

Math 205  
Integration and calculus of several variables  
week 6 - May 4, 2009

8. FIDDLE  $d$

Have you ever asked yourself, “What is  $dx$ ?” In the middle of doing your math homework, do you ever feel like the Coyote in Roadrunner cartoons who’s just chased the bird off a cliff and looked down to realize there is no solid earth beneath his feet? What does an expression like  $f(x)dx$  mean anyway?

Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open set. Let  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a differentiable function. Consider the following problem: find a 1-form (which we will call)  $d(f)$  on  $U$  such that for any path  $\phi : [a, b] \rightarrow U$ , the path integral is given by

$$(8.1) \quad \int_{\phi} d(f) = f(\phi(b)) - f(\phi(a)).$$

**Example 1.** Suppose  $n = 1$ . I claim we may take  $d(f) := f'(x)dx$ . Indeed, with this definition, the path integral just becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\phi} d(f) &= \int_{\phi} f'(x)dx \\ &= \int_a^b f'(\phi(t))\phi'(t)dt = \int_a^b \frac{d}{dt} f(\phi(t))dt = f(\phi(b)) - f(\phi(a)). \end{aligned}$$

The case for general  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is not much more difficult. We simply define

$$(8.2) \quad d(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i.$$

**Proposition 2.** Let  $\phi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a path. Then

$$\int_{\phi} d(f) = \int_{\phi} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i = f(\phi(b)) - f(\phi(a)).$$

*Proof.* Write  $\phi(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t))$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\phi} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i &= \\ \int_a^b \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} dt &= \int_a^b \frac{d}{dt} (f(\phi(t))) dt = f(\phi(b)) - f(\phi(a)). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Example 3.** In  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , let  $f(x, y) = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ . Then

$$d(f) = \frac{xdx + ydy}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}.$$

We have for any path  $\phi$ ,

$$\int_{\phi} \frac{xdx + ydy}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} = \sqrt{\phi_1(b)^2 + \phi_2(b)^2} - \sqrt{\phi_1(a)^2 + \phi_2(a)^2}.$$

It is important to note that the path integral condition (8.1) uniquely determines  $d(f)$ . Indeed, if  $D(f)$  were another solution satisfying (8.1) for every path  $\phi$  we could write  $d(f) - D(f) = \sum g_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)dx_i$  and conclude that

$$0 = \sum_i \int_{\phi} g_i(\phi(t))\phi'_i(t)dt.$$

If we take for  $\phi$  the path  $\phi(t) = (c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, t, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_n)$  ( $t$  in the  $i$ -th coordinate,  $c_j$  constants) we see that

$$\int_a^b g_i(c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, t, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_n)dt = 0$$

Since the constants  $c_j$  and the endpoints  $a$  and  $b$  are arbitrary, we conclude that all the  $g_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ , so  $D(f) = d(f)$ .

The following definition is convenient.

**Definition 4.** A 0-form on  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a differentiable function  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Thus, we have defined a map

$$(8.3) \quad d : \{0\text{-forms}\} \rightarrow \{1\text{-forms}\}$$

$$d(f) := \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i.$$

Finally, the wily reader will have detected disaster ahead. After all, we have a 1-form  $dx_i$  already. But  $x_i$  is a function on  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , so we may define in our new sense a 1-form  $d(x_i)$ . Fortunately, our coyote is on solid ground, because

$$d(x_i) = \sum_j \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_j} dx_j = dx_i.$$

For the philosophically inclined in the peanut gallery, this gives a rigorous meaning to the “infinitesimal”  $dx$ .