

ON CELLULAR HECKE ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED WITH GENERALIZED GRASSMANNIANS

URI BADER AND URI ONN

ABSTRACT. Given an object in a small category, one has a natural action of its automorphism group G on the Grassmannian of its sub-objects X . This action induces a representation of G on the space of \mathbb{Q} -valued functions on X . In very natural instances this representation is multiplicity free and is analyzed using combinatorial invariants of the lattice of sub-objects. The structure of the corresponding Hecke algebra is analyzed and shown to be cellular.

1. INTRODUCTION

When studying group representations, one usually fixes a group and then tries to study its representations. Frequently this study involves geometric models used to realize irreducible representations. In this paper we undertake a reverse journey. We start with a geometric situation stated in categorical terms and build a representation of a natural automorphism group arising therein. To make things concrete we will be followed closely by examples.

Example 1.1. *Let \mathbb{F} be a finite field, $X = \text{Gr}(m, n, \mathbb{F})$ the Grassmannian of m -dimensional subspaces of $B = \mathbb{F}^n$ ($2m \leq n$) and $G = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}^n)$. The (transitive) action of G on X gives rise to a representation of G on $\mathcal{F}(X)$, the space of \mathbb{Q} -valued functions on X . It is well known (cf. [?]), and also easily verified, that this representation is multiplicity free and that its irreducibles are parameterized by elements in $\{0, 1, \dots, m\}$. Consequently, the Hecke algebra $\text{End}_G(\mathcal{F}(X))$ is spanned by $m + 1$ primitive idempotents.*

This example is 'the' prototype for our discussion. It consists of the following ingredients which we wish to preserve in general:

- (1) A fixed object B in a category \mathcal{C} and a type (isomorphism class) ϕ of subobjects of B .
- (2) $X = \binom{B}{\phi}$, the Grassmannian of subobjects of B of type ϕ .

Supported by Israel Science Foundation, ISF grant no. 100146.

- (3) $G = \text{Aut}_C(B)$ acting on X , giving rise to a multiplicity free representation of G on $\mathcal{F}_\phi = \mathcal{F}(X)$.
- (4) A decomposition of \mathcal{F}_ϕ to irreducibles indexed by types *less* than ϕ .
- (5) A computation of the idempotents in the Hecke algebra $\text{End}_G(\mathcal{F}_\phi)$ in terms of combinatorial data.

In order for the above list to make sense we define the notion of *pocat* structure on a category. Roughly, it is a partial order on the category, which is compatible with categorical operations.

1.1. Description of results. We will define an appropriate property of *symmetricity* of objects in a category. This will give birth to Grassmannians and representations for which the first four items above are satisfied in a quite broad generality. Examples that fit into this frame include: sets, vector spaces over finite fields (with or without bilinear form), bundles of these objects over finite sets, some families of modules over rings, etc.

The fifth item, however, is more involved and will be achieved only for special cases. As an illustration we shall work out the case of vector spaces over finite fields. A much richer example is the category of finite modules over a discrete valuation ring. We shall partly setup the stage for the study of this case in the present paper, nevertheless, the complete analysis of it will be carried on in a subsequent paper [?].

Apart from the representations, the main object in this work is the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_\phi = \text{End}_G(\mathcal{F}_\phi)$. Since we are interested in a multiplicity free representation, this algebra is commutative and its irreducible representations correspond bijectively to the irreducibles of G which occur in \mathcal{F}_ϕ . Moreover, we will see that in the present context this algebra has a cellular structure, intimately related to the poset of isomorphism types of objects in the category ($\alpha \leq \beta$ if an object of type α can be embedded in an object of type β). One of the main results concerning the Hecke algebra is the existence of two families of geometrically defined ideals of \mathcal{H}_ϕ , $\{\mathcal{H}_\phi^\lambda\}_{\lambda \leq \phi}$ and $\{\mathcal{H}_\phi^{\lambda^-}\}_{\lambda \leq \phi}$, such that for each λ , $\mathcal{K}_\lambda = \mathcal{H}_\phi^\lambda / \mathcal{H}_\phi^{\lambda^-}$ is one dimensional representation of \mathcal{H}_ϕ , and

Theorem 1.

- (1) $\{\mathcal{K}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \leq \phi}$ forms a complete set of irreducible representations of \mathcal{H}_ϕ .
- (2) As \mathcal{H}_ϕ -modules,

$$\mathcal{H}_\phi^\lambda \simeq \bigoplus_{\mu \leq \lambda} \mathcal{K}_\mu$$

The irreducible \mathcal{H}_ϕ -representations \mathcal{K}_λ correspond to the G -representations $\mathcal{U}_\lambda = \mathcal{F}_\phi \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_\phi} \mathcal{K}_\lambda$. As a result of Theorem 1 we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}_\phi = \bigoplus_{\lambda \leq \phi} \mathcal{U}_\lambda$$

The multiplicities of the irreducibles \mathcal{U}_λ inside \mathcal{F}_μ for $\lambda, \mu \leq \phi$ are given as well.

1.2. About the organization of this paper. This paper is organized as follows. In section §2 we give notations, definitions and a brief review of pocats, latcats and their associated incidence algebras. These are important ingredients in the dictionary between combinatorial data, encoded in the poset structure, and the algebraic or representation theoretic structure we seek to expose. As we wish to remain concrete and motivated this section includes also a list of examples.

Section §3 is the core of this manuscript. There we define and study the Hecke algebra and Hecke modules associated to the various representations under consideration. In section §4 we restrict the objects in question and open a discussion on the explicit computation of the idempotents of the Hecke algebra. They are expressed in terms of combinatorial invariants of the lattice of subobjects. As an illustration we give a new proof of the Fourier decomposition in the category of finite dimensional vector spaces over finite fields.

1.3. Relevant references. Some of the examples considered here (see §2.2) have already appeared in the literature. Using different methods, Grassmannians of sets and vector spaces were studied in [?], [?] respectively. This study was carried on in [?] to somewhat more general Grassmannians related to finite Chevalley groups. One of the main themes in these papers concerns the relations between representations of the groups and orthogonal polynomials. In a different perspective, the study of Grassmannians of finite modules over discrete valuation rings was initiated by G. Hill in [?]. Hill was motivated by the classification of irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$, where \mathcal{O} is the ring of integers of a non-archimedean local field. The main theorem in [?] turns out to be a special case of Theorem 3 above. Furthermore, the explicit computation of the idempotents in this case will be carried out in a subsequent paper [?], using a delicate version of the method developed in §4.

1.4. Acknowledgements. We are most grateful to Amos Nevo for hosting and encouraging this research. We thank Shai Haran for his interest, encouragement and stimulating discussions.

2. THE SETUP

2.1. Pocats and latcats. Let \mathcal{C} be a small category. We wish to distinguish a special collection of morphisms which will play the role of inclusions.

Definition 2.1. A *pocat structure* on \mathcal{C} is a subcategory $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}$ such that:

Order: Each Hom set consists of at most one element denoted \subseteq such that $x \subseteq y$ and $x \subseteq y$ implies $x = y$. We call these special morphisms *inclusions*.

Restriction: For each inclusion $x \subseteq y$ and isomorphism $y \xrightarrow{\sim} y'$ (in $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{C})$) there exist uniquely an object x' , an inclusion and an isomorphism making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x & \subseteq & y \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ x' & \subseteq & y' \end{array}$$

$x \xrightarrow{\sim} x'$ is called the **restriction** of $y \xrightarrow{\sim} y'$, and x' is called the **image** of x under $y \xrightarrow{\sim} y'$.

Thus $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}$ becomes a poset with respect to \subseteq . An **embedding** is a composition of isomorphism and inclusion. A **subobject** is the source of an inclusion. It is natural to saturate the category $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}$ with isomorphisms coming from \mathcal{C} : define $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}}$ to be the subcategory consisting of same objects as $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and all embeddings as morphisms. A pocat is a *latcat* if the underlying poset is a lattice (with meet \wedge and join \vee).

Example 2.2.

Sets: The category of sets is a latcat with respect to inclusions.

Mod_R: Any category of modules over a ring is a latcat with respect to set theoretic inclusions.

$\mathcal{C}^{\leftrightarrow}$: Let $\cdot \longrightarrow \cdot$ denote the category which consists

of two objects and one nonidentity arrow. Define $\mathcal{C}^{\leftrightarrow}$ to be the category of functors (and natural equivalences) from $\cdot \longrightarrow \cdot$ to $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}}$. A pocat structure $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}$ induces naturally a pocat structure $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}^{\leftrightarrow}}$ by declaring that $x \xrightarrow{i} y \leq x' \xrightarrow{i'} y'$ if $x \subseteq x'$, $y \subseteq y'$ and the following square is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x' & \xrightarrow{i'} & y' \\ \cup & & \cup \\ x & \xrightarrow{i} & y \end{array}$$

$\mathcal{C}^{\hookrightarrow\nu}$: Let $\mathcal{C}^{\hookrightarrow\nu}$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}^{\hookrightarrow}$ consisting of morphisms $x \hookrightarrow y$, where ν denotes the isomorphism class of y . The pocat $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}^{\hookrightarrow}}$ restricts to a pocat $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}^{\hookrightarrow\nu}}$ in a natural way.

We let $\mathcal{E}_{\leq x}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}}$ consisting of subobjects of x . Note that $x \simeq y$ implies isomorphism of categories $\mathcal{E}_{\leq x} \simeq \mathcal{E}_{\leq y}$. In our applications all the categories $\mathcal{E}_{\leq x}$ will be finite. We also assume that our categories have an initial object denoted by 0.

Let $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}} = \pi_0(\mathcal{C})$ be the set of **types** (isomorphism classes) in \mathcal{C} . $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$ has a natural structure of a poset: $\xi \leq \eta$ if an object of type ξ can be embedded in an object of type η . Let $\tau : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$ be the **type map**. Let $y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ be of type η . We define the *Grassmannian of subobjects of type ξ in y* :

$$\binom{y}{\xi}_{\mathcal{C}} = \binom{y}{\xi} = \text{The set of subobjects of } y \text{ of type } \xi$$

its size is denoted $\binom{\eta}{\xi} = |\binom{y}{\xi}|$. For the category $\mathcal{C}^{\hookrightarrow}$ we denote $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}^{\hookrightarrow}}$ by $\vec{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and the corresponding type map by $\vec{\tau}$. We will denote the size of a Grassmannian inside $\mathcal{C}^{\hookrightarrow}$ by $\binom{\xi \hookrightarrow \eta}{\xi' \hookrightarrow \eta'}_i$. There is a natural map $\vec{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^2$ taking a morphism type to its source and range types. We denote by $\{\xi \hookrightarrow \eta\}$ the preimage of (ξ, η) under this map.

Note that $\binom{y}{\xi}$ is an $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{C}}(y)$ -space by the restriction axiom. There are $|\{\xi \hookrightarrow \eta\}|$ many $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{C}}(y)$ -orbits in $\binom{y}{\xi}$. Their sizes are given by $\binom{\eta=\eta}{\xi \hookrightarrow \eta}_i$. The latter can be abbreviated to $\binom{\eta}{\xi \hookrightarrow \eta}_i$, thus

$$\binom{\eta}{\xi} = \sum_{\xi \hookrightarrow \eta} \binom{\eta}{\xi \hookrightarrow \eta}_i$$

Of special interest is the case where the action is transitive. This motivates the following definitions:

Definition 2.3. We say that a type ϕ is symmetric if for any f and f' of type ϕ , $x \subseteq f$ and $x' \subseteq f'$ with an isomorphism $h : x \xrightarrow{\sim} x'$, the following diagram could be completed:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f & \xrightarrow{\sim} & f' \\ \cup & & \cup \\ x & \xrightarrow{h} & x' \end{array}$$

An object of symmetric type will be called a symmetric object.

Definition 2.4. Let $\phi \leq \Phi$ be symmetric types. The couple (Φ, ϕ) is called a symmetric couple if for every object F of type Φ , every $f \subseteq F$ of type ϕ and for every $\lambda \leq \phi$,

- (1) There exist $f' \subseteq F$ such that $\tau(f') = \phi$ and $\tau(f \wedge f') = \lambda$.
- (2) For every $x \subseteq F$ such that $\tau(x) \leq \phi$ the square

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} x & \subseteq & x \vee f \\ \cup & & \cup \\ x \wedge f & \subseteq & f \end{array}$$

is cartesian in $\mathcal{E}_{\leq F}$.

The issue here is that the above square should be cartesian in $\mathcal{E}_{\leq F}$. It is obviously cartesian in $\mathcal{P}_{\leq F}$.

Remark 2.5. Observe that for the latcats $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Mod}_R$ and $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Sets}$, every square of the form (1) is a-priori cartesian in \mathcal{C} , hence also in \mathcal{E} .

2.2. Examples. In this section we give a list of examples of Categories with a latcat structure. We will describe the symmetric objects and couples. We won't give proofs in all cases, as these can be regarded as easy exercises.

We will try to describe the automorphism groups of symmetric objects, and their actions on the Grassmannians.

2.2.1. Sets. We already mentioned in Example 2.2 that the category of finite sets, \mathbf{Sets} , has a natural latcat structure. The set of types $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{Sets}}$ can be identified with $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, the type map given by $\tau(A) = |A|$. Every object in \mathbf{Sets} is symmetric, and the couple (n, m) is symmetric if and only if $n \geq 2m$. For an object A of type n , $\text{Aut}(A)$ is identified with the permutation group S_n . The action of $\text{Aut}(A)$ on $\binom{A}{m}$ is identified with the action of S_n on $\binom{[n]}{m}$.

2.2.2. Vector spaces. Denote by \mathbf{Vec}_q (or simply \mathbf{Vec} when q is given) the category of finite dimensional vector spaces over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q . We already mentioned in Example 2.2 that \mathbf{Vec} has a natural latcat structure, given by set theoretic inclusion.

$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{Vec}}$ is naturally identified with $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, by letting for every $V \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{Vec})$, $\tau(V) = \dim(V)$. Our notion of Grassmannian coincides with usual one. The sizes of Grassmannians are given by the q -binomial functions:

$$\binom{n}{m}_{\mathbf{Vec}} = \binom{n}{m}_q = \frac{(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_m (q; q)_{n-m}}$$

Where Pochhammer symbol, $(a; q)_n$ stands for $\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^i)$.

The automorphism groups $\text{Aut}(V)$ is nothing but $\text{PGL}(V)$. It is easy to see that every type in $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{Vec}}$ is symmetric, and that the couple (n, m) is symmetric if and only if $n \geq 2m$.

2.2.3. Anti-symmetric bilinear forms. Denote by \mathbf{Sym}_q (or \mathbf{Sym}) the category which objects are couples (V, B) , where V is an object of \mathbf{Vec}_q , and B is a (possibly degenerate) anti-symmetric bilinear form on V (we will occasionally refer to such an object as a **symplectic space**). The morphisms in \mathbf{Sym} are given by

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sym}}((V, B), (V', B')) = \{\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Vec}}(V, V') \mid B = \phi^*(B')\}$$

The inclusions in \mathbf{Sym} will be given by the morphisms which their underlined linear transformation is an inclusion in \mathbf{Vec} . Thus, the subobjects of (V, B) are exactly $(U, B|_U)$ for $U < V$.

\mathbf{Vec} appears as a full subcat of \mathbf{Sym} , by $V \mapsto (V, 0)$ (a full subcat is a subcat which contains all the subobjects of its objects). The object of \mathbf{Vec} are denoted **isotropic** when regarded as objects of \mathbf{Sym} . For the symplectic space (V, B) , we will use $i(V, B)$ to denote the dimension of a maximal isotropic subspace, that is

$$i(V, B) = \max\{\dim(U) \mid (U, 0) < (V, B)\}$$

We denote $\text{rad}(V, B) = \{v \in V \mid \forall u \in V, B(u, v) = 0\}$, and $n(V, B) = \frac{1}{2} \dim(V/\text{rad}(V, B))$. Given $i = i(V, B)$ and $n = n(V, B)$, we have $\dim(V) = i + n$, and $\dim(\text{rad}(V, B)) = i - n$, thus the set of types may be identified with

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{Sym}} = \{(i, n) \mid i, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, i \geq n\}$$

The order structure on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{Sym}}$ is given by $(i, n) \geq (i', n')$ if and only if both $i \geq i'$ and $n \geq n'$. The type $(i, 0)$ will be denoted sometimes simply by i .

We already know that every isotropic object is symmetric. By Silvester theorem, if B is non-degenerate, then (V, B) is symmetric as well. On the other hand, it is easy to see that for $0 < n < i$, the type (i, n) is non-symmetric (compare radical and non-radical lines). Therefore, we conclude that The symmetric types are exactly the types of the form $(i, 0)$ or (n, n) .

It is an easy exercise to verify that the couples $((n, n), (n, 0))$ are symmetric. The corresponding Grassmannians

$$\begin{pmatrix} (n, n) \\ (n, 0) \end{pmatrix}$$

known as the Lagrangian-Grassmannians, are of interest for us. They are acted upon by $\text{Aut}(n, n) = \text{Sp}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

2.2.4. *Bundles over sets.* Let X be a set. We consider the category which objects are the points of X , and which has a unique morphism between every two points in X . We denote this category by X as well. Let \mathcal{C} be a pocat. We construct out of X and \mathcal{C} a new pocat, having in mind the idea of \mathcal{C} -bundles over X . Let $\mathbf{Bun} = \mathbf{Bun}_X(\mathcal{C})$ be the category which objects are functors from X to \mathcal{C} , and a morphism between two such functors, E and F , is a couple (σ, ϕ) , where σ is a permutation of X and ϕ is a natural transformation from E to $F \circ \sigma$. \mathbf{Bun} inherits a pocat structure from \mathcal{C} . The morphism $(\phi, \sigma) : E \rightarrow F$ is considered to be an inclusion if $\sigma = \text{id}_X$, and for every $x \in X$, the map $\phi_x : E_x \rightarrow F_x$ is an inclusion in \mathcal{C} .

The types of \mathbf{Bun} are given by the multiplicities of the various types in \mathcal{C} , that is

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{Bun}} = \{r : \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \mid \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}} r(\lambda) = |X|\}$$

in particular we denote by $\tilde{\lambda}$ the type in $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{Bun}}$ which satisfies $\tilde{\lambda}(\lambda) = |X|$.

It is immediately seen that an object E in \mathbf{Bun} is symmetric if and only if E_x is symmetric for every $x \in X$. Equivalently, the symmetric types of \mathbf{Bun} are those supported on the set of symmetric types of \mathcal{C} . For a symmetric couple in \mathcal{C} , (Φ, ϕ) , $(\tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\phi})$ is a symmetric couple in \mathbf{Bun} .

The group $\text{Aut}(\tilde{\Phi})$ can be identified with the wreath product $S_{|X|} \ltimes \text{Aut}(\tilde{\Phi})$. It acts on the Grassmannian $\binom{\tilde{\Phi}}{\tilde{\phi}}$, which, in turn, can be identified with $\binom{\Phi}{\phi}^{|X|}$.

Important special cases occur when \mathcal{C} is **Sets**, **Vec** or **Symp**. For short we will consider in the sequel only $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Vec}$. The other two cases are similar.

2.2.5. *Vector bundles.* Let $\mathbf{VBun}_q = \mathbf{Bun}_X(\mathbf{Vec}_q)$ be the category of finite dimensional \mathbb{F}_q -vector bundles over the set X .

The types in \mathbf{VBun} are given by partitions of $k = |X|$, $r = (r_1, \dots, r_m)$, where

$$r_i = |\{x \in X : \dim(E_x) = i\}|$$

The ordering is given by

$$r \geq r' \Leftrightarrow \forall j, \sum_{i \geq j} r_i \geq \sum_{i \geq j} r'_i$$

Another identification of the set of types is

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{VBun}} = \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k) \mid \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k \geq 0\}$$

where the type map is given by

$$\tau(E) = \lambda(E) = (\dim(E_{x_1}), \dim(E_{x_2}), \dots, \dim(E_{x_k}))$$

Here we assume $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ is an ordering of X , such that $\dim(E_{x_i}) \geq \dim(E_{x_{i+1}})$. The ordering is now given by the lexicographic order

$$\lambda \geq \lambda' \Leftrightarrow \forall i, \lambda_i \geq \lambda'_i$$

Using these coordinates, we rewrite $\tilde{m} = (m, m, \dots, m)$. Denote

$$\mathcal{T}_{\leq \tilde{m}} = \{\lambda \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{VBun}} \mid \lambda \leq \tilde{m}\}$$

$$\Lambda_k^m = \{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \mid m \geq \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k \geq 0\}$$

Then $\mathcal{T}_{\leq \tilde{m}} = \Lambda_k^m$.

Let m, n be given, and assume $n \geq 2m$. The couple (\tilde{n}, \tilde{m}) is a symmetric couple. The automorphism group of an object of type \tilde{n} is isomorphic to the wreath product $S_k \times \mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. It acts on the corresponding Grassmannian of $\binom{n}{m}^k$ elements.

2.2.6. Modules over a discrete valuation ring. Let \mathcal{O} be a discrete valuation ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{p} and finite residue field. Let $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}}$ be the category of finite \mathcal{O} -modules. As mentioned in Example 2.2, $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}}$ has a natural lattice structure, given by set theoretic inclusion. Every object in $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}}$ is isomorphic to a unique object of the form

$$M_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)} = \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^{\lambda_1} \oplus \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^{\lambda_2} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^{\lambda_m}, \quad \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_m > 0$$

Denote by Λ the set of all partitions. $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}}}$ is identified with Λ by $\tau(M_\lambda) = \lambda$. The ordering is given by

$$\lambda \geq \lambda' \Leftrightarrow \forall i, \lambda_i \geq \lambda'_i$$

The symmetric objects are the objects of types $k^n = (k, k, \dots, k)$ n -times. $k_1^m \leq k^n$ if and only if $m \leq n$ and $k_1 \leq k$. For $k_1^m \leq k^n$, (k^n, k_1^m) is a symmetric couple if and only if $n \geq 2m$. The set $\mathcal{T}_{\leq k^m}$, consisting of types less than k^m is exactly Λ_m^k (see §2.2.5). The automorphism group of an object of type k^n can be identified with $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^k)$.

2.3. Incidence Algebras. Let (\mathcal{P}, \leq) be a finite (more generally - locally finite) poset and let $\mathcal{P}^{(2)} \subseteq \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}$ be the set of all (x, y) satisfying the relation $x \leq y$. Following [?], define the **incidence algebra** of \mathcal{P} denoted by $I(\mathcal{P})$ to be the collection of \mathbb{Q} -valued functions on $\mathcal{P}^{(2)}$ with product given by:

$$[f \star g](x, y) = \sum_{x \leq z \leq y} f(x, z)g(z, y) \quad x \leq y$$

Endowed with this product $I(\mathcal{P})$ becomes a unital associative \mathbb{Q} -algebra with unit element given by Kronecker's delta function. This algebra has two distinguished elements which will be used frequently in the sequel: the **zeta function** $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}} = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{P}(2)}$, and its inverse the **Möbius function** denoted by $\mu_{\mathcal{P}}$ (cf. [?]).

The Möbius function can be calculated inductively - for all $x \in \mathcal{P}$ set $\mu_{\mathcal{P}}(x, x) = 1$ and assuming $\mu_{\mathcal{P}}(x, z)$ has been calculated for all $z \in [x, y)$, set:

$$(2) \quad \mu_{\mathcal{P}}(x, y) = - \sum_{x \leq z < y} \mu_{\mathcal{P}}(x, z)$$

In the special case of $x = 0$, we denote $\chi(y) = \mu(0, y)$. This notation is justified since equation 2 shows that $\mu(0, y)$ is the Euler characteristic of the flag complex associate to the poset $[0, y]$.

Observation 2.6. $\mu(x, y)$ depends merely on the poset $[x, y]$. More formally,

$$\mu_{\mathcal{P}}(x, y) = \mu_{[x, y]}(0, 1)$$

Example 2.7. Let \mathcal{P} be the latcat \mathbf{Mod}_R . The interval $[x, y]$ is isomorphic as posets to the interval $[0, y/x]$. Therefore $\mu_{\mathcal{P}}(x, y) = \mu_{\mathcal{P}}(0, y/x)$.

Example 2.8. Let \mathcal{P} be the latcat \mathbf{Vec}_q . The flag complex associated to the poset $[0, x]$ is nothing but the Tits building associate to x , and it is known that

$$\chi(x) = (-1)^{\dim(x)} q^{\binom{\dim(x)}{2}}$$

Therefore

$$\mu_{\mathcal{P}}(x, y) = (-1)^{\dim(y) - \dim(x)} q^{\binom{\dim(y) - \dim(x)}{2}}$$

The vector space of \mathbb{Q} -valued functions on \mathcal{P} , which we denote by $V(\mathcal{P})$, has a natural structure of a module over the incidence algebra $I(\mathcal{P})$. The action is given by:

$$f \cdot v(x) = \sum_{y \geq x} f(x, y)v(y) \quad f \in I(\mathcal{P}), v \in V(\mathcal{P})$$

2.4. The incidence algebra of a pocat. Let (\mathcal{P}, \subseteq) be a finite (more generally - locally finite) pocat, (\mathcal{T}, \leq) the poset of types and $\tau : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ the type map. There exist a natural map from $V(\mathcal{P})$ to $V(\mathcal{T})$ given by summation along fibers:

$$\tau_* v(\xi) = \sum_{\tau(x) = \xi} v(x) \quad v \in V(\mathcal{P}), \xi \in \mathcal{T}$$

We would have liked to define a similar map from the incidence algebras $I(\mathcal{P})$ to $I(\mathcal{T})$ which will be a homomorphism. In general this is not possible. Nevertheless, such a morphism can be defined on a subalgebra of $I(\mathcal{P})$ which is defined as follows. Let $\vec{\tau} : \mathcal{P}^{(2)} \rightarrow \vec{\mathcal{T}}$ be the embedding type map. On the level of functions this gives a monomorphism of function spaces, $\mathcal{F}(\vec{\mathcal{T}}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P}^{(2)}) = I(\mathcal{P})$. Denote the image of this map by $J(\mathcal{P})$. It is easily verified that $J(\mathcal{P})$ is in fact a subalgebra of $I(\mathcal{P})$ which contains $\zeta_{\mathcal{P}}$. Define $\tau_{\star}^{(1)} : J(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow I(\mathcal{T})$ by:

$$[\tau_{\star}^{(1)} f](\xi, \eta) = \sum_{x \in \tau^{-1}(\xi)} f(x, y) \quad \xi \in \mathcal{T}, y \in \mathcal{P}, \tau(y) = \eta$$

Proposition 2.9.

- (1) The map $\tau_{\star}^{(1)}$ is well defined homomorphism of algebras.
- (2) The following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J(\mathcal{P}) \times V(\mathcal{P}) & \rightarrow & V(\mathcal{P}) \\ \tau_{\star}^{(1)} \downarrow & & \tau_{\star} \downarrow \\ I(\mathcal{T}) \times V(\mathcal{T}) & \rightarrow & V(\mathcal{T}) \end{array}$$

(the horizontal maps are the module maps)

Proof. Direct calculation. □

Given $h \in J(\mathcal{P})$, we shall denote its image in $I(\mathcal{T})$ by $\hat{h} = \tau_{\star}^{(1)}(h)$. By observation 2.6, μ is an element of $J(\mathcal{P})$. The following corollary is evident.

Corollary 2.10.

- $\hat{\zeta} \hat{\mu} = \hat{\mu} \hat{\zeta} = 1$.
- $\hat{\zeta}(\alpha, \beta) = \binom{\beta}{\alpha}$.
- For every $x \in \mathcal{P}$ of type α we have $\hat{\mu}(0, \alpha) = \mu(0, x) = \chi(x)$.

We denote $\chi(\alpha) = \chi(x)$, thus $\hat{\mu}(0, \alpha) = \chi(\alpha)$.

Example 2.11. Let \mathcal{P} be the latcat \mathbf{Mod}_R . Let $\alpha \leq \beta$ be types, and assume β is symmetric. Then for every $x \leq y$ of types α and β , the type of y/x is the same, and will be denote β/α . for a fixed module y of type β we have

$$\hat{\mu}(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{x \in \tau^{-1}\alpha} \mu(x, y) = \sum_{x \in \tau^{-1}\alpha} \chi(\beta/\alpha) = \binom{\beta}{\alpha} \chi(\beta/\alpha)$$

In case β is not symmetric we consider the latcat $\mathbf{Mod}_R^{\hookrightarrow \beta}$. Then, similarly,

$$\hat{\mu}(\alpha \xrightarrow{i} \beta, \beta = \beta) = \binom{\beta}{\alpha \xrightarrow{i} \beta} \chi(\text{coker}(i))$$

where $\text{coker}(i)$ is the type of y/x for $x \subseteq y$ satisfying $\vec{\tau}(x \subseteq y) = i$. In \mathbf{Mod}_R we have

$$\hat{\mu}(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{\alpha \xrightarrow{i} \beta} \sum_{\vec{\tau}(x \subseteq y) = i} \mu(x, y) = \sum_{\alpha \xrightarrow{i} \beta} \binom{\beta = \beta}{\alpha \xrightarrow{i} \beta} \chi(\text{coker}(i))$$

Example 2.12. A special case of the former example is $\mathcal{P} = \mathbf{Vec}_q$. Then we have by example 2.8,

$$\hat{\mu}(m, n) = (-1)^{n-m} q^{\binom{n-m}{2}} \binom{n}{m}_q$$

3. REPRESENTATIONS

3.1. Representations arising from Grassmannians. Let \mathcal{L} be a finite latcat with minimal element 0 and a maximal element F of symmetric type Φ . Let \mathcal{T} the poset of types and $\tau : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ the type map. For each type $\lambda \in \mathcal{T}$ let $X_\lambda = \binom{F}{\lambda}$ be the Grassmannian of subobjects of F of type λ . Let $G = \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{C}}(F)$. As we mentioned in §2, F being symmetric implies that X_λ is a homogeneous G -space. Let \mathcal{F}_λ stand for \mathbb{Q} -valued functions on X_λ . Then the \mathcal{F}_λ 's become a family of representations of G :

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\lambda : G &\longrightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F}_\lambda) \\ g &\longmapsto [\rho_\lambda(g)f](x) = f(g^{-1}x) \end{aligned}$$

\mathcal{F}_λ is equipped with the standard G -invariant inner product:

$$(f, g) = \sum_{x \in X_\lambda} f(x)g(x) \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda$$

Fix once and for all $\phi \leq \Phi$ which forms a symmetric couple. Recall that $\{\lambda \leftrightarrow \mu\}$ stands for the set of arrow types with source of type λ and range of type μ . The main theorem in this section is:

Theorem 2. *There exists a collection of irreducible G -representations $\{\mathcal{U}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \leq \phi}$ such that:*

- (1) $\mathcal{F}_\phi = \bigoplus_{\lambda \leq \phi} \mathcal{U}_\lambda$.
- (2) For every $\lambda, \nu \leq \phi$:

$$\langle \mathcal{U}_\lambda, \mathcal{F}_\nu \rangle = |\{\lambda \leftrightarrow \nu\}|$$

I.e., the multiplicity of \mathcal{U}_λ in \mathcal{F}_ν is the number of non-equivalent embeddings of an object of type λ in an object of type ν . In particular \mathcal{U}_λ appears in \mathcal{F}_λ with multiplicity one and does not appear in \mathcal{F}_μ for $\mu < \lambda$.

Proof. Postponed to § 3.6. □

3.2. An equivalence of categories. Let G be a group and denote by \mathcal{M}_G the category of its finite dimensional representations. For any $V \in \mathcal{M}_G$ we can slice \mathcal{M}_G and look at the full subcategory $\mathcal{M}_{G,V}$ which consists of representations generated by irreducibles appearing in V . Let $\mathcal{H}_V = \text{End}_G(V)$ be the *Hecke algebra* associated with V and let $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}_V}$ be the category of finitely generated left \mathcal{H}_V -modules.

Proposition 3.1. *$\mathcal{M}_{G,V}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}_V}$ are equivalent categories. The irreducible representations in V are in one to one correspondence with the irreducible \mathcal{H}_V -modules.*

Proof. The functors:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{G,V} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}_V} \\ U & \longmapsto & \text{Hom}_G(V, U) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{H}_V} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{G,V} \\ M & \longmapsto & V \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_V} M \end{array}$$

forms an equivalence of categories. □

For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{T}$ set $\mathcal{N}_{\mu,\lambda} = \text{Hom}_G(\mathcal{F}_\lambda, \mathcal{F}_\mu)$ and $\mathcal{H}_\lambda = \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\lambda}$. Composition gives paring:

$$\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu} \times \mathcal{N}_{\mu,\nu} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\nu}$$

which turns \mathcal{H}_λ into an algebra and $\mathcal{N}_{\mu,\lambda}$ into an \mathcal{H}_λ - \mathcal{H}_μ -bimodule.

Our main goal is to study \mathcal{H}_ϕ and its modules. It turns out that it is fruitful to have a slightly broader picture.

3.3. Notations for intertwining operators.

- Given an embedding type $\lambda \xrightarrow{i} \mu$, attach to it the following operators (they are transpose of each other):

$$\mathbf{T}_{\mu \xrightarrow{i} \lambda} : \mathcal{F}_\lambda \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_\mu$$

$$h \longmapsto \mathbf{T}_{\mu \xrightarrow{i} \lambda} h(y) = \sum_{\{x: x \xrightarrow{i} y\}} h(x) \quad y \in X_\mu$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{\lambda \xrightarrow{i} \mu} : \mathcal{F}_\mu \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_\lambda$$

$$h \longmapsto \mathbf{T}_{\lambda \xrightarrow{i} \mu} h(x) = \sum_{\{y: x \xrightarrow{i} y\}} h(y) \quad x \in X_\lambda$$

- Given $\lambda \leq \mu$ we can define the maps:

$$\mathbf{T}_{\mu \succ \lambda} = \sum_{\lambda \xrightarrow{i} \mu} \mathbf{T}_{\mu \xrightarrow{i} \lambda} \qquad \mathbf{T}_{\lambda \prec \mu} = \sum_{\lambda \xrightarrow{i} \mu} \mathbf{T}_{\lambda \xrightarrow{i} \mu}$$

i.e. averaging over all subobjects (supobjects) of same type regardless of the embedding. If $\mu = \phi$ is a symmetric type

these sums consist of a unique summand each, as there is unique embedding. Then the two notations degenerate: $\mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \lambda} = \mathbf{T}_{\phi \leftrightarrow \lambda}$ and $\mathbf{T}_{\lambda \prec \phi} = \mathbf{T}_{\lambda \leftrightarrow \phi}$.

In order to minimize confusion, we follow the rule that whenever an operator is labeled with a diagram, it acts from the space indexed by the *right* type of the diagram to the space indexed by the *left* type.

3.4. The Hecke algebra \mathcal{H}_ϕ .

3.4.1. *The geometric basis of \mathcal{H}_ϕ .* Let $X_\phi \times_G X_\phi$ be the equivalence classes of the diagonal action of G on $X_\phi \times X_\phi$. The set $X_\phi \times_G X_\phi$ has a natural parametrization:

$$X_\phi \times_G X_\phi \simeq \{\lambda \mid \lambda \leq \phi\} = [0, \phi]$$

This isomorphism is given by the (G -invariant map) $X_\phi \times X_\phi \rightarrow [0, \phi]$, given by $(x, y) \mapsto \tau(x \wedge y)$. This map is onto by definition 2.4 part (i) and is one-to-one by part (ii). Indeed, for (x, y) and (x', y') in $X_\phi \times X_\phi$, if $\tau(x \wedge y) = \tau(x' \wedge y')$ then the following diagram of inclusions could be completed to a commutative one using vertical isomorphisms:

$$(D1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} x & \supseteq & x \wedge y & \subseteq & y \\ | \wr & & | \wr & & | \wr \\ x' & \supseteq & x' \wedge y' & \subseteq & y' \end{array}$$

and by the existence of push-outs, these isomorphisms extend to $x \vee y \simeq x' \vee y'$. Finally, since F is symmetric this isomorphism extends to an automorphism of F . \mathcal{H}_ϕ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}(X_\phi \times_G X_\phi)$ (viewing functions as integration kernels) which in turn is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}([0, \phi])$ (by the above discussion). Composing these isomorphisms we obtain the vector space isomorphism

$$V(\mathcal{T}_{\leq \phi}) = \mathcal{F}([0, \phi]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}_\phi \\ \mathbf{1}_\lambda \mapsto \mathbf{g}_\lambda$$

where

$$[\mathbf{g}_\lambda h](x) = \sum_{\{y \in X_\phi \mid \tau(x \wedge y) = \lambda\}} h(y) \quad x \in X_\phi, h \in \mathcal{F}_\phi$$

Remark: It is useful to view \mathbf{g} as a function $\lambda \mapsto \mathbf{g}_\lambda$, that is as an element of $V(\mathcal{T}_{\leq \phi}) \otimes \mathcal{H}_\phi$.

Proposition 3.2. \mathcal{H}_ϕ is a semisimple commutative \mathbb{Q} -algebra of dimension $|[0, \phi]|$.

Proof. The only thing we have to show is commutativity. This follows from Gelfand's trick: transposition, which is an anti-isomorphism, is realized by the flip map on $X_\phi \times_G X_\phi$, which is a trivial operation. \square

3.4.2. *The cellular structure of \mathcal{H}_ϕ .* Define $\mathbf{c}_\lambda = \mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \lambda} \mathbf{T}_{\lambda \prec \phi}$. Observe that up to constant \mathbf{c}_λ is simply a composition of averaging operators $\mathcal{F}_\phi \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\phi$:

$$[\mathbf{c}_\lambda h](x) = \sum_{X_\lambda \ni y \subseteq x} \sum_{X_\phi \ni z \supset y} h(z) \quad (h \in \mathcal{F}_\phi, x \in X_\phi)$$

Collecting terms according to intersection types and using the definition of \mathbf{g}_λ gives:

$$(\mathbf{c}\text{-}\mathbf{g}) \quad \mathbf{c}_\lambda = \sum_{\kappa \geq \lambda} \binom{\kappa}{\lambda} \mathbf{g}_\kappa$$

where $\binom{\kappa}{\lambda} = \hat{\zeta}(\lambda, \kappa)$ is the number of subobjects of type λ inside an object of type κ (see Corollary 2.10). Viewing \mathbf{c} as an element of $V(\mathcal{T}_{\leq \phi}) \otimes \mathcal{H}_\phi$, equation $(\mathbf{c}\text{-}\mathbf{g})$ becomes $\mathbf{c} = \hat{\zeta} \mathbf{g}$. Therefore $\mathbf{g} = \hat{\mu} \mathbf{c}$, and it follows that $\{\mathbf{c}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \leq \phi}$ forms a basis of \mathcal{H}_ϕ . We call this basis the *cellular basis* of \mathcal{H}_ϕ . For each $\lambda \leq \phi$ set:

$$\mathcal{H}_\phi^\lambda = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathbf{c}_{\lambda'} \mid \lambda' \leq \lambda\} \quad \mathcal{H}_\phi^{\lambda^-} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathbf{c}_{\lambda'} \mid \lambda' < \lambda\}$$

Theorem 3 (Cellular ideal structure). *For every $\lambda, \mu \leq \phi$:*

- (1) \mathcal{H}_ϕ^λ and $\mathcal{H}_\phi^{\lambda^-}$ are ideals.
- (2) $\mathcal{H}_\phi^\lambda \cdot \mathcal{H}_\phi^\mu = \mathcal{H}_\phi^\lambda \cap \mathcal{H}_\phi^\mu$. If \mathcal{T} is a lattice they both equal to $\mathcal{H}_\phi^{\lambda \wedge \mu}$.
- (3) $\{\mathcal{K}_\lambda = \mathcal{H}_\phi^\lambda / \mathcal{H}_\phi^{\lambda^-}\}_{\lambda \leq \phi}$ is a complete set of inequivalent irreducible \mathcal{H}_ϕ -modules.
- (4) As a \mathcal{H}_ϕ -modules,

$$\mathcal{H}_\phi^\lambda \simeq \bigoplus_{\mu \leq \lambda} \mathcal{K}_\mu$$

Proof. Postponed to §3.6. □

Note that Theorem 3 can be used to characterize the irreducible representations of \mathcal{H}_ϕ : \mathcal{K}_λ is the *unique* representation which is **annihilated** by all $\{\mathcal{H}_\phi^\mu\}_{\mu < \lambda}$ and **not annihilated** by \mathcal{H}_ϕ^λ . In view of the dictionary between representations of \mathcal{H}_ϕ and representations of G (section 3.2), we can now label the representations of G which occur in \mathcal{F}_ϕ by: $\mathcal{U}_\lambda \leftrightarrow \mathcal{K}_\lambda$.

Moreover, by the definition of \mathbf{c}_λ as the composition $\mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \lambda} \mathbf{T}_{\lambda \prec \phi}$, the annihilation criterion above translates to the fact that \mathcal{U}_λ occurs in \mathcal{F}_λ and does not occur in \mathcal{F}_μ for $\mu < \lambda$. The exact multiplicities in which the \mathcal{U}_λ 's appear in \mathcal{F}_μ for arbitrary μ is the subject of the next section.

3.5. The Hecke modules $\mathcal{N}_{\phi,\nu}$. In this section we consider the various bases, and the cellular structure of the \mathcal{H}_ϕ -module $\mathcal{N}_{\phi,\nu}$, for $\nu \leq \phi$. To begin with, we give a simple Lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\lambda \leq \nu \leq \phi$ and $\lambda \xrightarrow{i} \nu$ an embedding type. Then there exist $x, f \subseteq F$, such that $\tau(f) = \phi$ and $\bar{\tau}(x \wedge f \subseteq x) = i$.*

The proof is an exercise with the definitions.

Proof. As ϕ is symmetric, there exist f, f' of type ϕ with $\tau(f \wedge f') = \lambda$. Denote $y = f \wedge f'$. Fix a subobject $x' \leq f'$ of type ν . There exist also $y'' \leq x''$ with $\bar{\tau}(y'' \leq x'') = i$. Since F is symmetric there is $g \in G$ with $gx'' = x' \leq f'$. Denote gy'' by y' . Since f' is symmetric, there is some $h \in \text{Aut}(f')$ such that $hy' = y$. We are done by letting $x = hx'$. \square

3.5.1. The geometric basis of $\mathcal{N}_{\phi,\nu}$. We analyze the structure of $X_\phi \times_G X_\nu$ following a similar line to the analysis of $X_\phi \times_G X_\phi$, given in §3.4.1.

The set $X_\phi \times_G X_\nu$ has a natural parametrization:

$$X_\phi \times_G X_\nu \simeq \{\lambda \xrightarrow{i} \nu \mid \lambda \leq \nu\} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}^{\leftarrow \nu}}$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}^{\leftarrow \nu}}$ is the poset of types of the category $\mathcal{C}^{\leftarrow \nu}$ (see example 2.2). This isomorphism is given by the (G -invariant map) $X_\phi \times X_\nu \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}^{\leftarrow \nu}}$, given by $(x, y) \mapsto \bar{\tau}(x \wedge y \subseteq x)$. This map is an isomorphism by the discussion in §3.4.1 together with Lemma 3.3. $\mathcal{N}_{\phi,\nu}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}(X_\phi \times_G X_\nu)$, which in turn is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}^{\leftarrow \nu}})$. Composing these isomorphisms we obtain the vector space isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} V(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}^{\leftarrow \nu}}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{N}_{\phi,\nu} \\ \mathbf{1}_i &\mapsto \mathbf{G}_i \end{aligned}$$

where

$$[\mathbf{G}_i h](x) = \sum_{\{y \in X_\nu \mid \bar{\tau}(x \wedge y \subseteq x) = i\}} h(y) \quad x \in X_\phi, h \in \mathcal{F}_\nu$$

Remark: It is useful to view \mathbf{G} as a function $i \mapsto \mathbf{G}_i$, that is as an element of $V(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}^{\leftarrow \nu}}) \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\phi,\nu}$.

3.5.2. The cellular structure of $\mathcal{N}_{\phi,\nu}$. For an embedding type $\lambda \xrightarrow{i} \nu$, define $\mathbf{C}_i = \mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \lambda} \mathbf{T}_{\lambda \xrightarrow{i} \nu}$. In analogy with **(c-g)**, we have:

$$\text{(C-G)} \quad \mathbf{C}_i = \sum_{(\eta' \xrightarrow{i'} \lambda) \geq (\eta \xrightarrow{i} \lambda)} \begin{pmatrix} \eta' & \xrightarrow{i'} & \lambda \\ & i' & \\ \eta & \xrightarrow{i} & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{G}_{i'}$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} \eta' \hookrightarrow \lambda \\ \eta \hookrightarrow \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \hat{\zeta}_{\mathbf{C} \hookrightarrow \nu}(i, i')$. Viewing \mathbf{C} as an element of $V(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{C} \hookrightarrow \nu}) \otimes \mathcal{H}_\phi$, equation **(C-G)** becomes $\mathbf{C} = \hat{\zeta}_{\mathbf{C} \hookrightarrow \nu} \mathbf{G}$. Therefore $\mathbf{G} = \hat{\mu}_{\mathbf{C} \hookrightarrow \nu} \mathbf{C}$, and it follows that $\{\mathbf{C}_i\}_{\lambda \hookrightarrow \nu}$ forms a basis of $\mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}$. We call this basis the *cellular basis* of $\mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}$. For each $\lambda \leq \phi$ set:

$$\mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^\lambda = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathbf{G}_i \mid \lambda' \leq \lambda, \lambda' \xrightarrow{i} \nu\}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^{\lambda^-} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathbf{G}_i \mid \lambda' < \lambda, \lambda' \xrightarrow{i} \nu\}$$

Theorem 4 (Cellular submodule structure). *For every $\lambda \leq \phi$:*

- (1) $\mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^\lambda$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^{\lambda^-}$ are submodules of $\mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}$.
- (2) $\mathcal{H}_\phi^\lambda \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu} = \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^\lambda$ and $\mathcal{H}_\phi^{\lambda^-} \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu} = \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^{\lambda^-}$.
- (3) $\mathcal{N}_{\nu, \phi}^\lambda / \mathcal{N}_{\nu, \phi}^{\lambda^-}$ is isomorphic to the \mathcal{K}_λ -isotypic submodule of $\mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}$.
- (4) As a \mathcal{H}_ϕ -modules,

$$\mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^\lambda \simeq \bigoplus_{\mu \leq \lambda} (\mathcal{K}_\mu)^{|\{\mu \hookrightarrow \nu\}|}$$

Proof. Postponed to §3.6. □

3.6. Proofs.

3.6.1. *Some Lemmas.* Denote by $[\mu \prec \lambda]_\phi$ the number of subobjects of type λ which contain a given subobject of type μ and are contained in a given object of type ϕ (when ϕ is symmetric this is a well defined quantity).

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\mu \leq \lambda \leq \eta, \phi$ be types, assume ϕ is symmetric. Let $i : \mu \hookrightarrow \lambda$ and $j : \lambda \hookrightarrow \eta$ be given types of embeddings. Then*

1. $\mathbf{T}_{\eta \hookrightarrow \lambda} \mathbf{T}_{\lambda \hookrightarrow \mu} \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{N}}\{\mathbf{T}_{\eta \hookrightarrow \mu} | k\}$ 1'. $\mathbf{T}_{\mu \hookrightarrow \lambda} \mathbf{T}_{\lambda \hookrightarrow \eta} \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{N}}\{\mathbf{T}_{\mu \hookrightarrow \eta} | k\}$
2. $\mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \lambda} \mathbf{T}_{\lambda \hookrightarrow \mu} \in \mathbb{N} \cdot \mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \mu}$ 2'. $\mathbf{T}_{\mu \hookrightarrow \lambda} \mathbf{T}_{\lambda \prec \phi} \in \mathbb{N} \cdot \mathbf{T}_{\mu \prec \phi}$
3. $\mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \lambda} \mathbf{T}_{\lambda \succ \mu} = [\mu \prec \lambda]_\phi \mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \mu}$ 3'. $\mathbf{T}_{\mu \prec \lambda} \mathbf{T}_{\lambda \prec \phi} = [\mu \prec \lambda]_\phi \mathbf{T}_{\mu \prec \phi}$

Proof. 1. We fix $x_0 \in X_\mu$ and denote its characteristic function by $\delta_{x_0} \in \mathcal{F}_\mu$. Since this is a cyclic vector for the representation, everything is determined by the action on this element:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{T}_{\eta \hookrightarrow \lambda} \mathbf{T}_{\lambda \hookrightarrow \mu} \delta_{x_0}(z) &= \sum_{\{y | y \xrightarrow{j} z\}} \sum_{\{x | x \xrightarrow{i} y\}} \delta_{x_0}(x) = \sum_{\{y | y \xrightarrow{j} z\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{y' | x_0 \hookrightarrow y'\}}(y) \\
 (\star) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \sum_{\substack{\mu \xrightarrow{k} \eta \\ k}} \# \left\{ y \left| \begin{array}{c} x_0 \subseteq y \subseteq z \\ \lambda \xrightarrow{i} \lambda \xrightarrow{j} \eta \end{array} \right. \right\} \mathbf{T}_{\eta \xrightarrow{k} \mu} \delta_{x_0}(z)
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular $\mathbf{T}_{\eta \leftrightarrow \lambda} \mathbf{T}_{\lambda \leftrightarrow \mu} \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{N}}\{\mathbf{T}_{\eta \leftrightarrow \mu} \mid k\}$.

2. Set $\eta = \phi$ in the first part. Then (\star) reduces to:

$$(\star\star) \quad \mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \lambda} \mathbf{T}_{\lambda \leftrightarrow \mu} = \# \left\{ y \left| \begin{array}{c} x_0 \subseteq y \subseteq z \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ \mu \hookrightarrow \lambda \hookrightarrow \phi \end{array} \right. \right\} \mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \mu}$$

3. Follows from equation $(\star\star)$, as

$$\sum_i \# \left\{ y \left| \begin{array}{c} x_0 \subseteq y \subseteq z \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ \mu \hookrightarrow \lambda \hookrightarrow \phi \end{array} \right. \right\} = [\mu \prec \lambda]_{\phi}$$

The other three assertions follow by transposition. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\mu \leq \lambda, \omega \leq \phi$ be types, and assume that the couple (Φ, ν) is symmetric. Then the map*

$$\mathcal{N}_{\omega, \lambda}^{\mu} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\phi}, \quad C \mapsto \mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \omega} \circ C \circ \mathbf{T}_{\lambda \prec \phi}$$

maps $\mathcal{N}_{\omega, \lambda}^{\mu}$ onto \mathcal{H}_{ϕ}^{μ} , and it maps $\mathcal{N}_{\phi, \lambda}^{\mu^-}$ onto $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}^{\mu^-}$.

Proof. Follows immediately from Lemma 3.4, part (2), using cellular bases. \square

Lemma 3.6. *$\mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^{\lambda}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^{\lambda^-}$ are \mathcal{H}_{ϕ} -submodules of $\mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}$ $\forall \lambda \in [0, \nu]$.*

Proof. Let $\mu \leq \phi, \lambda' \leq \lambda$ and $i : \lambda' \hookrightarrow \nu$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c}_{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{C}_i &= (\mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \mu} \mathbf{T}_{\mu \prec \phi} \mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \lambda'}) \mathbf{T}_{\lambda' \hookrightarrow \nu} \\ &\in \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathbf{C}_j \mid \eta \leq \lambda', \eta \hookrightarrow \lambda'\} \circ \mathbf{T}_{\lambda' \hookrightarrow \nu} \\ &= \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \eta} \mathbf{T}_{\eta \hookrightarrow \lambda'} \mathbf{T}_{\lambda' \hookrightarrow \nu} \mid \eta \leq \lambda', \eta \hookrightarrow \lambda'\} \\ &\subseteq \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \eta} \mathbf{T}_{\eta \hookrightarrow \nu} \mid \eta \leq \nu, \eta \hookrightarrow \nu\} \\ &= \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathbf{C}_k \mid \eta \leq \nu, \eta \hookrightarrow \nu\} = \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^{\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

(the inclusion follows from Lemma 3.4)

This implies that $\mathcal{N}_{\nu, \phi}^{\lambda}$ is a module since the \mathbf{c}_{μ} 's generate \mathcal{H}_{ϕ} and the \mathbf{C}_i 's generate $\mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^{\lambda}$. Finally $\mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^{\lambda^-}$ is a submodule as well, since $\mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^{\lambda^-} = \sum_{\lambda' < \lambda} \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^{\lambda'}$. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\lambda \leq \nu \leq \phi$ and $\mu \leq \phi$ be types.*

(1) *If $\mu \geq \lambda$ then*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\phi}^{\mu} \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^{\lambda} = \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^{\lambda}$$

(2) *If $\mu \not\geq \lambda$ then*

$$\mathcal{H}_{\phi}^{\mu} \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^{\lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^{\lambda^-}$$

Proof. Part 1: By Lemma 3.6, $\mathcal{H}_\phi^\mu \mathcal{N}_{\phi,\nu}^\lambda \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\phi,\nu}^\lambda$. We argue to show the reverse inclusion. Assume (by induction with respect to the partial order on \mathcal{T}) that for every $\lambda' < \lambda$, $\mathcal{H}_\phi^\mu \mathcal{N}_{\phi,\nu}^{\lambda'} = \mathcal{N}_{\phi,\nu}^{\lambda'}$. Then $\mathcal{H}_\phi^\mu \mathcal{N}_{\phi,\nu}^\lambda \supseteq \mathcal{N}_{\phi,\nu}^{\lambda^-}$, and we are left to show that for every inclusion type $\lambda \xrightarrow{i} \nu$, $\mathbf{C}_{\phi \succ \lambda \xrightarrow{i} \nu} \in \mathcal{H}_\phi^\mu \mathcal{N}_{\phi,\nu}^\lambda$. We first consider the case $\nu = \lambda$. Assume $\mathcal{H}_\phi^\mu \mathcal{N}_{\phi,\lambda}^\lambda = \mathcal{N}_{\phi,\lambda}^{\lambda^-}$. Composing on the right with $\mathbf{T}_{\lambda < \phi}$ we get, using Lemma 3.5, that $\mathcal{H}_\phi^\mu \mathcal{H}_\phi^\lambda = \mathcal{H}_\phi^{\lambda^-}$, which is an absurd, as \mathcal{H}_ϕ^λ has a unit. Therefore $\mathcal{H}_\phi^\mu \mathcal{N}_{\phi,\lambda}^\lambda = \mathcal{N}_{\phi,\lambda}^\lambda$. In particular we get that $\mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \lambda} \in \mathcal{H}_\phi^\mu \mathcal{N}_{\phi,\lambda}^\lambda$. The general case follows by Lemma 3.4, because

$$\mathbf{C}_{\phi \succ \lambda \xrightarrow{i} \nu} = \mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \lambda} \circ \mathbf{T}_{\lambda \xrightarrow{i} \nu} \in \mathcal{H}_\phi^\mu \mathcal{N}_{\phi,\lambda}^\lambda \mathbf{T}_{\lambda \xrightarrow{i} \nu} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\phi^\mu \mathcal{N}_{\phi,\nu}^\lambda$$

and we proved $\mathcal{H}_\phi^\mu \mathcal{N}_{\phi,\nu}^\lambda = \mathcal{N}_{\phi,\nu}^\lambda$.

Part 2: By Lemma 3.6, $\mathcal{H}_\phi^\mu \mathcal{N}_{\phi,\nu}^{\lambda^-} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\phi,\nu}^{\lambda^-}$. We have therefore reduced the proof to showing that $\mathbf{c}_{\mu'} \cdot \mathbf{C}_{\phi \succ \lambda \xrightarrow{i} \nu} = \mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \mu'} \mathbf{T}_{\mu' < \phi} \mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \lambda} \mathbf{T}_{\lambda \xrightarrow{i} \nu} \in \mathcal{N}_{\phi,\nu}^{\lambda^-}$ for all $\mu' \leq \mu$ and $i : \lambda \xrightarrow{i} \nu$. Express the map $\mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \mu'} \mathbf{T}_{\mu' < \phi} \mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \lambda}$ in the cellular basis of $\mathcal{N}_{\phi,\lambda}$:

$$(3) \quad \mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \mu'} \mathbf{T}_{\mu' < \phi} \mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \lambda} = \sum_{\lambda' \xrightarrow{j} \lambda} a_{\lambda' \xrightarrow{j} \lambda} \mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \lambda'} \mathbf{T}_{\lambda' \xrightarrow{j} \lambda}$$

We claim that $a_{\lambda \xrightarrow{id} \lambda} = 0$. Indeed, assume this was not the situation. Compose the map $\mathbf{T}_{\lambda < \phi}$ on the right of both sides of equation (3), and present it with respect to the cellular basis of \mathcal{H}_ϕ . Using Lemma 3.4, it is clear from the presentation of the r.h.s. that the coefficient of \mathbf{c}_λ is non-trivial. On the other hand, the l.h.s. becomes $\mathbf{c}_{\mu'} \cdot \mathbf{c}_\lambda$. The latter is in $\mathcal{H}_\phi^{\lambda^-}$ by the assumption $\mu \not\geq \lambda$, using Theorem 3. This is a contradiction.

Composing with $\mathbf{T}_{\lambda \xrightarrow{i} \nu}$ on the right of both sides of equation (3) gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \mu'} \mathbf{T}_{\mu' < \phi} \mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \lambda} \mathbf{T}_{\lambda \xrightarrow{i} \nu} &= \sum_{\lambda' \xrightarrow{j} \lambda, \lambda' < \lambda} a_{\lambda' \xrightarrow{j} \lambda} \mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \lambda'} (\mathbf{T}_{\lambda' \xrightarrow{j} \lambda} \mathbf{T}_{\lambda \xrightarrow{i} \nu}) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda' \xrightarrow{k} \nu, \lambda' < \lambda} b_{\lambda' \xrightarrow{k} \nu} \mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \lambda'} \mathbf{T}_{\lambda' \xrightarrow{k} \nu} \in \mathcal{N}_{\phi,\nu}^{\lambda^-} \end{aligned}$$

□

3.6.2. Proof of Theorem 3.

Proof. By Lemma 3.6, for every $\lambda \leq \phi$, \mathcal{H}_ϕ^λ is an ideal (substitute $\nu = \phi$). It follows that for every $\lambda \leq \phi$, $\mathcal{H}_\phi^{\lambda^-}$ is an ideal as well, by:

$\mathcal{H}_\phi^{\lambda^-} = \sum_{\lambda' < \lambda} \mathcal{H}_\phi^{\lambda'}$. This proves part (1). To prove part (2), observe first that $\mathcal{H}_\phi^\lambda \cdot \mathcal{H}_\phi^\mu \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\phi^\lambda \cap \mathcal{H}_\phi^\mu$. The opposite inclusion follows from the fact that each ideal (considered as a ring) contains a unit, namely the sum of its minimal idempotents. Therefore:

$$\mathcal{H}_\phi^\lambda \cdot \mathcal{H}_\phi^\mu \supseteq (\mathcal{H}_\phi^\lambda \cap \mathcal{H}_\phi^\mu) \cdot (\mathcal{H}_\phi^\lambda \cap \mathcal{H}_\phi^\mu) = \mathcal{H}_\phi^\lambda \cap \mathcal{H}_\phi^\mu$$

Part (3) follows from the fact that $\{\mathcal{K}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \leq \phi}$ is a collection of $\dim(\mathcal{H}_\phi)$ distinct one dimensional \mathcal{H}_ϕ -representations (they are indeed distinct by the characterization of \mathcal{K}_λ given after Theorem 3). Part (4) is proved by induction with respect to the partial order on \mathcal{T} . \square

3.6.3. Proof of Theorem 4.

Proof. Part (1) is the content of Lemma 3.6. By part (1) of Lemma 3.7, we get:

$$\mathcal{H}_\phi^\lambda \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu} = \mathcal{H}_\phi^\lambda \left(\mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^\lambda + \sum_{\mu \not\leq \lambda} \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^\mu \right) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^\lambda + \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^{\lambda^-} = \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^\lambda$$

while by part (2) of Lemma 3.7 we get:

$$\mathcal{H}_\phi^\lambda \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu} \supseteq \mathcal{H}_\phi^\lambda \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^\lambda = \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^\lambda$$

Thus indeed, $\mathcal{H}_\phi^\lambda \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu} = \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^\lambda$. The equation $\mathcal{H}_\phi^{\lambda^-} \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^{\lambda^-}$ immediately follows, and we proved part (2) of the theorem.

It follows that for every $\mu \leq \phi$, the \mathcal{K}_λ -isotypic component of $\mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}$ is $\mathcal{K}_\lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_\phi} \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu} \simeq (\mathcal{H}_\phi^\lambda / \mathcal{H}_\phi^{\lambda^-}) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_\phi} \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu} \simeq \mathcal{H}_\phi^\lambda \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu} / \mathcal{H}_\phi^{\lambda^-} \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu} \simeq \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^\lambda / \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^{\lambda^-}$ and we proved part (3) of the theorem. Finally, using cellular bases, we see that $\dim(\mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^\lambda / \mathcal{N}_{\phi, \nu}^{\lambda^-}) = |\{\lambda \hookrightarrow \nu\}|$, and part (4) follows. \square

3.6.4. Proof of Theorem 2.

Proof. By Proposition 3.1, The modules \mathcal{K}_λ correspond to the irreducible representations of G , $\mathcal{U}_\lambda = \mathcal{F}_\phi \otimes \mathcal{K}_\lambda$. Part (2) of Theorem 2 now follows from Theorem 3, part (4). Part (1) is a special case of part (2), as ϕ is symmetric. \square

4. HECKE ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED TO THE CATEGORY \mathbf{Mod}_R

4.1. A counting principle. Let R be a ring. In this section we consider exclusively the category \mathbf{Mod}_R . Fix a symmetric type Φ , and a module F of type Φ . Let \mathcal{P} denote the finite latcat $\mathbf{Mod}_{\leq F}$. Let \mathcal{T} be the poset of types and $\tau : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ the type map.

Let X be a set and assume we are given a map $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$. Define the following elements in $V(\mathcal{P})$:

$$\begin{aligned} s_\varphi(y) &= |\{x \in X \mid \varphi(x) = y\}| \\ t_\varphi(y) &= |\{x \in X \mid \varphi(x) \supseteq y\}| \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $t_\varphi = \zeta_{\mathcal{P}} \cdot s_\varphi$. By multiplying both sides by $\mu_{\mathcal{P}}$ (using proposition 2.9) we get $\hat{s}_\varphi = \hat{\mu}_{\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{T}} \cdot \hat{t}_\varphi$. Observing that $0 \in \mathcal{P}$ is the *unique* element above $0 \in \mathcal{T}$, we obtain (using Corollary 2.10) an inclusion-exclusion type formula which will be useful in the sequel:

$$(4) \quad s_\varphi(0) = \hat{s}_\varphi(0) = \hat{\mu}_{\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{T}} \cdot \hat{t}_\varphi(0) = \sum_{\alpha} \chi(\alpha) \hat{t}_\varphi(\alpha)$$

Recall (Lemma 3.4) that $[\alpha \prec \kappa]_\phi$ is the number of submodule of type κ which are contained in a given module of type ϕ , and contain a given submodule of type α . Let x_κ and x_ω be disjoint submodules of F of types κ and ω (i.e $x_\kappa \wedge x_\omega = 0$). We introduce another convenient notation: $[\omega \prec \dot{\beta} \cap \kappa]_\Phi$ will denote the number of submodules of F of type β which contain x_ω , and are disjoint from x_κ .

Define $X = \{x \subset F \mid \tau(x) = \beta, x_\omega \subset x\}$, and $\varphi : X \rightarrow \text{Ob}(\mathcal{E}_{\leq F})$ by $\varphi(x) = x \wedge x_\kappa$. Then

$$s_\varphi(0) = [\omega \prec \dot{\beta} \cap \kappa]_\Phi$$

and

$$\hat{t}_\varphi(\alpha) = [\omega \oplus \alpha \prec \dot{\beta}]_\phi \binom{\kappa}{\alpha}$$

By equation (4),

$$(5) \quad [\omega \prec \dot{\beta} \cap \kappa]_\Phi = \sum_{\alpha} \chi(\alpha) [\omega \oplus \alpha \prec \dot{\beta}]_\phi \binom{\kappa}{\alpha}$$

(Observe that the right hand side is independent of the choice of x_ω and x_κ , hence so is the left hand side, and the notation $[\omega \prec \dot{\beta} \cap \kappa]$ is justified).

Remark 4.1. *The assumption that \mathcal{P} is a subcategory of \mathbf{Mod}_R can be relaxed. All that we really used in order to get equation (5) is the existence of direct sums in the category.*

Example 4.2 (Vector space). *In the category \mathbf{Vec} , one has an embedding $\text{Hom}(V, U) \hookrightarrow V \oplus U$, given by the **graph** of a transformation. Its image consists of those subspaces intersecting U trivially. Using this it is easily verified that in \mathbf{Vec}_q ,*

$$[m \prec \dot{l} \cap k]_n = [0 \prec (l - m) \cap k]_{n-m} = \binom{n - m - k}{l - m - k}_q \cdot q^{k(l-m-k)}$$

(compare [?])

4.2. Towards a Fourier decomposition. We wish to compute the idempotents of \mathcal{H}_ϕ explicitly. Since the cellular structure must agree with the idempotent decomposition, we already know that there exist a lower triangular matrix $A_{\lambda\kappa}$ such that

$$(c-e) \quad \mathbf{c}_\lambda = \sum_{\kappa \leq \lambda} A_{\lambda\kappa} \mathbf{e}_\kappa$$

where \mathbf{e}_λ is the idempotent in \mathcal{H}_ϕ corresponding to its irreducible representation \mathcal{K}_λ . We have already seen that the transition matrix from the geometric basis to the cellular basis depends only on geometric invariants of the lattice of submodules (relation (c-g) above) in a very simple way. In some situations, we are able to give similar interpretation to various matrix coefficients of A . This is the main theme of this section.

Let R be a ring. Let F be a symmetric module in \mathbf{Mod}_R , and consider the finite latcat $\mathcal{P} = \mathbf{Mod}_{\leq F}$. Denote $\tau(F) = \Phi$. Let $\kappa \leq \omega \leq \Phi$ be types and assume (Φ, ω) is a symmetric couple. Assume that κ satisfies the following duality axiom:

For a module x of type κ , and every type $\alpha \leq \kappa$,

$$(duality) \quad |\{y \mid y \leq x, \tau(y) = \alpha\}| = |\{y \mid y \leq x, \tau(x/y) = \alpha\}|$$

Remark: By the principal divisor theorem, it is easy to see that every finite module over a principal ideal domain satisfies the duality axiom.

Recall that $[\kappa \prec \dot{\omega}]_\phi$ is the number of submodules of type ω which contain a given submodule of type κ and are contained in a given module of type ϕ (that is $[\kappa \prec \dot{\omega}]_\phi = [\kappa \prec \dot{\omega} \cap 0]_\phi$).

Theorem 5. *Under the above assumptions, $A_{\omega\kappa} = [\kappa \prec \dot{\omega}]_\phi [\omega \prec \dot{\phi} \cap \kappa]_\Phi$.*

Before proving Theorem 5 we state a simple Lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\theta \xrightarrow{i} \kappa$ be a map type. Let w and x be modules of types ω and κ , such that $\vec{\tau}(x \wedge w \leq x) = i$. The following diagram is cartesian in \mathcal{E} .*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} w & \hookrightarrow & w \oplus \text{coker}(i) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ w \wedge x & \xrightarrow{i} & x \end{array}$$

where

- $\text{coker}(i)$ is the type of $x/(w \wedge x)$.
- The map $w \hookrightarrow w \oplus \text{coker}(i)$ is given by $\text{id} \oplus 0$.

- The map $x \mapsto w \oplus \text{coker}(i)$ is given $a \oplus b$ where a is an embedding, and b is the natural projection.

Proof. By the fact ω is symmetric, the embedding $w \wedge x \mapsto w$ can be taken to be $-a \circ i$. One easily sees that

$$\text{Ker}(\text{id} \oplus b : w \oplus x \rightarrow w \oplus \text{coker}(i)) = ((-a \circ i) \oplus i)(w \wedge x)$$

□

Proof of Theorem 5: Our strategy is to analyze the multiplication in the algebra with respect to the cellular basis. Let $B_{\omega\mu}^\nu$ be multiplication table with respect to the cellular basis:

$$(6) \quad \mathbf{c}_\omega \cdot \mathbf{c}_\kappa = \sum_{\nu \leq \omega \wedge \kappa} B_{\omega\kappa}^\nu \mathbf{c}_\nu$$

Observe that $B_{\omega\kappa}^\kappa = A_{\omega\kappa}$ for $\kappa \leq \omega$. Substituting $\mathbf{c}_\eta = \mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \eta} \mathbf{T}_{\eta \prec \phi}$ in equation (6) and using parts **3** and **3'** of Lemma 3.4 give (assume $\kappa \leq \omega$):

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \omega} \left(\mathbf{T}_{\omega \prec \phi} \mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \kappa} \right) \mathbf{T}_{\kappa \prec \phi} \\ &= \mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \omega} \left(\sum_{\nu \leq \kappa} \frac{B_{\omega\kappa}^\nu}{[\nu \prec \dot{\omega}]_\phi [\nu \prec \dot{\kappa}]_\phi} \mathbf{T}_{\omega \succ \nu} \mathbf{T}_{\nu \prec \kappa} \right) \mathbf{T}_{\kappa \prec \phi} \\ &\in \mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \omega} \left(\frac{A_{\omega\kappa}}{[\kappa \prec \dot{\omega}]_\phi} \mathbf{T}_{\omega \succ \kappa} + \mathcal{N}_{\omega\kappa}^{\kappa^-} \right) \mathbf{T}_{\kappa \prec \phi} \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the sets of operators $\{\mathbf{G}_{\omega \succ \theta \xrightarrow{i} \kappa}\}_i$ form a basis of $\mathcal{N}_{\omega,\kappa}$. A direct calculation shows that (compare **c-g**, **C-G**)

$$\mathbf{T}_{\omega \prec \phi} \mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \kappa} = \sum_{\theta \xrightarrow{i} \kappa} [\omega \oplus \text{coker}(i) \prec \dot{\phi}]_\Phi \mathbf{G}_{\omega \succ \theta \xrightarrow{i} \kappa}$$

By the relations **C-G** between the geometric and the cellular bases, we have that the coefficient of $\mathbf{C}_{\omega \succ \kappa \xrightarrow{=} \kappa}$ when expanding $\mathbf{T}_{\omega \prec \phi} \mathbf{T}_{\phi \succ \kappa}$ with

respect to the cellular basis is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\theta \xrightarrow{i} \kappa} [\omega \oplus \text{coker}(i) \prec \dot{\phi}]_{\Phi} \hat{\mu}_{\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{T}}(\theta \xrightarrow{i} \kappa, \kappa = \kappa) \\
&= \sum_{\theta \xrightarrow{i} \kappa} [\omega \oplus \text{coker}(i) \prec \dot{\phi}]_{\Phi} \left(\theta \xrightarrow{i} \kappa \right) \chi(\text{coker}(i)) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{i, \text{coker}(i)=\alpha} [\omega \oplus \alpha \prec \dot{\phi}]_{\Phi} \left(\theta \xrightarrow{i} \kappa \right) \chi(\alpha) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha} [\omega \oplus \alpha \prec \dot{\phi}]_{\Phi} \chi(\alpha) \sum_{i, \text{coker}(i)=\alpha} \left(\theta \xrightarrow{i} \kappa \right) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha} [\omega \oplus \alpha \prec \dot{\phi}]_{\Phi} \chi(\alpha) \binom{\kappa}{\alpha} \quad (\text{the duality axiom}) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha} \chi(\alpha) \hat{w}(\alpha) = s(0) = [\omega \prec \dot{\phi} \triangleright \kappa]_{\Phi} \quad (\text{equation (5)})
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(8) \quad & \mathbf{T}_{\phi \triangleright \omega} \left(\mathbf{T}_{\omega \prec \phi} \mathbf{T}_{\phi \triangleright \kappa} \right) \mathbf{T}_{\kappa \prec \phi} \\
& \in \mathbf{T}_{\phi \triangleright \omega} \left([\omega \prec \dot{\phi} \triangleright \kappa]_{\Phi} \mathbf{T}_{\omega \triangleright \kappa} + \mathcal{N}_{\omega \kappa}^{\kappa^-} \right) \mathbf{T}_{\kappa \prec \phi}
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.5, $\mathbf{T}_{\phi \triangleright \omega} \mathcal{N}_{\omega \kappa}^{\kappa^-} \mathbf{T}_{\kappa \prec \phi} < \mathcal{H}_{\phi}^{\kappa^-}$, thus comparing equations (7) and (8) we get the desired equation. \square

Example 4.4 (Fourier decomposition in \mathbf{Vec}_q). *We consider now explicitly the latcat \mathbf{Vec}_q . Fix two natural numbers m, n with $2m \leq n$. Recall from § 2.2.2 that (n, m) is a symmetric couple. We consider the group $G = \text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, the representation \mathcal{F}_m , and the Hecke algebra \mathcal{H}_m . $(g_k)_{k \leq m}$ and $(e_k)_{k \leq m}$ are two bases for \mathcal{H}_m . Combining example 2.12 with example 4.2 gives for $k \leq m$,*

$$\mathbf{g}_k = \sum_{i=k}^m \sum_{j=0}^i (-1)^{i-k} q^{\binom{i-k}{2}} \binom{i}{k}_q \binom{n-i-j}{m-i-j}_q \cdot q^{j(m-i-j)} \binom{l-j}{i-j}_q \mathbf{e}_j$$

(compare with [?]).

Department of Mathematics, The Technion, Haifa 32000, Israel.

bader@tx.technion.ac.il urion@tx.technion.ac.il