## Appendix: the case of a surface

A1. Below $R$ is a commutative coefficient ring. Denote by $\mathcal{L}_{R}$ the Picard groupoid of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded super $R$-lines. So an object of $\mathcal{L}_{R}$ is a pair $\left(L, \operatorname{deg}_{L}\right)$, where $L$ is an invertible $R$-module, $\operatorname{deg}_{L} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\operatorname{Spec} R}:=$ the group of $\mathbb{Z}$-valued locally constant function on Spec $R$; the operation on $\mathcal{L}_{R}$ is $\left(L, \operatorname{deg}_{L}\right) \otimes\left(L^{\prime}, \operatorname{deg}_{L^{\prime}}\right):=(L \otimes$ $L^{\prime}, \operatorname{deg}_{L}+\operatorname{deg}_{L^{\prime}}$ ) with the commutativity corrected by the super sign $(-1)^{\operatorname{deg}_{L} \operatorname{deg}_{L^{\prime}}}$.

Our $X$ is a compact real-analytic surface with boundary $Y$ whose connected components are denoted by $Y_{\alpha}, \alpha \in A$. Let $F$ be a complex of sheaves of $R$ modules on $X$ whose fibers are perfect and the restriction of its cohomology to $X \backslash Y$, and to $Y$ minus finitely many points, is locally constant. Then $R \Gamma(X, F)$ is a perfect $R$-complex, so we have $\operatorname{det} R \Gamma(X, F) \in \mathcal{L}_{R}$. Let $\nu$ be any continuous nowhere vanishing 1-form on $X \backslash Y$. Our aim is to assign to each component $Y_{\alpha}$ a graded super $R$-line $\mathcal{E}(F)_{\nu Y_{\alpha}}$ which has local origin, i.e., depends only on the restriction of our datum to a neighborhood of $Y_{\alpha}$, and define the $\varepsilon$-factorization isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{E}(F)_{\nu Y_{\alpha}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{det} R \Gamma(X, F) . \tag{A1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constructions are presented in A6; they are based on a theorem from A5.
A2. A shot of abstract nonsense. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Boolean algebra. Recall that it is realized canonically as the Boolean algebra of open compact subsets of a pro-finite set $\mathcal{P}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{A}{ }^{1}$

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Picard groupoid; ${ }^{2}$ we write the operation in $\mathcal{L}$ as $\otimes$. The group of isomorphism classes of objects in $\mathcal{L}$ is denoted by $\pi_{0} \mathcal{L}$, the group of automorphisms of the unit object $1_{\mathcal{L}}$ is denoted by $\pi_{1} \mathcal{L}$; these groups are commutative (and written multiplicatively). For any $L \in \mathcal{L}$ one has a canonical identification $\pi_{1} L \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Aut} L$, $\phi \mapsto i d_{L} \otimes \phi$. There is a natural homomorphism $\epsilon: \pi_{0} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \pi_{1} \mathcal{L}$ which sends an object $L \in \mathcal{L}$ to the symmetric constraint symmetry of $L \otimes L ; L$ is said to be even if $\epsilon(L)=1$ and odd otherwise; let $\mathcal{L}^{e v} \subset \mathcal{L}$ be the Picard subgroupoid of even objects. $\mathcal{L}$ is said to be discrete if its $\pi_{1} \mathcal{L}$ is trivial; such an $\mathcal{L}$ amounts to an abelian group. For any $\mathcal{L}$ there is an evident morphism of Picard groupoids $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \pi_{0} \mathcal{L}$.
(a) An $\mathcal{L}$-measure $(\lambda, m)$ on $\mathcal{A}$ (or on $\mathcal{P}$ ) is a rule that assigns to every $Q \in \mathcal{A}$ an object $\lambda(Q) \in \mathcal{L}$, and to every finite set $\left\{Q_{i}\right\} \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that $Q_{i} \cap Q_{i^{\prime}}=\emptyset$ for $i \neq i^{\prime}$, an identification $m: \otimes \lambda\left(Q_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \lambda\left(\cup Q_{i}\right)$. One demands $m$ to be transitive in the obvious sense. We often drop $m$ from the notation.
$\mathcal{L}$-valued measures form a Picard groupoid which we denote by $\mathcal{M e a s}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L})$ or $\mathcal{M e a s}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$. It is functorial with respect to morphisms of $\mathcal{P}$ 's and $\mathcal{L}$ 's. Notice that $\pi_{1} \mathcal{M e a s}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})=\mathcal{M e a s}\left(\mathcal{P}, \pi_{1} \mathcal{L}\right)$ (the usual group of $\pi_{1} \mathcal{L}$-valued measures on $\left.\mathcal{P}\right)$; the projection $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \pi_{0} \mathcal{L}$ yields a homomorphism $\pi_{0} \operatorname{Meas}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M e a s}\left(\mathcal{P}, \pi_{0} \mathcal{L}\right)$ which is bijective if $\mathcal{A}$ is countable or if $\pi_{1} \mathcal{L}$ is finite.

Exercise. Let $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{P}}$ be the group of $\mathbb{Z}$-valued locally constant functions on $\mathcal{P}$; for $Q \in \mathcal{A}$ let $1_{Q} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{P}}$ be the characteristic function of $Q \subset \mathcal{P}$. Consider the Picard

[^0]groupoid $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{L}\right)$ of Picard groupoid morphisms $\phi: \mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$. There is a fully faithful embedding of Picard groupoids $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{L}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M e a s}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}), \phi \mapsto \lambda_{\phi}$, where $\lambda_{\phi}(Q)=\phi\left(1_{Q}\right)$ and $m$ comes since $1_{\sqcup Q_{i}}=\Sigma 1_{Q_{i}}$. Show that its essential image equals $\operatorname{Meas}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})^{e v}=\operatorname{Meas}\left(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}^{e v}\right)$, i.e., in the $\mathcal{L}$-setting an outright integration of functions makes sense only for even $\lambda$ 's.

Remark. Suppose that we write $\mathcal{P}$ as the projective limit of a directed family of finite sets $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}$ and surjections $\pi_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}: \mathcal{P}_{\alpha^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}, \alpha^{\prime} \geq \alpha$; so for every $b \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}$ we have an open $Q_{\alpha b} \subset \mathcal{P}$. An $\mathcal{L}$-measure $\lambda$ is the same as a datum of objects $\lambda_{\alpha}(b)=$ $\lambda\left(Q_{\alpha b}\right) \in \mathcal{L}, a \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}$, together with identifications $m_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime} b}: \otimes \lambda_{\alpha^{\prime}}\left(b^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \lambda_{\alpha}(b)$, where $b \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}$ and $b^{\prime}$ run the set of all elements of $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ such that $\pi_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}\left(b^{\prime}\right)=b$; the $m_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}$ 's should satisfy the transitivity property.
(b) Inclusion-exclusion formula: Take $Q \in \mathcal{A}$ and a finite collection $\left\{Q_{\beta}\right\}, \beta \in B$, such that $Q=\cup Q_{\beta}$. For a non-empty subset $S \subset B$ set $Q_{S}:=\bigcap_{\beta \in S} Q_{\beta}$.

Lemma. If all $\lambda\left(Q_{S}\right)$ are even, then one has a canonical isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{Q}^{\left\{Q_{\beta}\right\}}: \underset{\emptyset \neq S \subset B}{\otimes} \lambda\left(Q_{S}\right)^{\otimes(-1)^{|S|+1}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \lambda(Q) . \tag{A2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For a non-empty $S \subset B$ let $Q_{(S)}$ be the complement in $Q_{S}$ to the union of $Q_{S^{\prime}}$ where $S^{\prime} \supset S, S^{\prime} \neq S$. Then $Q$ is the disjoint union of all $Q_{(S)}$ 's, $S \subset B$. Intersecting our datum with $Q_{(S)}$, we are reduced to the situation where all $Q_{\beta}$ 's are equal. Here (A2.1) is immediate.

Remark. Let $Q=\cup Q_{\gamma}, \gamma \in \Gamma$, be another presentation of $Q$ such that $\lambda\left(Q_{T}\right) \in$ $\mathcal{L}^{e v}$ for every non-empty $T \subset \Gamma$. Let us compare $\mu_{B}:=\mu_{Q}^{\left\{Q_{\beta}\right\}}$ and $\mu_{\Gamma}:=\mu_{Q}^{\left\{Q_{\gamma}\right\}}$. Denote by $L_{B}, L_{\Gamma}$ their sources, and set $L_{B \Gamma}:=\otimes \lambda\left(Q_{S} \cap Q_{T}\right)^{(-1)^{(|S|+1)(|T|+1)}}$, the tensor product is indexed by all non-empty $S \subset B, T \subset \Gamma$. There is a natural morphism $\nu_{B}: L_{B \Gamma} \xrightarrow{\sim} L_{B}$ defined as the tensor product of morphisms $\left(\mu_{Q S}^{\left\{Q_{\gamma} \cap Q_{S}\right\}}\right)^{\otimes(-1)^{|S|+1}}$ for $\emptyset \neq S \subset B$, and a similarly defined morphism $\nu_{\Gamma}: L_{B \Gamma} \xrightarrow{\sim} L_{\Gamma}$. Now one has $\mu_{B} \nu_{B}=\mu_{\Gamma} \nu_{\Gamma}$; to prove this, one reduces the statement to the case when all $Q_{\beta}$ and $Q_{\gamma}$ coincide, where the statement is obvious.
(c) Let $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a Boolean ideal, and $\mathcal{A} / \mathcal{I}$ the quotient Boolean algebra, so $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{A} / \mathcal{I}=: \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ is a closed subset in $\mathcal{P}$, and $\mathcal{I}$ consists of open compact subsets of $\mathcal{P} \backslash \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$. Let $\mathcal{M e a s}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L})^{\mathcal{I}}$ be the Picard groupoid of pairs $(\lambda, \iota)$ where $\lambda$ is an $\mathcal{L}$-valued measure on $\mathcal{A}$ and $\iota$ is a trivialization of the restriction $\left.\lambda\right|_{\mathcal{I}}$ of $\lambda$ to $\mathcal{I}$. ${ }^{3}$ So $\iota$ is a datum of identifications $\iota(Q): \lambda(Q) \xrightarrow{\sim} 1_{\mathcal{L}}, Q \in \mathcal{I}$, multiplicative with respect to disjoint union decompositions of $Q$ 's. The pull-back functor yields an equivalence of the Picard groupoids $\mathcal{M e a s}(\mathcal{A} / \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M e a s}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L})^{\mathcal{I}}$.

Take any $(\lambda, \iota)$ as above, and let $Q,\left\{Q_{b}\right\}$ be a datum as in (b) such that $Q,\left\{Q_{b}\right\}$ lie in $\mathcal{I}$. Since $\lambda$ is trivial on $\mathcal{I}$, the lemma in (b) is applicable, so we have isomorphism (A2.1). Now $\iota$ trivializes both objects in (A2.1), and one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{S \subset B}{\otimes} \iota\left(Q_{S}\right)^{\otimes(-1)^{|S|+1}}=\iota(Q) . \tag{A2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1](d) Let $\mathcal{I}$ be as in (c), and $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{I}$ be a subset closed under $\cap$ such that every $Q \in \mathcal{I}$ can be represented as $\cup Q_{\beta}$ with $\left\{Q_{\beta}\right\} \subset \mathcal{D}$.

Lemma. Let $\lambda$ be any $\mathcal{L}$-measure on $\mathcal{A}$ and $\iota$ be any datum of trivializations $\iota(Q): \lambda(Q) \xrightarrow{\sim} 1_{\mathcal{L}}$ defined for $Q \in \mathcal{D}$. If (A2.2) holds whenever $Q,\left\{Q_{b}\right\}$ are in $\mathcal{D}$, then $\iota$ extends in a unique manner to a trivialization $\iota$ of $\lambda_{\mathcal{I}}$.

Proof. It is clear that $\left.\lambda\right|_{\mathcal{I}}$ takes values in trivial objects of $\mathcal{I}$, so (A2.1) makes sense there. Take any $Q \in \mathcal{I}$ and represent it as $\cup Q_{\beta}, \beta \in B$, for $\left\{Q_{\beta}\right\} \subset \mathcal{D}$. Let $\iota^{\left\{Q_{\beta}\right\}}(Q)$ be a trivialization of $\lambda(Q)$ defined, using (A2.1), as $\underset{S \subset B}{\otimes} \iota\left(Q_{S}\right)^{\otimes(-1)^{|S|+1}}$. The comparison picture from Remark in (b) shows that $\iota^{\left\{Q_{\beta}\right\}}(Q)$ does not depend on the choice of particular $\left\{Q_{\beta}\right\}$. Set $\iota(Q)=\iota^{\left\{Q_{\beta}\right\}}(Q)$; it is immediate that $\iota$ is multiplicative, and we are done.

A3. We return to the setting of A1. Below a curve is a subset $C \subset X$ whose closure $\bar{C}$ is a semi-analytic curve, $\bar{C} \backslash C$ is finite, and $\bar{C} \cap Y=\emptyset$. A stratification of $X$ is always assumed to be semi-analytic (its 1-strata are curves) and such that no 0 strata lie on $Y$ (i.e., each $Y_{\alpha}$ lies in an open stratum). A constructible set in $X$ is a union of strata of a stratification. Denote by $\mathcal{C}$ the Boolean algebra of constructible sets.

For every locally closed constructible subset $Q \subset X$, we have a perfect complex $R \Gamma_{c}(Q, F):=R \Gamma_{c}\left(Q, i_{Q}^{*} F\right)$, hence a graded super $R$-line $\operatorname{det} R \Gamma_{c}(Q, F)$ of the degree equal to the Euler characteristics $\chi(Q, F)$. If $Q_{1} \hookrightarrow Q$ is an open embedding where $Q_{1}$ is also constructible, and $Q_{2}:=Q \backslash Q_{1}$, then the exact triangle $R \Gamma_{c}\left(Q_{1}, F\right) \rightarrow R \Gamma_{c}(Q, F) \rightarrow R \Gamma_{c}\left(Q_{2}, F\right)$ yields an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} R \Gamma_{c}\left(Q_{1}, F\right) \otimes \operatorname{det} R \Gamma_{c}\left(Q_{2}, F\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{det} R \Gamma_{c}(Q, F) . \tag{A3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition. There is an $\mathcal{L}_{R}$-measure $\lambda_{F}$ on $\mathcal{C}$ together with identifications $\tau=\tau_{Q}: \lambda_{F}(Q) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{det} R \Gamma_{c}(Q, F)$ for each locally closed constructible $Q \subset X$, such that for every $Q, Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ as above, the $\tau$ identify the isomorphism from (A3.1) with the structure isomorphism $m: \lambda_{F}\left(Q_{1}\right) \otimes \lambda_{F}\left(Q_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \lambda_{F}(Q)$. The datum $\left(\lambda_{F}, \tau\right)$ is unique up to a unique isomorphism.

Proof. Use Remark in A2(a): Our $\alpha$ 's run the set of all constructible stratifications with its usual ordering, $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}$ is the set of strata of the stratification. Then $\tau$ specifies each line $\lambda_{\alpha}(b), b \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}$, and (A3.1) defines the datum of $m_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}$. The details are left to the reader.

Remarks. (i) If $Q$ is a constructible subset which is not locally closed, then $Q$ is not locally compact, so $R \Gamma_{c}(Q, F)$ is not defined.
(ii) $\lambda_{F}$ has local origin: For an open $U \subset X$, let $\mathcal{C}(U) \subset \mathcal{C}$ be the Boolean ideal of constructible $Q$ 's such that $\bar{Q} \subset U$. Then the restriction of $\lambda_{F}$ to $\mathcal{C}(U)$ depends only on $\left.F\right|_{U}$.

A4. Now let us switch in $\nu$. We need an auxiliary datum of a $\nu$-cone $N$, which is a continuous family of non-degenerate closed sectors $N_{x} \subset T_{x} X, x \in X \backslash Y$, such that $\left\langle\nu_{x}, N_{x} \backslash\{0\}\right\rangle<0$.

A curve $C$ is said to be $N$-transversal if for every $x \in \bar{C}$ each tangent line to $\bar{C}$ at $x$ intersects $N_{x} \cup-N_{x}$ by $\{0\}$. A stratification is $N$-transversal if such are its 1-strata. A constructible set $Q$ is said to be:

- $N$-transversal, if it is a union of strata in an $N$-constructible stratification;
- $N$-special, if it is $N$-transversal and a point $x \in \partial Q$ lies in $Q$ if and only there are points $y \in \operatorname{Int}(Q)$ close to $x$ and such that $y-x \in N_{x}$ (in the evident sense);
- $N$-lens, if $Q$ is $N$-special, locally closed, and $\bar{Q}$ is homeomorphic to a disc.

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^{N} \supset \mathcal{C}^{N} \supset \mathcal{C}_{0}^{N}$ be the subsets of $\mathcal{C}$ that consist of those $Q$ that are, respectively, $N$-transversal, $N$-special, $N$-special and satisfisfy $\bar{Q} \cap Y=\emptyset$. Let $\mathcal{J}^{N} \subset \mathcal{C}$ be the subset of $N$-transversal $Q$ 's of dimension $\leq 1$

Proposition. (i) $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^{N}, \mathcal{C}^{N}$ are Boolean subalgebras of $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{J}^{N}$ is a Boolean ideal in $\mathcal{C}$, and $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{N}$ is a Boolean ideal in $\mathcal{C}^{N}$. Every $N$-lens lies in $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{N}$.
(ii) If $Q$ is $N$-special, then Int $(Q)$ equals Int $(\bar{Q})$, and it is dense in $Q$.
(iii) The composition $\mathcal{C}^{N} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^{N} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}^{N} / \mathcal{J}^{N}$ is a bijection.

Denote the inverse Boolean algebras isomorphism $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^{N} / \mathcal{J}^{N} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}^{N}$ by $V \mapsto V^{+}$.
(iv) Each point in $X \backslash Y$ admits a base of neighborhoods formed by $N$-lenses.
(v) Any $Q \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{N}$ can be written as a union of finitely many $N$-lenses.
(vi) If $Q$ is an $N$-lens, then $\bar{Q} \backslash Q$ is a closed interval in the circle $\partial \bar{Q}$.
(vii) Suppose that $P \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{N}$ is locally closed and is contained in an $N$-lens. Then each connected component of $P$ is an $N$-lens. In particular, all the connected components of an intersection of finitely many $N$-lenses are $N$-lenses.

Proof. (i), (ii), (iii) are straightforward.
(iv) Take any $a \in X \backslash Y$; choose a real analytic local coordinate system $(x, y)$ at $a$ such that $a=(0,0)$ and $\nu_{a}=d y$. For $R, \delta>0$ let $U_{R \delta}$ be the intersection of two open discs of radius $R$ centered at $(0, \pm(R-\delta))$. If $R$ is very big and $\delta$ is very small, then $U_{R \delta}^{+}$(which is the intersection of the open disc centered at $(0, R-\delta)$ and the closed one centered at $(0, \delta-R))$ is an $N$-lens in $X$. These $N$-lenses form a base of neighborhoods of $a$.
(v) We need a preliminary. Let $a$ be a point in $X \backslash Y$ and $C$ be the germ at $a$ of an $N$-transversal curve. Let $(x, y)$ be coordinates as in (iv). By the implicit function theorem, one has two finite sets of functions: $\left\{y=g_{1}(x), \ldots, y=g_{k}(x)\right\}$ defined for $\epsilon>x \geq 0$, and $\left\{y=h_{1}(x), \ldots, y=h_{r}(x)\right\}$ defined for $-\epsilon<x \leq 0$, all vanishing at $x=0$, such that $C$ is the union of their graphs. Our $C$ is semianalytic, so for small enough $\epsilon$ all $g_{i}, h_{j}$ are monotone and one can order them so that $g_{1}(x)<\ldots<g_{2}(x)$ for $\epsilon>x>0$, and $h_{1}(x)<\ldots<h_{r}(x)$ for $-\epsilon<x<0$. Choose $R, \delta$ as in (iv) such that $U_{R \delta}^{+}$is an $N$-lens that lies in the interval $-\epsilon<x<\epsilon$. Suppose $C$ meets both half-planes $x>0, x<0$, i.e., both sets $\left\{g_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{h_{j}\right\}$ are non-empty. Then $C$ cuts $U_{R \delta}$ into pieces $\left\{U_{k}\right\}$ such that each $U_{k}^{+}$is an $N$-lens, and $\cup U_{k}^{+}=U_{R \delta}^{+}$.

Let us return to the proof of (v). Our assertion is local: it suffices to find for any
$a \in \bar{Q}$ its neighborhood whose intersection with $Q$ can be represented as a union of $N$-lenses. The case $a \in \operatorname{Int}(Q)$ is covered by (iv). For $a \in \partial Q$, choose ( $x, y$ ) as above; let $C$ be a curve defined as the germ of $\partial Q$ at $a$ if $\partial Q$ intersects both half-planes $x>0, x<0$; if not, $C$ is the union of $\partial Q$ and the line $y=0$. Then, by (iii), $Q \cap U_{R \delta}^{+}$is the union of those of the above $N$-lenses $U_{k}^{+}$that meet $Q$, q.e.d.
(vi) Set $I:=\bar{Q} \backslash Q$. Since $Q$ is locally closed, $I$ is a union of finitely many closed intervals and points in the circle $\partial Q=\partial \bar{Q}$ (see (ii)).

Take any $a \in \partial Q$, and choose local coordinates $(x, y)$ as in (v). The curve $\partial Q$ has one branch at $a$, so, by ( v ), it is either the graph of a continuous function $y=k(x)$ defined for $-\epsilon<x<\epsilon$, or it lies in the half-plane $x \geq 0$, where it is the union pieces $y=g_{1}(x), y=g_{2}(x)$, or it lies in the half-plane $x \leq 0$, where it is is the union of pieces $y=h_{1}(x), y=h_{2}(x)$. In the first case $Q$ equals either of the domains $y \leq k(x)$, or $y>k(x)$. In the second case, the assumption that $Q$ is locally closed implies that $Q$ equals the domain $g_{1}(x)<y \leq g_{2}(x)$; similarly, in the third case $Q$ equals the domain $h_{1}(x)<y \leq h_{2}(x)$.

This shows, in particular, that $I$ does not contain isolated points. In a moment we will define a continuous retraction $\pi: \bar{Q} \rightarrow I$. Its existence implies that $I$ is connected and $\neq \partial Q$, hence it is a single interval, and we are done.

Choose a non-vanishing smooth vector field $\tau$ on a neighborhood of $\bar{Q}$ which takes values in $N$. For $x \in \bar{Q}$ follow the integral line $x(t), x(0)=x$, of $\tau$. Let us show that the trajectory $x(t)$ meets $I$ at certain $t \geq 0$. If not, then $x \notin I$ and the trajectory $x(t), t>0$, stays in $\operatorname{Int}(Q)$. Our $\tau$ does not vanish, so, by the PoincaréBendixon theorem (see e.g. $[\mathrm{KH}]),{ }^{4} \operatorname{Int}(Q)$ contains a periodic trajectory $T$ of $\tau$. Then $T$ is the boundary of a disc $D \operatorname{in} \operatorname{Int}(Q)$, and $\left.\tau\right|_{D}$ is a non-vanishing vector field tangent to $T$, which does not exist; contradiction.

Take a smallest $t \geq 0$ such that $x(t) \in I($ then $x((0,1) \subset \operatorname{Int}(Q))$, and set $\pi(x):=$ $x(t)$. The above picture of $Q$ near the boundary shows that $\pi$ is a continuous retraction onto $I$.
(vii) Every connected component of $P$ is $N$-special, so we can assume that $P$ is connected. We need to show that $\bar{P}$ is homeomorphic to a disc.

Let $Q$ be an $N$-lens that contains $P$. Consider a retraction $\pi: \bar{Q} \rightarrow I$ from (vi). By construction, $\pi(Q)$ is the interior $I^{\circ}$ of $I$, and for every $t \in I^{\circ}$ the fiber $\bar{Q}_{t}$ of $\pi$ is a closed interval. We orient it so that $\left.\nu\right|_{\bar{Q}_{t}}$ is positive.

Set $K:=\pi(\bar{P})$; this is a closed interval since $\bar{P}$ is connected and $P$ is $N$-special. Let $t$ be any interior point of $K$, so $\bar{P}_{t}:=\bar{P} \cap \bar{Q}_{t}$ is a union of finitely many intervals and points in $\bar{Q}_{t}$. Let us show that
(*) The interior of $\bar{P}_{t}\left(\right.$ in $\left.\bar{Q}_{t}\right)$ lies in the interior of $P$.
If not, take any $y \in \operatorname{Int}\left(\bar{P}_{t}\right) \cap \partial P$. Since $P$ is $N$-special, $\partial P=\partial \bar{P}$ does not contain isolated points and $\bar{P}_{t} \cap \partial P$ is finite. Thus a punctured neighborhood of $y$ in $\bar{P}_{t}$ lies in $\operatorname{Int}(P)$, so $y \in P$ since $P$ is $N$-special. Let $U \subset \operatorname{Int}(P)$ be any open subset with connected fibers such that $y$ is the bottom point of the fiber $U_{t}$. For $t^{\prime} \in I, t^{\prime} \neq t$, let $s\left(t^{\prime}\right)$ be the bottom point of the connected component of $\bar{P}_{t^{\prime}}$ that

[^2]contains $U_{t^{\prime}}$. These points form a subset $S \subset \partial P$. Since $P$ is $N$-special, one has $S \subset \partial P \backslash P$. From $y \in \partial P$ it follows easily that $y \in \bar{S}$. Since $P$ is locally closed, this contradicts the fact that $y \in P$, and we are done.

Now let $C_{t}$ be any connected component of $\bar{P}_{t}$ for a generic $t \in K$. This is an interval (since $P$ is $N$-invariant). Let us move $t$, say, to the left. By (*), our component changes continuously until it degenerates into a point. Same happens when we move $t$ to the right. Notice that $C_{t} \backslash P$ is the bottom point of $C_{t}$, so the two points, in which $C_{t}$ degenerate, do not lie in $P$ as well, since $P$ is locally closed. Thus $C_{t} \cap P$ sweep a connected component of $P$, so the whole $P$, and $\bar{P}$ is homeomorphic to a disc as stated.

A5. If $Q$ is an $N$-lens, then, by A4(vi), one has $R \Gamma_{c}(Q, F)=0$. Denote by $\iota(Q)$ the corresponding trivialization of $\lambda_{F}(Q)=\operatorname{det} R \Gamma_{c}(Q, F)$.

Theorem. The restriction of $\lambda_{F}$ to $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{N}$ admits a unique trivialization $\iota^{N}$ such that for any $N$-lens $Q$ one has $\iota^{N}(Q)=\iota\left(1_{Q}\right)$.

Proof. The uniqueness of $\iota$ follows from A4(v)(vii). Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the subset of $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{N}$ whose elements are those $Q$ that are locally closed and whose connected components are $N$-lenses. As above, for such a $Q$ one has $R \Gamma_{c}(Q, F)=0$, hence $\lambda_{F}(Q)=$ $\operatorname{det} R \Gamma_{c}(Q, F)$ has a natural trivialization $\iota(Q)$. By A4(v)(vii), $\mathcal{D}$ satisfies the assumptions of A2(d) with $\mathcal{I}=\mathcal{C}_{0}^{N}$. Therefore the theorem follows from the lemma in A2(d) and the next statement:

Lemma. Let $Q$ be an $N$-lens and $\left\{Q_{\beta}\right\}, \beta \in B$, be a finite set of $N$-lenses such that $\cup Q_{\beta}=Q$. Then the trivializations $\iota(Q)$ and $\iota\left(Q_{S}\right), \emptyset \neq S \subset B$, satisfy (A2.2).

Proof. (a) To write (A2.1) explicitly, we choose an $N$-transversal stratification $\left\{K_{r}\right\}$ such that if $K_{r} \cap Q_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$ for some $r, \beta$, then $K_{r} \subset Q_{\beta}$. For each nonempty $S \subset B$ denote by $\lambda_{F}^{(S)}$ the tensor product $\otimes \operatorname{det} R \Gamma_{c}\left(K_{r}, F\right)$ with respect to all $r$ such that $K_{r} \subset Q_{\beta}$ if and only if $\beta \in S$. Then $\operatorname{det} R \Gamma_{c}(Q, F)={\underset{S}{S}}_{\otimes} \lambda_{F}^{(S)}$ and $\operatorname{det} R \Gamma_{c}\left(Q_{S}, F\right)=\underset{S^{\prime} \supset S}{\otimes} \lambda_{F}^{\left(S^{\prime}\right)}$. Excluding $\lambda_{F}^{(S)}$,s from the equations, we get the isomorphism $\mu_{Q}^{\left\{Q_{\beta}\right\}}: \underset{S}{\otimes} \operatorname{det} R \Gamma_{c}\left(Q_{S}, F\right)^{\otimes(-1)^{|S|}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{det} R \Gamma_{c}(Q, F)$.
(b) We want to check that $\mu_{Q}^{\left\{Q_{\beta}\right\}}$ is compatible with the trivializations $\iota(Q)$ and $\iota\left(Q_{S}\right)$. To do this, we will find a finite filtration $\emptyset=E_{-1} \subset E_{0} \subset \ldots \subset E_{n}=Q$, where $E_{i}$ are closed subsets of $Q$, such that for every $i$ one has (here $P_{i}:=E_{i} \backslash E_{i-1}$ ):
(i) For any $N$-transversal locally closed $K$ the complex $R \Gamma_{c}\left(K \cap P_{i}, F\right)$ is perfect;
(ii) If $P_{i} \cap Q_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$, then $P_{i} \subset Q_{\beta}$;
(iii) One has $R \Gamma_{c}\left(P_{i}, F\right)=0$.

Such a filtration yields usual factorizations $\operatorname{det} R \Gamma_{c}(Q, F)=\otimes \operatorname{det} R \Gamma_{c}\left(P_{i}, F\right)$, $\operatorname{det} R \Gamma_{c}\left(Q_{S}, F\right)=\otimes \operatorname{det} R \Gamma_{c}\left(Q_{S} \cap P_{i}, F\right)$. Now $R \Gamma_{c}\left(P_{i}, F\right), R \Gamma_{c}\left(Q_{S} \cap P_{i}, F\right)$ are acyclic complexes by (iii) and (ii), so we have the corresponding trivializations $\iota\left(P_{i}\right), \iota\left(Q_{S} \cap P_{i}\right)$ of their determinant lines; it is clear that $\iota(Q)=\otimes \iota\left(P_{i}\right)$ and $\iota\left(Q_{S}\right)=\otimes \iota\left(Q_{S} \cap P_{i}\right)$. Our $\mu_{Q}^{\left\{Q_{\beta}\right\}}$ equals the tensor product of the similarly defined
isomorphisms $\mu_{P_{i}}^{\left\{Q_{\beta} \cap P_{i}\right\}}: \underset{S}{\otimes} \operatorname{det} R \Gamma_{c}\left(Q_{S} \cap P_{i}, F\right)^{\otimes(-1)^{|S|}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{det} R \Gamma_{c}\left(P_{i}, F\right)$. Thus the compatibility of $\mu_{Q}^{\left\{Q_{\beta}\right\}}$ with the trivializations $\iota(Q)$ and $\iota\left(Q_{S}\right)$ follows from the compatibility of $\mu_{P_{i}}^{\left\{Q_{\beta} \cap P_{i}\right\}}$ with $\iota\left(P_{i}\right)$ and $\iota\left(Q_{S} \cap P_{i}\right)$. The latter is evident due to (ii), and we are done.
(c) It remains to construct $E_{i}$ 's. Consider the projection $\pi: Q \rightarrow I^{\circ}$ from the proof of $\mathrm{A} 4(\mathrm{vi})(\mathrm{vii})$. The fibers $Q_{t}, t \in I^{\circ}$, are semi-open intervals, hence $R \pi!i_{Q}^{*}(F)=0$. By A4(vii), for any non-empty $S \subset B$ one has $R\left(\left.\pi\right|_{Q_{S}}\right)!i_{Q_{S}}^{*} F=0$.

Let $B \subset I^{\circ}$ be a finite subset such that over $I^{\circ} \backslash B$ all the projections $Q_{S} \rightarrow I^{\circ}$ are locally trivial. Let $I^{\gamma}$ be the partition of $I^{\circ}$ by the points in $B$ and the open intervals between successive points in $B$; set $Q^{\gamma}:=\pi^{-1}\left(I^{\gamma}\right)$. For any $Q^{\gamma}$ every $Q_{\beta}$ such that $Q_{\beta} \cap Q_{\gamma} \neq \emptyset$ yields two closed subspaces of $Q_{\gamma}$ that consist of points lying below one or the other boundary components of $Q_{\beta} \cap Q_{\gamma}$. Let $\left\{E_{j}^{\gamma}\right\}$ be the set of all subspaces of $Q^{\gamma}$ obtained in this manner, with $Q^{\gamma}$ itself added; we order them by inclusion. This is a finite filtration of $Q^{\gamma}$ by closed subspaces. Notice that each $E_{j}^{\gamma}$ is a fibration over $I^{\gamma}$ whose fibers are semi-open intervals, and $P_{j}^{\gamma} \cap Q_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$ implies $P_{j}^{\gamma} \subset Q_{\beta}$; here $P_{j}^{\gamma}:=E_{j}^{\gamma} \backslash E_{j-1}^{\gamma}$. Combining $E_{j}^{\gamma}$ 's for all $\gamma$ 's, we get the promised $E_{i}$ 's (with $\left\{P_{i}\right\}=\left\{P_{j}^{\gamma}\right\}$ ).

Remarks. (i) By A4(v), $\iota^{N}$ has local origin: for an open $U \subset X$ the restriction of $\iota^{N}$ to $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{N}(U)$ depends only on $\left.F\right|_{U}$ and $\left.N\right|_{U}$.
(ii) If $N^{\prime} \subset T X$ is another $\nu$-cone, then $N+N^{\prime}$ is also a $\nu$-cone, $\mathcal{C}^{N+N^{\prime}} \subset$ $\mathcal{C}^{N} \cap \mathcal{C}^{N^{\prime}}$, same for $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^{N}, \mathcal{C}_{0}^{N}$, and $\iota^{N+N^{\prime}}=\left.\iota^{N}\right|_{\mathcal{C}_{0}^{N+N^{\prime}}}=\left.\iota^{N^{\prime}}\right|_{\mathcal{C}_{0}^{N+N^{\prime}}}$.

A6. Now we can make good the promise of A1.
Lemma. For any component $Y_{\alpha}$ and an open $U_{\alpha}$ such that $U \cap Y=Y_{\alpha}$ there exists $Q_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{C}^{N}\left(U_{\alpha}\right):=\mathcal{C}^{N} \cap \mathcal{C}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)$ such that $Q_{\alpha} \supset Y_{\alpha}$.

Proof. We can assume that $\partial U_{\alpha} \cap Y=\emptyset$. Using A4(iv), cover $\partial U_{\alpha}$ by a finite set of $N$-lenses $\left\{Q_{i}\right\}$. Then $V_{\alpha}:=U_{\alpha} \backslash \cup Q_{i}$ is $N$-transversal; set $Q_{\alpha}=V_{\alpha}^{+}$.

Consider the quotient Boolean algebra $\mathcal{C}^{N} / \mathcal{C}_{0}^{N}$. We have a morphism of Boolean algebras $\mathcal{C}^{N} / \mathcal{C}_{0}^{N} \rightarrow 2^{A}, Q \mapsto Q \cap Y$; here $2^{A}$ is the Boolean algebra of all subsets of the set $A$ of connected components of $Y$. By the lemma, this is an isomorphism of Boolean algebras; let $\kappa: 2^{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}^{N} / \mathcal{C}_{0}^{N}$ be the inverse isomorphism. The lemma also shows that for $U_{\alpha}$ from loc. cit. the map $\mathcal{C}^{N}\left(U_{\alpha}\right) / \mathcal{C}_{0}^{N}\left(U_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow 2^{\{\alpha\}}, Q \mapsto Q \cap Y=Q \cap$ $Y_{\alpha}$, is an isomorphism as well, so we have its inverse $\kappa_{\alpha}: 2^{\{\alpha\}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}^{N}\left(U_{\alpha}\right) / \mathcal{C}_{0}^{N}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)$.

By A2(c), $\left(\lambda_{F}, \iota^{N}\right)$ can be considered as an $\mathcal{L}_{R}$-measure $\lambda_{F}^{N}$ on $\mathcal{C}^{N} / \mathcal{C}_{0}^{N}$. Set $\mathcal{E}(F)_{\nu Y_{\alpha}}:=\lambda_{F}^{N} \kappa_{\alpha}(\{\alpha\})$; this is our $\varepsilon$-factor. The image of $X$ in $\mathcal{C}^{N} / \mathcal{C}_{0}^{N}$ equals $\kappa(A)=\sqcup \kappa_{\alpha}(\{\alpha\})$, so one has the canonical identifications $\otimes \mathcal{E}(F)_{\nu Y_{\alpha}}=\otimes \lambda_{F}^{N} \kappa_{\alpha}(\{\alpha\})$ $\xrightarrow{m} \lambda_{F}^{N}\left(\sqcup \kappa_{\alpha}(\{\alpha\})\right)=\lambda_{F}^{N}(\kappa(A))=\lambda_{F}(X) \xrightarrow{a} \operatorname{det} R \Gamma(X, F)$. One defines (A1.1) as their composition.

Explicitly, $\mathcal{E}(F)_{\nu Y_{\alpha}}=\lambda_{F}\left(Q_{\alpha}\right)$, where $Q_{\alpha}$ is any constructible set as in the lemma. To define (A1.1), we choose neighborhoods $U_{\alpha}$ of $Y_{\alpha}$ such that different $\bar{U}_{\alpha}$ do not intersect, a $\nu$-cone $N$, and a set of $N$-lenses $Q_{\beta}$ such that $\cup Q_{\beta} \supset X \backslash \cup U_{\alpha}$. Set $Q_{\alpha}:=U_{\alpha} \backslash \cup Q_{\beta}$. Then $\mathcal{E}(F)_{\nu Y_{\alpha}}=\lambda_{F}\left(Q_{\alpha}\right)$, and (A1.1) comes from the
isomorphism $m:\left(\otimes \lambda_{F}\left(Q_{\alpha}\right)\right) \otimes \lambda_{F}\left(\cup Q_{\beta}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \lambda_{F}(X)$ and a trivialization of $\lambda_{F}\left(\cup Q_{\beta}\right)$ defined by the trivializations $\iota\left(Q_{S}\right)$ via identification (A2.1) (the latter described explicitly in part (a) of the proof of the lemma in A5).

The construction of $\mathcal{E}(F)_{\nu \alpha}$ and the $\varepsilon$-factorization does not depend on the auxiliary datum of $U_{\alpha}$ 's and the $\nu$-cone $N$ : for $U_{\alpha}$ this is evident, for $N$ use Remark (ii) in A5. Finally, the local origin of $\mathcal{E}(F)_{\nu \alpha}$ follows from Remark (i) in A5.

Exercise. The degree of the graded super $R$-line $\mathcal{E}(F)_{\nu Y_{\alpha}}$ equals $\chi\left(Y_{\alpha}, F\right)+$ $r k(F) w_{\alpha}(\nu)$, where $w_{\alpha}(\nu) \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the winding number of $\nu$ around $Y_{\alpha}$.

Examples. Suppose $Y_{\alpha}$ is a circle of radius $r$ around $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $U_{\alpha}$ equals $\{z: r \leq|z|<R\}$. Let us write $Q_{\alpha}$ from the corollary for some forms $\nu$ explicitly:
(a) For $\nu=\operatorname{Re} d z / z$ one can take $Q_{\alpha}=\bar{U}_{\alpha}$; for $\nu=-\operatorname{Re} d z / z$ take $Q_{\alpha}=U_{\alpha}$.
(b) $\nu=\operatorname{Re} z^{n-1} d z, n>0$ : Draw a cogwheel of a radius $>r$ centered at 0 with cogs at the arguments $\frac{\pi}{2 n}+\frac{k \pi}{n}, k=1, \ldots, 2 n$, pointing outside the circle. Our $Q_{\alpha}$ is the union of the interior of the cogwheel and the points with arguments $\frac{-\pi}{2 n}+\frac{2 j \pi}{n}<\theta<\frac{\pi}{2 n}+\frac{2 j \pi}{n}, j=1, \ldots n$, on its boundary.
(c) $\nu=\operatorname{Re} z^{n-1} d z, n<0$ : Draw a cogwheel with cogs in the same position as for $-n$, but pointing inside the circle. Our $Q_{\alpha}$ is the union of the interior of the cogwheel and the points with arguments on the boundary it is 0 for arguments $\frac{-\pi}{2 n}+\frac{2 j \pi}{n} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2 n}+\frac{2 j \pi}{n}$ on its boundary.

## 6. The determinant of the cohomology
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Here $\mathcal{A}$ is considered as a commutative $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-algebra with the operations $Q Q^{\prime}:=Q \cap Q^{\prime}$, $Q+Q^{\prime}:=\left(Q \cup Q^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left(Q \cap Q^{\prime}\right)$.
    ${ }^{2}$ I.e., a symmetric monoidal category all of whose objects and morphisms are invertible.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ In the definition of $\mathcal{L}$-measure we need not assume that the Boolean algebra is unital, so one has the Picard groupoid $\mathcal{M e a s}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{L})$ of $\mathcal{L}$-measures on $\mathcal{P} \backslash \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$, and $\iota$ is an identification of $\left.\lambda\right|_{\mathcal{I}}$ with the trivial object of this Picard groupoid.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ I am grateful to Benson Farb for comments and the reference.

