1}$$

Since k is at least  $\ln M_1$ , this sum is less than or equal to

$$\frac{1}{\ln M_1} \sum_{k=\ln M_1}^{\ln M_2} \ln \frac{1}{1 - x^{e^k}} e^{k+1}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\ln M_1} \sum_{k=\ln M_1}^{\ln M_2} \int_{k-1}^k \ln(\frac{1}{1 - e^{x^t}}) e^{t+2} dt$$

$$= \frac{e^2}{\ln M_1} \int_{\ln M_1}^{\ln M_2} \ln \frac{e^t}{1 - x^{e^t}} dt.$$

Computing this integral, we find it equal to a constant times

$$\frac{1}{\ln M_1} \int_{M_1}^{M_2} \ln \frac{1}{1 - x^u} du$$
$$= \frac{1}{\ln M_1} \frac{1}{\ln x} \int_{x_2^M}^{x_1^M} \ln \frac{1}{1 - v} \frac{dv}{v}$$
$$\sim \frac{1}{\ln n} * \sqrt{n \ln n} * \int_{x_2^M}^{x_2^M} \ln \frac{1}{1 - v} \frac{dv}{v}$$

But the integral is less than a constant. Because  $\ln(1-v)$  is close to zero for these values of v, and  $\frac{1}{v}$  is bounded since  $x^{M_2} \ge e^{-1}$ .so the entire expression is less than or equal to

$$\frac{1}{\ln n} * \sqrt{n \ln n} = \sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}}.$$

Lemma 8 (Case 3).

$$\sum_{p=M_2}^n \ln \frac{1}{1-x^p} \sim \sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}}.$$

*Proof.* Note that

$$\ln \frac{1}{1-x^p} \le \frac{x^p}{1-x^p}$$

since  $\ln \frac{1}{1-x^p} = \ln(1 + \frac{x^p}{1-x^p}) \le \frac{x^p}{1-x^p}$ . Again we construct a dyadic sum.

$$\sum_{p=M_2}^n \frac{x^p}{1-x^p} = \sum_{k=1+\ln M_2}^{\ln n} \sum_{p=k-1}^k \frac{x^{e^p}}{1-x^{e^p}}$$

This is a decreasing function of p, so the inner sum is less than its largest term times the number of terms:

$$\leq \sum_{k=1+\ln M_2}^{\ln n} \frac{x^{e^k}}{1-x^{e^k}} \pi(e^k)$$

Using the Prime Number Theorem, this is asymptotically equal to

$$\sum_{k=1+\ln M_2}^{\ln n} \frac{x^{e^k}}{1-x^{e^k}} \frac{e^k}{k}.$$

Since  $k \ge 1 + \ln M_2$ , the previous expression is less than if we divided by the largest possible value of k, so

$$\leq \frac{1}{1+\ln M_2} \sum_{k=1+\ln M_2}^{\ln n} \frac{x^{e^k}}{1-x^{e^k}} e^k$$

Now, what's inside the sum is a monotonically increasing function, so it is less than the integral:

$$\leq \frac{1}{1+\ln M_2} \int_{2+\ln M_2}^{1+\ln n} \frac{x^{e^t}}{1-x^{e^t}} e^t dt$$

We calculate by substitution:

$$\frac{1}{1+\ln M_2} \int_{e^2 M_2}^{e^n} \frac{x^u}{1-x^u} du$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{1+\ln M_2} * \frac{1}{\ln x} \int_{x^{e^2 M_2}}^{x^{e^n}} \frac{dy}{1-y}$   
 $\sim \frac{1}{1+\ln M_2} * \frac{1}{\ln x} \ln(\frac{1-x^{e^2 M_2}}{1-x^{e^n}})$   
 $\leq \frac{1}{1+\ln M_2} * \frac{1}{\ln x} \ln(1-x^{e^2 M_2})$   
 $\sim \sqrt{n \ln n} * \frac{1}{\ln n} \ln(1-x^{e^2 M_2})$   
 $\sim \sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}} \ln(1-x^{e^2 M_2}).$ 

Now  $\ln(1 - x^{e^2M_2})$  is bounded by a constant, since

$$x^{p} = (1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n \ln n}})^{\sqrt{n \ln n}} \frac{p}{\sqrt{n \ln n}} \sim (e^{-1})^{\frac{p}{\sqrt{n \ln n}}}$$

Therefore, the entire sum is less than or asymptotically equal to

$$\sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}} * C$$

so it's of the order of

$$\sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}}.$$

Since all three sections of the sum are of the order of  $\sqrt{\frac{n}{\ln n}}$ , the entire sum is of that order, and the upper bound is justified.

#### References

- [1] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1979.
- [2] I am very grateful to Prof. Laszlo Babai, for suggesting this problem and guiding my work; to Emma Smith, my graduate mentor, for her advice; and to Prof. Peter Constantin, for teaching me the technique of dyadic sums.