

## Quasi-ideals.

Def. A quasi-ideal in a ring  $C$  is  $(I, d: I \rightarrow C)$ , where  $I$  is a  $C$ -module,  $d$  is  $C$ -linear,  $x dy = y dx$  for  $x, y \in I$ .

Example. A quasi-ideal with  $\text{Ker } d = 0$  is <sup>the</sup> same as an ideal ( $x dy = y dx$  automatically).

Example.  $I = C$ ,  $d =$  multiplication by  $c_0 \in C$  ( $x dy = y dx$  automatically). (Exercise)

Any quasi-ideal  $I$  is a (non-unital) ring w.r.t.  $x \circ y := x dy = y dx$ .

If  $I \subset C$  is a <sup>usual</sup> ideal we have the quotient ring  $C/I$ . Similar construction for a quasi-ideal  $I \xrightarrow{d} C$ : the group  $I$  acts on  $C$  by translations via  $d$  (now ~~the action is~~ if  $\text{Ker } d \neq 0$  the action is not free),

~~to~~  $\text{Cone}(I \rightarrow C) :=$  quotient groupoid.

Objects: elements of  $C$ .  $\text{Isom}(c_1, c_2) := \{x \in I \mid c_1 - c_2 = dx\}$ .

Composition: adding  $x$ 's.

The operations  $+$  and  $\times$  on  $C$  induce "operations" on the groupoid, so  $\text{Cone}(I \rightarrow C)$  is a "ring groupoid". Let us just believe that there is such a notion and use common sense to work with it.  $\text{Ker } d = 0 \Rightarrow$  get the usual quotient.

Variant. Suppose we have  $I \xrightarrow{d} C$ , but  $C$  and  $I$  are not sets but schemes over some  $S$ , ( $C$  is a ring scheme,  $I$  is a quasi-ideal scheme).

If  $I$  is flat over  $S$  we can form the quotient stack

$\text{Cone}(I \rightarrow C) := C / \{\text{action of } I\}$ . This is a ring stack (functor  $\{S\text{-schemes}\} \rightarrow \{\text{ring groupoids}\}$ , which is a stack if you forget the ring structure).

### Main Theorem.

The goal is to construct an isomorphism between two <sup>concrete</sup> ring stacks.

$X/\mathbb{F}_p \mapsto$  "crystallization"  $X^\square$  ( $p$ -adic stack).  $(A_{\mathbb{F}_p}^1)^\square = ?$

$(A_{\mathbb{F}_p}^1)^\square$  is a ring stack. Two explanations:

①  $(X \times Y)^\square = X^\square \times Y^\square$  under mild assumptions (In particular, ~~if~~ if  $X = Y = A_{\mathbb{F}_p}^1$ ).

So the ring structure on  $A_{\mathbb{F}_p}^1$  induces a ring structure on  $(A_{\mathbb{F}_p}^1)^\square$ .

②  $(A_{\mathbb{F}_p}^1)^\square := \mathbb{G}_a / \mathbb{G}_a^\#$  (stacky quotient) =  $\text{Cone}(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a)$  (as a group stack),

and  $G_a^\# \rightarrow G_a$  is a quasi-ideal. We will see this; anyway, it is believable (pretend that  $G_a^\# =$  formal neighborhood of 0 in  $G_a$ ).

Thm.  $(A_{\mathbb{F}_p}^1)^\sharp \cong \text{Cone}(W \xrightarrow{p} W)$ , where  $W :=$  ring scheme of  $\mathbb{Z}$

$p$ -typical Witt vectors, ~~(as a  $p$ -adic scheme)~~ (Isomorphism of ring stacks over  $\mathbb{Z}[p]$ ). In particular, true after  $p$ -adic completion, which is what we need)

Remark. The groupoid of  $B$ -points of  $\text{Cone}(W \xrightarrow{p} W)$  is cone

$\text{Cone}(W(B) \xrightarrow{p} W(B))$  (because  $H^1(\text{Spec } B, W) = 0$ ).

Remark.  $A_{\mathbb{F}_p}^1$  is not merely a ring scheme but a scheme of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebras (i.e.,  $1+\dots+1=0$ ). So  $(A_{\mathbb{F}_p}^1)^\sharp$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra stack (even though it lives in mixed characteristic). Good news:  $\text{Cone}(W \xrightarrow{p} W)$  has the same property. ( $\text{Cone}(\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{Z})$  maps into it). This property is funny and important. is a ring scheme over  $\mathbb{Z}$

Before formulating the Key Lemma, recall that  $W$  is equipped with

$F: W \rightarrow W, \quad \ast V: W \rightarrow W$  Properties:

Witt vector Frobenius - Verschiebung

$W \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \xrightarrow{F} W \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p}$  is the usual Frobenius, (identity difficult to remember)

$F$  is a ring homomorphism,  $V$  is additive and  $(Vx) \cdot y = V(x \cdot Fy)$  (so  $V$  is a module homomorphism, in some sense).

$FV = p$  (but  $VF \neq FV$  unless we are over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ). By difficult identity

$V: W \rightarrow W$  is a closed embedding,  $VW$  is an ideal,  $W/VW = G_a$  faithfully and flat (in particular,  $F$  is surjective)

$F: W \rightarrow W$  is ~~not~~ surjective (as a morphism of schemes, not functors). True for  $F: W_n \rightarrow W_{n-1}$  because true after  $\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p}$  and after  $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$  (clear mod  $p$ , the  $p$ -adic case follows) ( $W_n, W_{n-1}$  are  $\mathbb{Z}$ -flat).

So  $W^{(F)} := \text{Ker}(W \xrightarrow{F} W)$  is a flat group scheme.

$W^{(F)} \subset W$  is an ideal (so  $W$  acts).

Lemma. The action of  $W$  on  $W^{(F)}$  factors through  $W/VW = G_a$ .

Proof.  $(Vx) \cdot y = 0$  if  $Fy = 0$ .  
"  $V(x \cdot Fy)$  (by the "difficult identity")

Cor.  $W^{(F)} \rightarrow G_a$  is a quasi-ideal.

$G_a^\# \rightarrow G_a$  is (also) a quasi-ideal.

Over  $\mathbb{Z}(p)$

Key Lemma. These quasi-ideals are canonically isomorphic:

$$\mathbb{G}_a^\# \xrightarrow{\sim} W^{(F)}$$

$$\swarrow \searrow \\ \mathbb{G}_a = W/VW$$

Proof of Thm (assuming the lemma).  $(A_{\mathbb{F}_p}^1)^\Pi = \text{Cone}(\mathbb{G}_a^\# \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a) =$   
 $= \text{Cone}(W^{(F)} \rightarrow W/VW) = \text{Cone}(VW \rightarrow W/W^{(F)}) =$   
 $= \text{Cone}(VW \xrightarrow{F} W) = \text{Cone}(W \xrightarrow{FV} W) = \text{Cone}(W \xrightarrow{p} W).$

Exercise. ~~Construct~~  $\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \xrightarrow{\sim} W^{(F)} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p}$  ~~by hands.~~  
check that  $\mathbb{G}_a^\# \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \xrightarrow{\sim} W^{(F)} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p}$  is isomorphic to  $W^{(F)}$  as schemes.  
Possible because modulo  $p$  we know how  $F$  acts on  $W = A^{\infty}$ .  
Remark. The Key Lemma holds over  $\mathbb{Z}(p)$  (not merely after  $p$ -adic completion). I will prove the Key Lemma using Witt's definition of  $W$ . It is easier to do it using Joyal's definition (to be discussed by Anthony Yang).

Remark. The Key Lemma holds over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , if ~~you change~~ you change the definition of  $W^{(F)}$  as follows:  
 $W^{(F)} := \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \text{Ker}(W^{\text{big}} \xrightarrow{F_n} W^{\text{big}}) = \bigcap_{\ell \in \{\text{primes}\}} \text{Ker}(W^{\text{big}} \xrightarrow{F_\ell} W^{\text{big}})$

Now  ~~$\mathbb{G}_a = W/VW$~~   $n \geq 1$  Recall:  $F_m F_n = F_{mn}, F_1 = \text{id}$ .  
Exercise. Over  $\mathbb{Z}(p)$ , the two versions of  $W^{(F)}$  are the same.

Proof of Key Lemma.  $\mathbb{G}_a^\# := \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[\frac{x^n}{n!}]$ . Let  $x_n$   
 $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{x^n}{n!}] = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z} x_n, x_n := \frac{x^n}{n!}$ . Relations:  
 $x_0 = 1, x_m x_n = \binom{m+n}{m} x_{m+n}$ . (\*)

Let  $R$  be a ring, then  $\mathbb{G}_a^\#(R) = \{ \text{the set of sequences } x_n \in R \text{ satisfying (*)} \}$ .  
Group structure on  $\mathbb{G}_a^\#(R)$ :

$$\{x_n\} + \{y_n\} = \{z_n\}, \text{ where } z_n = \sum_{k+l=n} x_k y_l$$

$R$ -module structure on  $\mathbb{G}_a^\#(R)$ :  $a \cdot \{x_n\} = \{a^n x_n\}$ .  
Things greatly simplify if you introduce the generating function  $f(t) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n t^n \in 1 + tR[[t]]$ .  
Then  $\mathbb{G}_a^\#(R) = \{f \in R[[t]]^\times \mid f(t_1+t_2) = f(t_1)f(t_2)\}$ , group structure: multiplication.  $f(0)=1$  automatically.

(-4-)

R-module structure on  $G_a^\#(R) : (af)(t) = f(at), a \in R, f \in R[[t]]^*$ .

Reformulation. (As a group)  $G_a^\# = \text{Hom}(\hat{G}_a, G_m) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Character dual} \\ \text{of } \hat{G}_a \end{array} \right\}$   
 (This is well known, of course).

Good "news". As a group,  $W^{\text{big}}(R) = \text{Ker}(R[[t]]^* \rightarrow R^*)$ .

So  $G_a^\# \subset W^{\text{big}}$ .

Remains:  $G_a^\# = W^{(F)}$ , where  $W^{(F)} := \bigcap_{\ell \geq 1} F_\ell$ .

Sketch of the proof.

Exercise.  $W^{(F)}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -flet. (Treat each  $p$  separately using  $p$ -typical Witt vectors.)

$G_a^\#$  is clearly  $\mathbb{Z}$ -flet.

Remains:  $G_a^\# \otimes \mathbb{Q} = W^{(F)} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . (Exercise?)

$W \xrightarrow{\text{ghost}} G_a^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $W \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_a^{\mathbb{N}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$

$f \mapsto$  coefficients of  $\pm t \frac{f'}{f}$  (the ~~sign~~ sign depends on the identification  $W \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker}(R[[t]]^* \rightarrow R^*)$ . I prefer the plus sign.)

Action of  $F_\ell$  on  $G_a^{\mathbb{N}}$ :  $(x_n) \mapsto (x_{\ell n})$   
 $(x_n)$  is killed by  $F_\ell$  iff  $x_n = 0$  for all  $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$ .

ghost  $(W^{(F)} \otimes \mathbb{Q}) = \{ \text{sequences } (x_n) \text{ such that } x_n = 0 \ \forall n > 1 \}$ .

~~$W^{(F)} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$~~  So if  $R$  is over  $\mathbb{Q}$  then

$W^{(F)}(R) = \{ f \in R[[t]]^* \mid f(0) = 1, \frac{f'}{f} \text{ is constant} \}$

$G_a^\#(R) = \{ f \in R[[t]]^* \mid f(t_1 + t_2) = f(t_1) f(t_2) \} = \{ e^{at} \}$

Question. Is there a direct proof of the equality  $G_a^\# = W^{(F)}$  (by manipulating with identities rather than using fletness)?

This way I can only prove that  $G_a^\# \subset W^{(F)}$ .

We have proved that  $(A_{\mathbb{F}_p}^1)^\square = \mathcal{R}$ , where  $\mathcal{R} := \text{Cone}(W \xrightarrow{p} W)$ .

Now let  $X = \text{Spec } A$ .  $X^\square = ?$

$X^\square(B) = ?$  Here  $A/\mathbb{F}_p$ ,  $B$  is over  $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ .

Exercise. Prove that  $X^\square(B) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A, \mathcal{R}(B))$  using two false assumptions:

- 1)  $\mathcal{R}$  is a ring scheme (rather than stack),
- 2)  $X \mapsto X^\square$  commutes with limits.

Hint:  $X = \varprojlim (A_{\mathbb{F}_p}^m \xrightarrow[\mathbb{0}]{f} A_{\mathbb{F}_p}^n)$  ( $X$  is defined by the equation  $f(x)=0$ . So  $X$  is an equalizer).

Next time: we'll show that the answer is correct (despite the false assumptions)

$$X^\square(B) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A, \mathcal{R}(B))$$

$\uparrow$  prismatization                       $\uparrow$  to be defined

(Because  $\mathcal{R}(B)$  is a ring groupoid).

We'll prove that  $X^\square = X^\Delta$ .

~~$X^\square$~~   $X^\square$  is defined as a quotient of a scheme by a flat groupoid; such a presentation depends on choice, and one had to check independence of this choice.

~~$X^\Delta$  is defined~~  $X^\Delta$  is defined by directly specifying  $X^\Delta(B)$  (no choices).