

# Format of prismatic theory



Prismatization functor  $X \mapsto X^\Delta$   
Input:  $p$ -adic (formal) scheme

Output: formal stack with a lift of Frobenius  $F: X^\Delta \rightarrow X^\Delta$   
The theory is cleaner if everything is derived.

(Complexes of)  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules on  $X^\Delta$  are called crystals on  $X$

An  $F$ -crystal is a pair  $(M, F^*M \rightarrow M)$

These are  $p$ -adic analogs of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -sheaves,  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  gives  $f^\Delta: X^\Delta \rightarrow Y^\Delta$   
crystal  $\uparrow$  maybe required to be isogeny

$f_*^\Delta: \{F\text{-crystals on } X^\Delta\} \rightarrow \{F\text{-crystals on } Y^\Delta\}$

$f_*^\Delta(\mathcal{O}_{X^\Delta})$  is an  $F$ -crystal on  $Y$ .

[I could skip the rest of this page]

If  $X$  is over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  this is crystalline cohomology. In this case  $X$  is as discussed before (up to derived issues).

$(\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p)^\Delta = \text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p$  (scheme rather than stack!)

For  $f: X \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p$ ,  $f_*^\Delta(\mathcal{O}_{X^\Delta})$  is a complex of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -modules with action of Frobenius.

For  $f: X \rightarrow \text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $f_*^\Delta(\mathcal{O}_{X^\Delta})$  lives on  $(\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p)^\Delta$

Can "feel"  $f_*^\Delta(\mathcal{O}_{X^\Delta})$  by looking at its pullbacks to various schemes  $S$  over  $(\text{Spf } \mathbb{Z}_p)^\Delta$   
for any  $F$ -crystal on  $X$

a "prism" is such a scheme.

Breuil-Kisin modules are such pullbacks (in a slightly more general situation, e.g.,  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is replaced by  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , where  $[K: \mathbb{Q}_p] < \infty$ ).

The existing literature doesn't emphasize the fact that we are dealing with  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules on stacks.

NB. The format of stacky format of prismatic theory was suggested by Bhatt and Lurie during a walk in Cambridge, MA. No literature is available. I am explaining my understanding of the subject, whose correctness is not guaranteed. (A saying from Arinkin's old webpage: "The formula is incorrect but easy to use".)

Definition of  $\Sigma := (\text{Spt } \mathbb{Z}_p)^\Delta$

$W :=$  Witt vectors (as a <sup>p-adic</sup> formal scheme over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ).

Let  $x_0, x_1, \dots$  be the usual ("Witt") coordinates. ( $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} V^i [x_i]$ )

As formal scheme,  $W = \text{Spt} (p\text{-adic completion of } \mathbb{Z}_p[x_0, x_1, \dots])$ .

Let  $R$  be a ring in which  $p$  is nilpotent. Define  $W^{(1)}(R) \subset W(R)$ ,

$$W^{(1)}(R) := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} V^i [x_i] \mid x_0 \text{ nilpotent, } x_1 \in R^\times \right\}$$

As a formal scheme,  $W^{(1)} = \text{Spt } A$ , where  $A$  is the completion of  $\mathbb{Z}_p[x_0, x_1, \dots][x_1^{-1}]$  w.r.t. the ideal  $(p, x_0)$ .

(The topology on  $A$  is not p-adic, so I wouldn't call  $W^{(1)}$  a p-adic scheme.) Two "small parameters":  $p$  and  $x_0$ .

Equivalently,  $W^{(1)}$  is obtained <sup>from</sup>  $W$  by formal completion along the locus  $x_0 = 0$  and removing  $x_1 = 0$ .

Remark.  $p \in W^{(1)}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \subset W(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ ; indeed, the image of  $p$  in  $W(\mathbb{F}_p)$  equals  $V(1) = (0, 1, 0, \dots)$

Points of  $W^{(1)}$  are "deformations of  $p$ ".

Def.  $\Sigma := W^{(1)} / W^\times$  ( $W^\times$  acts on  $W$  by multiplication).

There are also more "economic" but less canonical presentations of  $\Sigma$  as a quotient (choose a transversal to the subscheme  $x_0 = p = 0$ ).

Definition of  $X$  in general.

Derived p-adic <sup>affine</sup> schemes  $\rightarrow$  Derived stacks  
 $X \mapsto X^\Delta$  prismatization

Characterized by two properties; The final object is a case of limit, so

① Commutation with  $\mathbb{P}$  (projective) limits. In particular,  $(\text{Spt } \mathbb{Z}_p)^\Delta = \Sigma$

Then  $(A_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^1)^\Delta$  is a ring stack over  $\Sigma$ , and it remains to describe it. Equivalently, to <sup>specify</sup> describe  $(A_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^1)^\Delta \times_{\Sigma} W^{(1)}$  as a ring stack over  $W^{(1)}$  equipped with  $W^\times$ -action.

② The fiber of  $(A_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^1)^\Delta \times_{\Sigma} W^{(1)}$  over  $\alpha \in W^{(1)}$  is

$\text{Cone}(W \xrightarrow{\alpha} W)$ . If  $u \in W^\times$  then  $\text{Cone}(W \xrightarrow{u\alpha} W) = \text{Cone}(W \xrightarrow{\alpha} W)$

( $\alpha$  is a "deformation of  $p$ ").

Exercise.  $(\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p)^\Delta = \text{Spt } \mathbb{Z}_p$  (no stackiness!).

(Write  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p = \varprojlim \text{Spt } \mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{p} A_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^1$ ). More generally, if  $X/\mathbb{F}_p$  is an l.c.i. then  $X^\Delta$  is as in previous