

Perfect prisms (cont'd)

①

We've seen that perfect prisms are necessarily classically p -adically complete (b/c they are both p -torsion free and derived p -complete), and that the ideal I is necessarily principal.

Thus perfect prisms have the form

$$(W(R), (d))$$

where R is a perfect \mathbb{F}_p -algebra, and d is distinguished & a non-zero divisor.

Lemma An element $d \in W(R)$ is distinguished iff, when we write
$$d = \sum_{n \geq 0} [a_n] p^n,$$

with $a_i \in R$, the element a_1 is a unit.

Furthermore, d is automatically a non-zero divisor.

Proof,
$$S(d) = \frac{V(d) - d^p}{p}$$

(2)

$$\text{Now } \varphi(d) = \sum_{n \geq 0} [a_n^p] p^n,$$

$$\text{while } d^p \equiv [a_0^p] \pmod{p^2} \quad (\text{since } d \equiv [a_0] \pmod{p})$$

$$\therefore \frac{\varphi(d) - d^p}{p} \equiv [a_1^p] \pmod{p}$$

\therefore This is a unit iff $[a_1^p]$ is a unit
(b/c $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is p -adically complete)
iff $[a_1]$ is a unit
if a_1 is a unit.

A proof that d is non-zero-divisor is given in Bhargava-Scholze (Lemma 2.34), in the more general context of a p -adically separated and p -torsion free \mathcal{O} -ring with A/p reduced. It just uses \mathcal{O} -ring manipulations
I will give a different proof here (unnecessarily elaborate!) just to illustrate a different technique. (See "Another \mathcal{O} -ring Lemma" for their proof.)

Here is the idea: if a_0 is a unit, then $[a_0] + p[a_1] + \dots$ is a unit, and so in particular a non-zero-divisor.
On the other hand, if $a_0 = 0$, then

(3)

$$[a_1] \cdot p + \dots = p ([a_1] + p [a_2] + \dots) \text{ is } p$$

times a unit (since $[a_1]$ is a unit), and so is a non-zero divisor, since p is so.

So we want to reduce to one of these two possibilities.

Let's start in a more general context:

if R is a ring and $f \in R$, we can consider R_f , the localization of R w.r.t. the multiplicative subset $\{1, f, f^2, \dots\}$, and also the localization of R along the ideal (f) , i.e. the localization $R_{\mathfrak{f}}$, where $\mathfrak{f} = \{x \in R \mid x \equiv 1 \pmod{f}\}$.

Then the natural map $R \rightarrow R_f \times R_{\mathfrak{f}}$ is an embedding, and indeed

$$\text{Spec } R_f \amalg \text{Spec } R_{\mathfrak{f}} \longrightarrow \text{Spec } R$$

is a faithfully flat cover.

So for certain questions, we can replace R by R_f and $R_{\mathfrak{f}}$ is true. In the first, f is a unit. In the second, $f \in \text{Rad}(R_{\mathfrak{f}})$.

For some questions, we really want f is either a unit or zero, or at least nilpotent.

So we can consider $R/f^n R = R_S / f^n R_S, \exists n \geq 1$

and consider $R \rightarrow R_f \times R/f^n R$.

This is no longer flat, but can still be injective:

The kernel of $R \rightarrow R_f$ is $R[f^\infty]$, so the map to the product will be injective iff $R[f^\infty] \cap f^n R = 0$.

Now $R[f^\infty] \cap f^n R = f^n R[f^\infty]$, so this holds iff $R[f^\infty] = R[f^n]$.

Eg. If R is Noetherian, then $R[f^\infty] = R[f^n]$ for some $n > 0$, and so

$$R \hookrightarrow R_f \times R/f^n \text{ for suit. large } n.$$

We are interested here in the case when R is a perfect F_p -algebra. Such R are seldom Noetherian (indeed, a perfect F_p -algebra is Noetherian iff it is a ~~finite~~ finite product of fields).

Nevertheless, we have strong control of torsion in perfect \mathbb{F}_p -algebras. ⑤

Lemma: If $f \in R$, a perfect \mathbb{F}_p -algebra, then

$$R[f^n] = R[f] = R[f^{p^{-n}}] \text{ for any } n \geq 0.$$

Pf: If $f^n x = 0$, then $f^n x^p = 0$,

~~we have $f^{n/p} x = 0$~~ $\therefore f^{n/p} x = 0$

~~in R .~~ \square

In particular, if $a_0 \in R$, then

$$R \hookrightarrow R/a_0R \times R_{a_0}$$

$$\therefore W(R) \hookrightarrow W(R/a_0R) \times W(R_{a_0})$$

\therefore If a_1 is a unit, $[a_0] + p[a_1] + \dots$ is a non-zero divisor in $W(R)$, since it is so in either factor, by the special cases (a_0 a unit or $a_0 = 0$) ~~already~~ already discussed.

This completes the proof of the lemma from p. 1. \square

We now prove another lemma.

(6)

Lemma If R is a perfect \mathbb{F}_p -algebra,
and $d \in W(R)$, then TFAE:

- (1) $W(R)$ is derived (p, d) -complete
- (2) $W(R)$ is classically (p, d) -complete
- (3) R is derived d -complete
- (4) R is classically d -complete.

Pf: (4) \Rightarrow (3) and (2) \Rightarrow (1) are
general facts.

We have seen that $R[d^\infty] = R[d]$,
so that R has bounded d -power torsion.
Thus also (3) \Rightarrow (4).

Since R is the cokernel of the
embedding $W(R) \xrightarrow{d^*} W(R)$ we see that
(1) \Rightarrow (3).

Thus it suffices to show that (1) \Rightarrow (2).
So suppose (1) holds.

Each $W_n(R)$ is a successive eA. of copies of R , and so is derived d -complete, since R is. But since $W_n(R)$ ~~is~~ then also has bounded d -power torsion (as R does) we see that $W_n(R)$ is classically d -complete.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } W(R) &= \varprojlim_n W_n(R) = W(R)/p^n \\ &= \varprojlim_n \varprojlim_m W(R)/\langle p^n, d^m \rangle \end{aligned}$$

is classically (p, d) -complete, as claimed. \square

Thus perfect primes ~~are~~ are given by pairs $(W(R), \langle d \rangle)$ perfect \mathbb{F}_p -algebra

where $d = [a_0] + p[a_1]p + \dots$ with $a_i \in R^\times$ and R being a_0 -adically classically complete.

However, there is another description of perfect primes, in terms of the quotient $A/I (= W(R)/\langle d \rangle)$, which we turn to next.

We begin with some discussion in a more general context.

Our first observation is that the fully faithful embedding

$$\{ \text{perfect } \mathbb{F}_p\text{-algebras} \} \hookrightarrow \{ \mathbb{F}_p\text{-algebras} \}$$

has both a left and a right adjoint,

$$\text{namely } R \mapsto \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ \mathfrak{a} \mapsto \mathfrak{a}^p}} R \quad \text{and}$$

$$R \mapsto \lim_{\substack{\longleftarrow \\ \mathfrak{a} \mapsto \mathfrak{a}^p}} R .$$

The latter functor is the one that will be relevant to us.

More precisely, we consider the composite

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \{ \text{classically } p\text{-adically complete rings} \} & & R \\
 & & \downarrow \text{I} \\
 \longrightarrow \{ \text{perfect } \mathbb{F}_p\text{-algebras} \} & & R/pR \\
 & & \downarrow \\
 \longrightarrow \{ \text{perfect } \mathbb{F}_p\text{-algebras} \} & & \lim_{\substack{\longleftarrow \\ \mathfrak{a} \mapsto \mathfrak{a}^p}} R/pR
 \end{array}$$

which we denote $R \mapsto R^b$ ("R-flat" or "R-hat" or "R-hil") (9)

Claim The functor $R \mapsto W(R)$

$\{ \text{perfect } \mathbb{F}_p\text{-algebras} \} \rightarrow \{ \text{classically } p\text{-complete rings} \}$

is left adjoint to $R \rightarrow R^b$.

Pf. If R is a perfect \mathbb{F}_p -algebra and S is a p -complete ring, then we have maps

$\text{Mor}(\text{~~perfect~~ } W(R), S)$

\xrightarrow{p} $\text{Mor}(R, S/pS)$
reduction mod p

$\xrightarrow{\cong}$ $\text{Mor}(R, S^b)$
" $\varprojlim S/pS$ "

right adjoint property of $\varprojlim S/pS$

(10)

We claim that the first map is also an \cong ; the composite \cong then yields the desired adjunction.

Since S is p -complete, we have

$$S = \varprojlim S/p^n S,$$

$$\therefore \text{Mor}(W(\mathbb{R}), S)$$

$$= \varprojlim \text{Mor}(W(\mathbb{R}), S/p^n S)$$

$$= \varprojlim \text{Mor}(W_n(\mathbb{R}), S/p^n S)$$

and the first arrow in the putative ~~adjunction~~ ~~isomorphism~~ adjunction map is just the projection onto the $n=1$ term. it suffices

Thus ~~we have~~ to show that

$$\text{Mor}(W(\mathbb{R}), S/p^n S) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Mor}(W(\mathbb{R}), S/p^{n-1} S)$$

for all n .

This is similar to the construction of the lift $\langle \rangle: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow W(\mathbb{R})$.

First, let $\varphi, \psi: W(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow S/p^n$, (11)

and suppose that $\varphi \equiv \psi \pmod{p^{n-1}S}$.

Let $a = [a_0] + pb \in W(\mathbb{R})$.

Then $\varphi(b) \equiv \psi(b) \pmod{p^{n-1}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore p\varphi(b) &\equiv p\psi(b) \pmod{p^n} \\ \varphi(pb) &\equiv \psi(pb) \end{aligned}$$

Also $\varphi([a_0] + p) \equiv \psi([a_0] + p) \pmod{p^{n-1}}$,

so raising each side to the p^{th} power,
we get

$$\varphi([a_0]) \equiv \psi([a_0]) \pmod{p^n}.$$

\therefore In fact $\varphi = \psi$.

Similarly, if we are given $\bar{\varphi}: W(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow S/p^{n+1}$,
we define $\varphi: W(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow S/p^n$ via

$$\varphi([a_0] + pb) = \bar{\varphi}([a_0] + p)^p + p\bar{\varphi}(b)$$

\uparrow both \rightarrow well-defined mod p^n .

One easily checks that φ is a homomorphism, and so we've shown that

$$\text{Mor}(W(R), S/p^n S) \rightarrow \text{Mor}(W(R), S/p^{n+1} S)$$

is an \cong , as required. \square

In particular, if R is classically p -complete, we get a map

$$\Theta: W(R^b) \rightarrow R \quad (\text{the co-unit of the adjunction})$$

Lemma Θ is surjective iff $R^b \rightarrow R/p$ is surjective
iff $R/p \xrightarrow{\text{lift}}$ R/p is surjective

Pf: If Θ is surjective, then reducing mod p we obtain the projection $R^b \rightarrow R/p$, which must also be surjective. The converse follows by an easy form of Nakayama's lemma (w.r.t. the prime p).

(13)

Now ~~the~~ the projection $R^b \rightarrow R/p$ factors ~~as~~ as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^b & \longrightarrow & R/p \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \chi \mapsto \chi^p & \\ R/p & & \end{array}$$

and so if the former map is surjective, so is the latter.

Conversely, if $\chi \mapsto \chi^p$ is surjective, then $R^b := \varinjlim_{\chi \mapsto \chi^p} R/p$ is defined via

surjective transition maps, and so the projection to R/p is also surjective. \square

Terminology: An \mathbb{F}_p -algebra R is called semi-perfect if $\chi \mapsto \chi^p$ is surjective on R .

Lemma: ① An \mathbb{F}_p -algebra is semi-perfect iff it is the quotient of a ~~reduced~~ perfect \mathbb{F}_p -algebra.

② A semi-perfect \mathbb{F}_p -algebra is perfect iff it is reduced.

(14)

Pf: (1) If $R \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} R$ is surjective,

then R is a quotient of the perfect \mathbb{F}_p -algebra $\varprojlim_{x \mapsto x^p} R$.

Conversely, any quotient of a perfect \mathbb{F}_p -algebra is evidently semi-perfect.

(2) A semi-perfect \mathbb{F}_p -algebra R is perfect iff $x \mapsto x^p$ is injective iff R is reduced. \square

This $\mathcal{O}: W(\mathbb{Z}^b) \rightarrow R$ is surjective iff R/p is semi-perfect.

More generally, if \exists perfect \mathbb{F}_p -algebra A and a surjection

$$W(A) \twoheadrightarrow R,$$

then $A = W(A)/pW(A) \rightarrow R/pR$ is surjective,

$\therefore R/pR$ is semi-perfect.

Also the surjection $A \twoheadrightarrow R/pR$ induces a surjection $A = \varprojlim_{x \mapsto x^p} A \rightarrow \varprojlim_{x \mapsto x^p} R/pR =: R^b$,

and so the surjection $W(A) \twoheadrightarrow R$ (15)
 factors as a composite of surjections $W(A) \twoheadrightarrow W(R^b) \xrightarrow{\theta} R$.

Let's continue to suppose that R/pR is semi-perfect,
 that A is a perfect \mathbb{F}_p -algebra, and that we are
 given a surjection $W(A) \twoheadrightarrow R$, with kernel I
 (E.g. it could be the canonical surjection
 $\theta: W(R^b) \twoheadrightarrow R$.)

Then $R/pR = W(A)/(I, p)$, and we have the
~~commutative diagram~~ of inverse systems
 isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \rightarrow & W(A) & \rightarrow & W(A) & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow W(A) \\
 & \downarrow \varphi^n & & \downarrow \varphi^{n-1} & & & \downarrow \varphi \\
 & W(A)/(I, p) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & W(A)/(I, p) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \dots & \xrightarrow{\varphi} W(A)/(I, p) \\
 & \parallel & & \parallel & & & \parallel \\
 \rightarrow & R/pR & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto xp} & R/pR & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow R/pR
 \end{array}$$

in the top row

(16)

where the maps φ_i are the canonical quotient maps corresponding to the inclusions

$$(I, p) \supseteq (\varphi(I), p) \supseteq \dots \supseteq (\varphi^{n-1}(I), p) \supseteq (\varphi^n(I), p) \supseteq \dots$$

If we let \bar{I} = image of I in $A = W(A)/pW(A)$,

then we may also rewrite this inverse system as

$$\begin{aligned} \longrightarrow A/\bar{I}[p^n] \longrightarrow A/\bar{I}[p^{n-1}] \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow A/\bar{I}[p] \\ \longrightarrow A/\bar{I} = R/pR \end{aligned}$$

here $\bar{I}[p^n]$ = ideal gen'd by $x^{p^n} \forall x \in \bar{I}$

if \bar{I} is an ideal in an \mathbb{F}_p -algebra.

(If the algebra is semi-perfect, then in fact $\bar{I}[p^n] = \{x^{p^n} \mid x \in \bar{I}\}$.)

The sequence $\dots \subset \bar{I}[p^n] \subset \bar{I}[p^{n-1}] \subset \dots \subset \bar{I}[p] \subset \bar{I}$

is cofinal with the sequence $\bar{I}^n, n \geq 0$.

Thus the surjection $A \twoheadrightarrow R^b$ induces an identification
 classical \bar{I} -adic completion of $A \cong R^b$.

(Apologies for the constantly shifting notation !!)

Suppose now that (A, I) is a perfect prism, so A/pA is a perfect \mathbb{F}_p -algebra, and

$$(A, I) \cong (W(A/pA), (d))$$

for some distinguished element d . Write $R := A/I$.
 R is classically p -complete - see "Another δ -ring lemma".

Then the preceding discussion shows that

$$d\text{-adic completion of } A/pA \cong R^b.$$

On the other hand, our earlier discussion (p. 6) shows that A/pA is d -adically classically complete.

Thus $A/pA \cong R^b$, and so we

have a canonical isomorphism

$$(A, I) \cong (W(R^b), \ker \theta).$$

Thus $(A, I) \mapsto R := A/I$

induces a fully faithful embedding

$\{ \text{perfect prisms} \} \hookrightarrow \{ \text{classically } p\text{-complete rings} \}$

The essential image is (by definition, if you like) the category of perfectoid rings.

We can give an intrinsic characterization of the image (Prop. 2.10 of Bhatt's lecture 4).

~~Prop: A p -complete ring R , for which R/pR is semi-perfect, is perfectoid.~~

Prop: A classically p -complete ring R is perfectoid iff

- R/pR is semi-perfect
- $\exists \varphi: W(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow R$ has a principal ideal as kernel
- $\exists \omega \in R$ st. $\omega^p = p \times$ a unit in R .

Proof: We have seen that the first two conditions are necessary, since if R is perfectoid, then $R \cong A/I$ for some perfect prism (A, I) , and then (as we've seen)

$$(A, I) \cong (w(R^b), \ker \varphi),$$

showing that $\ker \varphi$ is principal, since I is.

Now in fact, in this situation, $I = (d)$, where $d = [a_0] + p[a_1] + \dots$ with $a_i \in (R^b)^\times$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } \bar{\omega} &= \varphi^{-1}(d) \text{ mod } I \\ &= [a_0 \cdot \frac{1}{p}] + p [a_1 \cdot \frac{1}{p}] + \dots \text{ mod } I. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Then } \bar{\omega}^p \equiv [a_0] \text{ mod } (p^2, I)$$

$$\text{Now } [a_0] \equiv -p [a_1] \text{ mod } \frac{(R^b)}{(p^2, I)} \quad (\text{since } I = (d))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \bar{\omega}^p &\equiv p \cdot \underbrace{([a_1])}_{\substack{\text{image in } R \text{ of} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{a unit in } R, \text{ since } p \in \text{Rad}(R)}} \text{ mod } p^2 \text{ in } R = w(R^b)/I \\ &= p \cdot (u + p^2 x) = p(u + px) \end{aligned}$$

Thus the third condition is necessary too. (20)

Conversely, if these conditions hold, then we can write $\ker \theta = (d)$ for some d , and $W(R^b)/(d) = R$.

~~Let $x \in W(R^b)$ lift \bar{x} .~~

We have seen that ~~the~~ the classical d -adic completion of R^b maps isomorphically to R^b (top of p. 17) and so R^b is d -adically classically complete.

The lemma on p. 6 ^{then} shows that $W(R^b)$ is classically (p, d) -complete.

So far we have used the first two assumptions. It remains to show that d is distinguished; for this we use the 3rd assumption.

Let $x \in W(R^b)$ lift \bar{x} .

Since $(d, p) \leq \text{Rad } W(R^b)$ (since it is (p, d) -complete) we see that the unit ~~is~~ appearing in the factorization $\bar{x}^p = p \cdot \text{unit}$ may be lifted to a unit $v \in W(R^b)$.

(21)

The equation $\omega^p = p \cdot \text{unit in } R$
Then lifts to the divisibility

$$d \mid x^p - pv \quad \text{in } W(R^b)$$

Now if $x = [a_0] + p[a_1] + \dots$,
we see $x^p = [a_0^p] + p^2[a_1^p] + \dots$
 $\equiv [a_0^p] \pmod{p^2}$

$$\therefore x^p - pv \equiv [a_0^p] + p \cdot v \pmod{p^2}$$

Thus $x^p - pv$ is distinguished in $W(R^b)$.

Since $d \mid x^p - pv$ and $p, d \in \text{Rad } W(R^b)$,

we find that $d = (x^p - pv) \times \text{unit in } W(R^b)$,
so that d is distinguished, as required. \square

If R is p -torsion free, we can give another characterization of the kernel of θ being principal which is more intrinsic to R .

Finally, if R is p -torsion free, ~~then~~
 \uparrow assumed p -complete, with R/p semi-perfect

and if $I = \ker(\alpha: W(R^b) \rightarrow R)$, then

$$I \cap p^n W(R^b) = p^n I.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \bar{I} &:= \text{image of } I \text{ in } R^b = \ker(R^b \rightarrow R/pR) \\ &= I/pI \end{aligned}$$

Also we have short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I/p^n I \hookrightarrow W_n(R^b) \rightarrow R/p^n R \rightarrow 0$$

so that passing to the inverse limit we get

$$0 \rightarrow p\text{-adic completion of } I \hookrightarrow W(R^b) \rightarrow R,$$

so that I is p -adically complete.

Thus I is principal iff \bar{I} is principal, i.e. if $\ker(R^b \rightarrow R/pR)$ is principal.

Now if this kernel is principal, then a ~~consideration~~ consideration of the factorization

$$R^b \rightarrow R/pR \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} R/pR$$

shows that $R/pR \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} R/pR$ has principal kernel.

Suppose conversely that this map has principal kernel, say (y) for some $y \in R/pR$.

Let (y^{1/p^n}) be a compatible system of p^{n+1} roots of y in R/pR . Then

$\text{Ker}(R/pR \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^{p^{n+1}}} R/pR)$ is generated by y^{1/p^n}

(Indeed, arguing by induction, suppose that

$z^{p^{n+1}} = 0$. Then $z^{p^n} = ay \ \exists a \in R/pR$.

Choose b s.t. $b^{p^n} = a$.

Then $(z - by^{1/p^n})^{p^n} = 0$, so by induction,

$$z = by^{1/p^n} + ey^{1/p^{n-1}} \quad \exists e \in R/pR$$

i.e. $z \in (y^{1/p^n})$.

Thus (y^{1/p^n}) generates the kernel of $R^b \rightarrow R/pR$
 $(0, y^{1/p^n}, \dots, y^{1/p^n}, \dots)$

To recap: If R is classically p -complete $\textcircled{24}$
 \hat{R} is p -torsion free, and if R/pR is
 semi-perfect, then $\ker(\theta: W(R^b) \rightarrow R)$
 is principal iff $\ker(R/pR \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} R/pR)$
 is principal.

Now suppose that R is perfectoid.

Then both kernels above are principal.

Let $(y) = \ker(R/pR \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} R/pR)$.

Choose $\bar{w} \in R$ s.t. $\bar{w}^p = pu$ $u \in R^\times$.
 (As we've seen that we may.)

Then $\bar{w} \bmod p$ lies in the kernel (y) ,

$$\therefore \bar{w} = ay' + bp \quad (\text{here } y' \text{ is some lift of } y \text{ to } R)$$

$$\therefore pu = \bar{w}^p = a^p (y')^p + cp^2$$

$$\therefore a^p \cdot (y')^p = p \underbrace{(u - cp)}_{\text{a unit in } R}$$

$$\therefore (y')^p \mid p.$$

On the other hand, $y^p = 0$, \therefore ~~$p \mid (y')^p$~~
 in R/pR $p \mid (y')^p$.

(25)

Write $(y')^p = ps$, $p = (y')^p t$

Then $p = pst$

$\therefore 1 = st$ b/c \mathbb{R} is p-torsion free

Now in terms of our earlier quantities,

$$t = a^p \cdot (y - y^p)^{-1}$$

$\therefore a^p$ is a unit

$\therefore a$ is a unit

$$\therefore (\bar{w}) = (y')$$

\therefore in fact $\ker(\mathbb{R}/p\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} \mathbb{R}/p\mathbb{R})$

is generated by \bar{w} mod p .

This has an additional consequence:

Suppose that $x \in \mathbb{R}[\frac{1}{p}] = \mathbb{R}[\frac{1}{\bar{w}}]$ and $x^p \in \mathbb{R}$.

Choose n minimal s.t. $\bar{w}^n \cdot x \in \mathbb{R}$, and suppose $n > 0$.

(26)

Then $x = \frac{z}{\omega^n}$ $z \in R$, $n > 0$

$$x^p = \frac{z^p}{\omega^{pn}}$$

$$\therefore z^p = \omega^{pn} \cdot x^p \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{b/c } n \geq 1 \text{ \& } \omega^p = p \cdot u$$

$$\therefore z \pmod{p} \in (\omega \pmod{p})$$

$$\therefore z \in (\omega) \quad (\text{recall that } \omega \mid p)$$

$$\therefore \frac{z}{\omega} \in R$$

$$\therefore x = \frac{z/\omega}{\omega^{n-1}}, \quad \text{contradicting the choice of } n.$$

Thus if R is perfectoid and p -torsion free, then if $x \in R[\frac{1}{p}]$ satisfies $x^p \in R$, we have that in fact $x \in R$.

Conversely, if R is \neq classically p -complete, p -torsion free, if R/pR is semi-perfect, if R contains an element ω s.t.

$\omega^p = pu$, and if R satisfies the preceding additional condition, then R is perfectoid.

(27)

To prove this, one shows that
 $\ker (R/pR \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} R/pR)$

is generated by $\omega \bmod p$.

Indeed, $\omega^p - p\omega \equiv 0 \pmod p$,

so $(\omega \bmod p) \in \ker (R/pR \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} R/pR)$.

Conversely, if $x \in R$ and $p \mid x^p$,

then $\omega^p \mid x^p$, $\therefore (\frac{x}{\omega})^p \in R$,

$\therefore \frac{x}{\omega} \in R$ by our final hypothesis,

and so $x \in \omega R$.

This completes our discussion of
 perfectoid rings (for now!).