

Breuil-Kisin twists (prismatic analog of Tate twists).

BK

Def. A BK module on $\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a vector bundle \mathcal{L} on Σ with a morphism $F^* \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ whose Coker is supported on $n \Delta_0$ for some n (i.e., an F -crystal which is a locally free finitely generated \mathcal{O} -module).

"Conventional" BK module: Replace (Σ, F, Δ_0) by $(\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p[[x]], p, D)$, where $p(x) = x^p$, $D = \text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p[[x]]/(x-p)$. One has a morphism $\alpha: (\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p[[x]], p) \rightarrow (\Sigma, F, \Delta_0)$; namely, α corresponds to $[x] - p \in W^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[x]])$. Get $\alpha^*: \{\text{BK modules}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Conventional BK modules}\}$.

Goal: define a BK module $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} \{-1\}$ analogous to $\mathbb{Z}_p(-1)$.

Remark. $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} \{1\}$ doesn't exist, and in the crystalline theory $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ doesn't exist. In the crystalline theory the role of $\Sigma = (\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p)^{\Delta}$ is played by $(\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p)^{\Delta} = \text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p$, and an F -crystal on $\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p$ is just a \mathbb{Z}_p -module equipped with a (geometric!) Frobenius endomorphism. $\mathbb{Z}_p(-1)$ is \mathbb{Z}_p with Frobenius acting by p . But $p^{-1} \notin \mathbb{Z}_p$.

$$n \geq 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} \{-n\} := (\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} \{-1\})^{\otimes n}.$$

If M is an F -crystal (e.g., a BK module) then $M \{-n\} := M \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} \{-n\}$ is called the BK twist of M (this name is used by Bhattacharya).

Pre-definition. $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} \{-1\} := \bigotimes_{n=0}^{\infty} (F^n)^* \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} (\Delta_0) = \bigotimes_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} (\Delta_n)$.

Key Fact (to be explained). Let \mathcal{L} be a line bundle on Σ with a trivialization of $p^* \mathcal{L}$, where $p: \text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \Sigma$ is the image of $p \in W^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Then $\bigotimes_{n=0}^{\infty} (F^n)^* \mathcal{L}$ makes sense as a line bundle (and behaves reasonably). Assuming "reasonable behavior", we get $F^* \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} \{-1\} = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} (F^n)^* \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} (\Delta_0) = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} (-\Delta_n)$. So we get $F^* \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} \{-1\} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} \{-1\}$ with $\text{Supp } \text{Coker } \Phi = \Delta_0$. So $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} \{-1\}$ is a BK module, as promised.

Motivation. Let $x \in \text{Pic } \Sigma$ be the class of $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} \{-1\}$. Want $F^* x = x - [\Delta_0]$, i.e., $(1 - F^*)x = [\Delta_0]$. Solution: $x = (1 + F^* + (F^*)^2 + \dots) [\Delta_0]$.

Lemma. $\text{Coker } \Phi = (\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} (\Delta_0))_{\Delta_0}$ (normal bundle of Δ_0).

Proof. It suffices to show that once $p^* \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} (\Delta_0)$ is trivialized then $[(F^n)^* \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} (\Delta_0)]|_{\Delta_0}$ is canonically trivial. Reason: commutativity of

(2)

the diagram $\Delta_0 \hookrightarrow \sum \xrightarrow{F^n} \sum \xrightarrow{P} Spt\mathbb{Z}_p$ for $n > 0$. To check com-

mutativity, we have to show that if $u \in W^\times$ then $F^n(Vu) = P \circ (\text{"canonical unit"})$. Indeed, $F^n(Vu) = P \circ F^{n-1}(u)$, $F^{n-1}(u) \in W^\times$. ■

To transform the pre-definition into a definition, I have to formulate and prove

The contracting property of $F: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$.

Let C be a category (e.g., a groupoid). Let $F: C \rightarrow C$ be a functor.

Def. $C^F := \{ (c, \alpha) \mid c \in C, \alpha: c \xrightarrow{\sim} F(c) \}$
 \uparrow category of F -fixed points.

Remark. The functor $C^F \rightarrow C$ is faithful but not fully faithful.
 $(\text{So } C^F \text{ is not a full subcategory of } \Sigma).$

Def. $C[F^{-1}] := \varinjlim (C \xrightarrow{F} \xrightarrow{F} \dots)$

Localization of C w.r.t. F (the universal way to make F category with one object invertible).

Exercise Def. $F: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ is contracting if $C[F^{-1}] = \text{point}$.

Exercise. If ~~$\exists F$~~ F is contracting then $C^F = \text{point}$.

Beginning of the proof. Let $c \in C$. Then c and $F(c)$ have isomorphic images in $C[F^{-1}]$. So $\exists n: F^n(c) \cong F^{n+1}(c)$. So $F(F^n(c)) \cong F(c)$.

So $C^F \neq \emptyset$. ~~(I could stop here.)~~

End of the proof. a) If C is a set ~~then~~ finishing the proof is easy.

b) In general, we have to show that if $c, c' \in C^F$ then $\text{Hom}_{C^F}(c, c') = \text{point}$. Let $S := \varinjlim_C (\text{Hom}_C(c, c'))$. We have $F: S \rightarrow S$

and $\text{Hom}_{C^F}(c, c') = S^F$. We know that $S[F^{-1}] = \varinjlim (S \xrightarrow{F} S \xrightarrow{F} \dots)$ is a point. So S^F is a point by a). ■

Remark. Without assuming that F is contracting, one probably has $C^F = (\varinjlim_{(FF)})^F C[F^{-1}]^F$.

Proposition. Let R be a (discrete) ring in which p is nilpotent. Then $F: \Sigma(R) \rightarrow \Sigma(R)$ is contracting. for ~~all~~ $R \in \text{Rings}_p$.

Corollary. $\Sigma^F = \text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p$. (The corresponding morphism $\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \Sigma$ is $p: \text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \Sigma$. Indeed, $\Sigma^F(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ has a single element).

Exercise. (i) The map " $\sum^F \rightarrow \sum$ " is not a monomorphism. (Not surprising).

(ii) It has "schematically dense image", i.e., if $\mathcal{Y} \subset \sum$ is a closed subscheme such that $\mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \ni p$ then $\mathcal{Y} = \sum$.

$$(ii) \Rightarrow H^0(\sum, \mathcal{O}_{\sum}) = \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

Let us explain the "key fact": if \mathcal{L} is a line bundle with $p^*\mathcal{L}$ trivialized then $\bigotimes_{n=0}^{\infty} (F^n)^* \mathcal{L}$ makes sense. Idea: $\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n$ makes sense if $x_0 \neq 0$ for $n > n_0$. We are in a slightly different situation (but familiar from automorphic form theory).

Construction. Let $R \in \text{Rings}_p$, $f: \text{Spec } R \rightarrow \sum$. Want to define

$\bigotimes_{n=0}^{\infty} f^*(F^n)^* \mathcal{L}$. Note: $f^*(F^n)^* = (F^n \circ f)^*$. Have $f \in \sum(R)$, $F: \sum(R) \rightarrow \sum(R)$, $F \circ f = F^n(f)$. Recall: $p^*\mathcal{L}$ is trivialized. So the Proposition tells us that $F^n(f) = p$ for $n \gg 0$. More precisely, $\exists l: F^l(f) \cong p$.

Choosing $\alpha_l: F^l(f) \cong p$, get $\alpha_n: F^n(f) \cong p$ for $n \geq l$.

A different choice of α_l gives the same α_n for $n \geq l'$. ■

Trivializing $p^*\mathcal{O}_{\sum}(-\Delta_0)$ (this is necessary to define $\mathcal{O}_{\sum}(-1)$).

$\Delta_0 \subset \sum$ is defined by $\xi_0 = 0$. So $p^*\Delta_0 \subset \text{Spt } \mathbb{Z}_p$ is defined by $p = 0$.

So $p^*\mathcal{O}_{\sum}(-\Delta_0)$ is the ideal $p\mathbb{Z}_p \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$. As a generator of $p\mathbb{Z}_p$, choose p .

On $\text{Pic } \sum$.

Have $F^*: \text{Pic } \sum \rightarrow \text{Pic } \sum$. (There is no F_* because $F: \sum \rightarrow \sum$ is not finite.) So $\text{Pic } \sum$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[F^*]$ -module.

Fact. This module is I -adically complete, where $I = (p, F^*)$. So $\text{Pic } \sum$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_p[[F^*]]$ -module.

Question Is it freely generated by

(5) (6) (7)

Conjecture. $\mathrm{Pic} \Sigma$ is the free $\mathbb{Z}_p[[F^*]]$ -module generated by $O_\Sigma(\Delta_0)$.

Remark. There is no $F_* : \mathrm{Pic} \bar{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic} \Sigma$. Reason: F is not finite. (F is ~~ind-finite = integral, F is also flat.~~)
~~invertible BK module~~

Exercise. An F -crystal on $\mathrm{Spf} \mathbb{Z}_p$ which is an invertible O_Σ -module is isomorphic to $O_\Sigma\{-n\}$ for some $n \geq 0$.

Proof of the Proposition on p. 33.

Claim. Let $R \in \mathrm{Rings}_{\mathrm{sp}}$. Then $F : \Sigma(R) \rightarrow \Sigma(R)$ is contracting, i.e.,
 $\varinjlim (\Sigma(R) \xrightarrow{F} \Sigma(R) \xrightarrow{F} \dots) = \text{point}$.

① At the level of objects: $\forall x \in \Sigma(R) \exists n : F^n(x) \cong p$. ~~for some $u \in W(R)^\times$.~~
 $\Sigma := W^{(1)}/W^\times$, so it suffices to show that $\forall \xi \in W^{(1)}(R) \exists n : F^n \xi = pu$
 $\xi = \sum_i V^i(\xi_i) \cdot \xi_i \in R$, ξ_0 nilpotent, $\xi_1 \in R^\times$. So $\xi = [\xi_0] + Vy$, $y \in W(R)^\times$.
Then $F^n \xi = [F^n \xi_0] + p F^{n-1} y$. $n \gg 0 \Rightarrow F^n \xi_0 = 0$, $F^n \xi = pu$, $u = F^{n-1} y \in W(R)^\times$.

② It remains to study $F : \mathrm{Aut} p \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut} p$, where $\mathrm{Aut} p := \{u \in W(R)^\times \mid pu = p\}$.
Want: if $u \in W(R)^\times$, $pu = p$ then $\exists n : F^n u = 1$.

Claim. $F^n(u-1) \in W(p^n R) = \mathrm{Ker}(W(R) \rightarrow W(R/p^n R))$.

This is enough because $p \in R$ is nilpotent. Proof by induction on n :

Case $n=1$. $p(u-1) = 0$, so $FV(u-1) = 0$. In $W(R/pR)$ we have

$FV = VF$, so $VF(u-1) = 0$, so $F(u-1) = 0$.

Induction step. Suppose $F^n(u-1) \in W(p^n R)$. Then $VF^{n+1}(u-1) = V(1) \cdot F^n(u-1) = (V(1)-p) \cdot F^n(u-1)$ because $p(u-1) = 0$. But
 $V(1)-p \in W(pR)$, $F^n(u-1) \in W(p^n R)$, $W(pR) \cdot W(p^n R) \subset W(p^{n+1} R)$.

So $F^{n+1}(u-1) \in W(p^{n+1} R)$. ■

Just in case: if $i \leq j$ then $V^i[a] \cdot V^j[b] = V^i([a] \cdot F^i V^j[b]) =$
 $= p^i V^j[a b^{p^{j-i}}]$.