

# Geodesics: REU 2006

Brian Taylor

August 11, 2006

## Abstract

One of my main aims for the summer was to work into a subject with which I had no prior experience. This paper bears the fruits of that labor. I chose geodesics as a project because it's a particular aspect of geometry that I find interesting, and I believe that the theorem, while perhaps mainly useful as a tool for proving more complex theorems, is interesting itself. The aim of the paper is to introduce the reader to a few definitions, and move straight into the proof.

## 1 Definitions

**Affine Connection 1** An affine connection  $\nabla$  on a differentiable manifold  $M$  is a mapping

$$\nabla : X(M) \times X(M) \longrightarrow X(M)$$

which is denoted by  $(X, Y) \longrightarrow \nabla_X Y$  and which satisfies the following properties:

1.  $\nabla_{fX+gY} Z = f\nabla_X Z + g\nabla_Y Z$
2.  $\nabla_X(Y + Z) = \nabla_X Y + \nabla_X Z$
3.  $\nabla_X(fY) = f\nabla_X Y + X(f)Y$

in which  $X, Y, Z \in X(M)$  and  $f, g \in D(M)$  where  $X(M)$  is the set of all vector fields of class  $C^\infty$  on  $M$  and  $D(M)$  is the ring of real-valued functions of class  $C^\infty$  defined on  $M$ .

**Geodesic 1** A parametrized curve  $\gamma : I \longrightarrow M$  is a geodesic at  $t_0 \in I$  if  $\frac{D}{dt}(\frac{d\gamma}{dt}) = 0$  at the point  $t_0$ ; if  $\gamma$  is a geodesic at  $t$ , for all  $t \in I$ , we say that  $\gamma$  is a geodesic. If  $[a, b] \subset I$  and  $\gamma : I \longrightarrow M$  is a geodesic, the restriction of  $\gamma$  to  $[a, b]$  is called a geodesic segment joining  $\gamma(a)$  to  $\gamma(b)$ .

**Tangent Bundle 1** A tangent bundle  $TM$  on a manifold  $M$  is the set of pairs  $(q, v)$ ,  $q \in M, v \in T_q M$ . If  $(U, \mathbf{x})$  is a system of coordinates on  $M$ , then any vector in  $T_q M$ ,  $q \in \mathbf{x}(U)$ , can be written as  $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i})$

**Coderivative 1** We'll define coderivative by a proposition:

Let  $M$  be a differentiable manifold with an affine connection  $\nabla$ . There exists a unique correspondence which associates to a vector field  $V$  along the differentiable curve  $c : I \longrightarrow M$  another vector field  $\frac{DV}{dt}$  along  $c$ , called the covariant derivative of  $V$  along  $c$ , such that:

1.  $\frac{D}{dt}(V + W) = \frac{DV}{dt} + \frac{DW}{dt}$ , where  $W$  is a vector field along  $c$  and  $f$  is a differentiable function on  $I$ .
2.  $\frac{D}{dt}(fV) = \frac{df}{dt}V + f\frac{DV}{dt}$
3. If  $V$  is induced by a vector field  $Y \in X(M)$ , i.e.  $V(t) = Y(c(t))$ , then  $\frac{DV}{dt} = \nabla_{\frac{dc}{dt}} Y$ .

*Proof.* Let us suppose initially that there exists a correspondence satisfying items 1, 2, and 3 of the definition. Let  $\mathbf{x} : U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow M$  be a system of coordinates with  $c(I) \cap x(U) \neq \emptyset$  and let  $(x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))$  be the local expression of  $c(t)$ ,  $t \in I$ . Let  $X_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ . Then we can express the field  $V$  locally as  $V = \sum_j v^j X_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$  where  $v^j = v^j(t)$  and  $X_j = X_j c(t)$ . By properties 1 and 2, we have

$$\frac{DV}{dt} = \sum_j \frac{dv^j}{dt} X_j + \sum_j v^j \frac{DX_j}{dt}.$$

By property 3 and by the first property of the definition of affine connection,

$$\frac{DX_j}{dt} = \nabla_{\frac{dc}{dt}} X_j = \nabla_{\sum \frac{dx_i}{dt} X_i} X_j = \sum_i \frac{dx_i}{dt} \nabla_{X_i} X_j, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{DV}{dt} = \sum_j \frac{dv^j}{dt} X_j + \sum_{i,j} \frac{dx_i}{dt} v^j \nabla_{X_i} X_j.$$

The above expression shows that if there is a correspondence that satisfies the conditions given, then such a correspondence is unique. To show existence, define  $\frac{DV}{dt}$  in  $x(U)$  as above. If  $y(W)$  is another coordinate neighborhood, with  $y(W) \cap x(U) \neq \emptyset$  and we define  $\frac{DV}{dt}$  in  $y(W)$  as above, then the definitions agree in  $y(W) \cap x(U)$ , by the uniqueness of  $\frac{DV}{dt}$  in  $x(U)$ . It follows from this fact that the definition can be extended over all of  $M$ , and this concludes the proof.  $\square$

## 2 Theorems

**Proposition 1** *Given  $p \in M$ , there exist an open set  $V \subset M$ ,  $p \in V$ , numbers  $\delta > 0$  and  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  and a  $C^\infty$  mapping*

$$\gamma : (-\delta, \delta) \times U \rightarrow M, U = \{(q, v); q \in V, v \in T_q M, |v| < \varepsilon_1\}$$

*such that the curve  $t \rightarrow \gamma(t, q, v)$ ,  $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$  is the unique geodesic of  $M$  which, at the instant  $t=0$ , passes through  $q$  with velocity  $v$ , for each  $q \in V$  and for each  $v \in T_q M$  with  $|v| < \varepsilon_1$ .*

This proposition asserts that if  $|v| < \varepsilon_1$ , then the geodesic exists in some interval and is unique. Its proof follows from the following lemma.

**Lemma: Homogeneity of a geodesic 1** *If the geodesic  $\gamma(t, q, v)$  is defined on the interval  $(-\delta, \delta)$ , then the geodesic  $\gamma(t, q, av)$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a > 0$ , is defined on the interval  $(-\frac{\delta}{a}, \frac{\delta}{a})$  and*

$$\gamma(t, q, av) = \gamma(at, q, v).$$

*Proof.* Let  $h : (-\frac{\delta}{a}, \frac{\delta}{a}) \rightarrow M$  be a curve given by  $h(t) = \gamma(at, q, v)$ . Then  $h(0) = q$  and  $\frac{dh}{dt}(0) = av$ . In addition, since  $h'(t) = a\gamma'(at, q, v)$ ,

$$\frac{D}{dt}(\frac{dh}{dt}) = \nabla_{h'(t)} h'(t) = a^2 \nabla_{\gamma'(at, q, v)} \gamma'(at, q, v) = 0,$$

where, for the first equality, we extend  $h'(t)$  to a neighborhood of  $h(t)$  in  $M$ . Therefore,  $h$  is a geodesic passing through  $q$  with velocity  $av$  at the instant  $t = 0$ . By uniqueness,

$$h(t) = \gamma(at, q, v) = \gamma(t, q, av). \square$$

Proposition 1 with the above lemma allows us to extend the definition of a geodesic from that of an interval to a uniformly large neighborhood of  $p$ .

**Proposition 2** *Given  $p \in M$ , there exist a neighborhood  $V$  of  $p$  in  $M$ , a number  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  mapping  $\gamma : (-2, 2) \times \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow M, \mathcal{U} = \{(q, w) \in TM; q \in V, w \in T_q M, |w| < \varepsilon\}$  such that  $t \longmapsto \gamma(t, q, w), t \in (-2, 2)$ , is the unique geodesic of  $M$  which, at the instant  $t=0$ , passes through  $q$  with velocity  $w$ , for every  $q \in V$  and for every  $w \in T_q M$ , with  $|w| < \varepsilon$ .*

*Proof.* The geodesic  $\gamma(t, q, v)$  in Proposition 1 is defined for  $|t| < \delta$  and for  $|v| < \varepsilon_1$ . From the lemma of homogeneity,  $\gamma(t, q, \frac{\delta v}{2})$  is defined for  $|t| < 2$ . Taking  $\varepsilon < \frac{\delta \varepsilon_1}{2}$ , we obtain that the geodesic  $\gamma(t, q, w)$  is defined for  $|t| < 2$  and  $|w| < \varepsilon$ .  $\square$