

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Various preliminaries</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Notation . . . . .	1
<b>2</b>	<b>Brownian motion and harmonic functions</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Optional sampling theorem . . . . .	5
2.2	Itô's formula calculation . . . . .	6
2.3	Harmonic functions and holomorphic functions . . . . .	7
<b>3</b>	<b>Conformal invariance</b>	<b>8</b>
3.0.1	Example: Recurrence . . . . .	10
3.0.2	A fundamental estimate . . . . .	12
<b>4</b>	<b>Green's function</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Riemann mapping theorem</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Analytic boundary points</b>	<b>21</b>
6.1	Excursion measure . . . . .	24
6.2	Poisson kernel . . . . .	26
<b>7</b>	<b>Toolbox for conformal maps</b>	<b>27</b>
7.1	Beurling estimate . . . . .	27
7.2	Koebe distortion theorems . . . . .	30
<b>8</b>	<b>Loewner differential equation</b>	<b>33</b>
8.1	A class of transformations . . . . .	34
8.2	Compact hulls . . . . .	40
8.3	Boundary behavior . . . . .	43
8.4	Curves . . . . .	47
8.5	Loewner differential equation . . . . .	49
8.6	Loewner chains generated by a curve . . . . .	52
8.6.1	Non-crossing curves . . . . .	55
<b>9</b>	<b>Multiply connected domains</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Poisson kernels</b>	<b>61</b>
A.1	Disk . . . . .	61
A.2	Upper half plane . . . . .	62
A.3	Half Disk . . . . .	63
A.4	Infinite Strip . . . . .	64

## 1 Various preliminaries

### 1.1 Notation

We write  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  for the open unit disk and  $\mathbb{H} = \{x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : y > 0\}$  for the upper half plane. More generally, we write  $\mathbb{D}_r = e^{-r} \mathbb{D}$  for the disk of radius  $e^{-r}$  about the origin and  $\mathbb{D}_r(z) = z + \mathbb{D}_r$  for the disk of radius  $e^{-r}$  about  $z$ . Let  $C_r = \partial\mathbb{D}_r$ ,  $C_r(z) = \partial\mathbb{D}_r(z)$ . We write  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  for the Riemann sphere.

---

The potential theory of complex Brownian motion makes heavy use of the logarithm function. This affects our choice of notation. There are many times that we will want to write the logarithm of a radius, and by parametrizing our radii exponentially it will make our formulas nicer.

**Definition A** (standard) complex Brownian motion is a process of the form  $B_t = B_t^1 + B_t^2 i$  where  $B_t^1, B_t^2$  are independent one-dimensional Brownian motions. Equivalently,  $B_t$  is a standard Brownian motion in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  considered as taking values in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

When we say complex Brownian motion, we will always mean standard complex Brownian motion. If the context is clear we will say just Brownian motion. If  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$  is an open set, then we define

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_D &= \inf\{t \geq 0 : B_t \notin D\}, \\ \bar{\tau}_D &= \inf\{t > 0 : B_t \notin D\}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\tau_D = \bar{\tau}_D > 0$  if  $B_0 \in D$  and  $\tau_D = 0$  if  $B_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus D$ . If  $B_0 \in \partial D$ .

**Definition** We call a boundary point  $z \in D$  regular if  $\mathbf{P}^z\{\bar{\tau}_D = 0\} = 1$ , that is if Brownian motion starting at  $z$  immediately hits the boundary.

Here we have introduced a new notation:  $\mathbf{P}^w$  means the probability assuming that  $B_0 = w$ . Note that isolated points of  $\partial D$  are not regular points. The next lemma shows that Brownian motion starting near a regular point exits the domain quickly with high probability.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Suppose  $D$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ ,*

- *Suppose  $z$  is a regular boundary point of  $\partial D$ . Then for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $|w - z| < \delta$ , then*

$$\mathbf{P}^w\{\text{diam}(B[0, \bar{\tau}_D]) \geq \epsilon\} \leq 1 - \epsilon.$$

- *If  $z$  is an irregular boundary point of  $\partial D$ , then  $\mathbf{P}^z\{\bar{\tau}_D > 0\} = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, assume that  $z = 0$  and let  $\xi_s = \inf\{t \geq 0 : |B_t| = e^{-s}\}$ .

Suppose 0 is a regular point. Since  $\mathbf{P}\{\bar{\tau}_D = 0\} = 1$ , we know that  $\mathbf{P}\{B(0, \xi_s] \subset D\} = 0$ . Therefore, there exists  $u$  such that

$$\mathbf{P}\{B[\xi_u, \xi_s] \subset D\} \leq e^{-s}.$$

We can find  $\delta > 0$  such that the distribution of  $B(\xi_u)$  given that  $B_0 = \delta$  agrees with that assuming  $B_0 = 0$  up to an error of  $e^{-s}$ . Therefore, for  $|w| < \delta$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}^w\{B[\xi_u, \xi_s] \subset D\} \leq 2e^{-s},$$

and hence

$$\mathbf{P}^w\{\text{diam}[0, \bar{\tau}_D] \geq 2e^{-s}\} \leq 2e^{-s}.$$

Suppose 0 is an irregular point. Then there exists  $r$  with

$$\mathbf{P}\{B(0, \xi_r] \subset D\} = \rho > 0.$$

For every  $\epsilon > 0$  we can find  $s \leq r$  such that

$$\mathbf{P}\{B[\xi_s, \xi_0] \subset D\} \leq \rho + \epsilon.$$

As before, we can find  $u$  such that if  $|z| \leq e^{-u}$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}^u\{B[\xi_s, \xi_0] \subset D\} \leq \rho + 2\epsilon.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\rho &= \mathbf{P}\{B(0, \xi_0] \subset D\} \\ &= \mathbf{P}\{B(0, \xi_u] \subset D\} \mathbf{P}\{B(0, \xi_0] \subset D \mid B(0, \xi_u] \subset D\} \\ &\leq \mathbf{P}\{B(0, \xi_u] \subset D\} (\rho + 2\epsilon),\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\mathbf{P}\{B(0, \xi_u] \subset D\} \geq \frac{\rho}{\rho + 2\epsilon}.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbf{P}\{\bar{\tau}_D > 0\} = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}\{B(0, \xi_u] \subset D\} = 1.$$

□

We will call an open set  $D$  *regular* if  $\mathbf{P}^z\{\tau_D < \infty\} = 1$  for every  $z \in D$ .

**Exercise 1.** Suppose  $B_t$  is a complex Brownian motion starting at the origin. Let  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}, a > 0$  and

$$Y_t = e^{i\theta t} B_t, \quad Z_t = a^{-1} B_{a^2 t}.$$

Then  $Y_t, Z_t$  are (standard) complex Brownian motions.

**Definition** A *domain* is a connected open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ . A domain is *simply connected* if  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus D$  is connected.

If  $D$  is a domain with  $\partial D$  compact, we will also consider  $D \cup \{\infty\}$  as a domain in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ .

**Definition**

- Let  $\mathcal{D}$  denote the set of all domains  $D \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$  such that every  $z \in \partial D$  is regular.
- Let  $\mathcal{D}^*$  denote the set of all domains  $D \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$  such that there exists  $z \in \partial D$  that is regular.

Clearly  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}^*$ . Giving the exact criterion to be in  $\mathcal{D}$  is difficult. However, we will now derive a sufficient condition that will suffice for our purposes. We will use the following lemma that makes strong use of the planarity of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** *There exists  $\beta > 0, c < \infty$  such that if  $B_t$  is a complex Brownian motion starting at  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $\tau = \tau_{\mathbb{D}} = \inf\{t : |B_t| = 1\}$ , then the probability that the origin lies in the unbounded component of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus B[0, \tau]$  is no more than  $c|z|^\beta$ .*

It follows that if  $D$  is a domain,  $0 \in \partial D$ , and the connected component of  $\partial D$  containing 0 also contains at least one point on  $\partial \mathbb{D}$ , then

$$\mathbf{P}^z\{B[0, \tau_D] \not\subset \mathbb{D}\} \leq c|z|^\beta.$$

*Proof.* Let  $p(z)$  be the probability that 0 lies in the unbounded component given  $B_0 = z$ , and note that  $p(z) = p(|z|)$ . Let  $q$  be the probability that a Brownian motion starting on  $C_1$ , the circle of radius  $e^{-1}$  about the origin, disconnects  $C_1$  from  $C_0$  before time  $\tau$ . By constructing a particular event, it is easy to see that  $q > 0$  and is independent of the angle of the starting point. Using the strong Markov property and the scaling property of Brownian motion we can see that for  $r \geq 1$ ,  $p(e^{-r}) \leq qp(e^{-r-1})$ . Hence for integer  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$p(e^{-n}) \leq e^{n \log q},$$

and more generally  $p(e^{-u}) \leq p(e^{-\lfloor u \rfloor}) \leq e^{-\log q} e^{u \log q}$ . □

It is significantly harder to show that  $\beta = 1/4$  is the optimal value in this lemma. We will not need to know the value of  $\beta$ , but only that it is positive.

**Corollary 1.3.** *Suppose  $D \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$  is a domain such that all the connected components of  $\partial D$  are all larger than one point. Then  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ . In particular, all simply connected  $D \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$  are in  $\mathcal{D}$ .*

This argument establishes a stronger fact. If  $z \in K \subset \mathbb{C}$ , we define

$$\text{rad}_K(z) = \sup\{|w - z| : w \in K\}, \quad \text{diam}K = \sup\{|w - z| : w, z \in K\}.$$

Note that for all  $z \in K$ ,  $\text{diam}K \leq 2 \text{rad}_K(z)$ .

**Proposition 1.4.** *Suppose  $D$  is a domain and  $w, w'$  are in the same component of  $\partial D$ . Then, for all  $z$ ,*

$$\mathbf{P}^z \{ \text{diam}(B[0, \tau_D]) \geq |w' - w| \} \leq c \left( \frac{|z - w|}{|w' - w|} \right)^\beta,$$

where  $c, \beta$  are as in Lemma 1.2.

**Proposition 1.5.** *Suppose  $D$  is a domain and  $z$  is an irregular boundary point of  $\partial D$ . Let  $h$  be a strictly positive harmonic function on  $D$ . Then there exists a sequence  $z_n \in D$  with  $z_n \rightarrow z$  with  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(z_n) > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since 0 is irregular, we can find a compact  $V \subset D$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\mathbf{P}^z \{ \tau_{\mathbb{C} \setminus V} < \bar{\tau}_D \} \geq \delta > 0$ . This implies that there exists  $z_n \rightarrow z$  with  $\mathbf{P}^{z_n} \{ \tau_{\mathbb{C} \setminus V} < \tau_D \} \geq \delta$  and hence  $h(z_n) \geq \delta \min\{h(w) : w \in V\}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1.6.** *If  $D$  is a domain and  $z \in D$ , then with probability one,  $B(\tau_D)$  is a regular point of  $\partial D$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be a subset of  $D$  and let  $E$  be the event  $\{B(\tau_D) \in V\}$ . Let  $M_t$  be the martingale  $M_t = \mathbf{P}[E | \mathcal{F}_{t \wedge \tau}]$ . For  $t < \tau$ ,  $M_t = h(B_t)$  where  $h$  is the positive harmonic function  $h(w) = \mathbf{P}^w \{B_t \in V\}$ . Assume that  $V$  is such that  $0 < h < 1$  on  $D$ . Note that  $M_\tau = 1_E$  and the martingale convergence theorem implies that  $M_{\tau-} = M_\tau$  with probability one. In particular, with probability one, if  $\zeta := B(\tau_D) \notin V$ , then there exists  $\gamma : [0, 1) \rightarrow D$  with  $\gamma(1-) = \zeta$  such that

$$\lim_{t \uparrow 1} h(\gamma(t)) = 0.$$

Since  $h$  is a bounded function, one can see using Lemma 1.2 that this last condition implies: if  $z_n \rightarrow \zeta$ , then  $h(z_n) \rightarrow 0$ . This is impossible if  $\zeta$  is an irregular point by the previous proposition.  $\square$

## 2 Brownian motion and harmonic functions

Although we restrict our discussion here to  $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$ , much of what is done here extends to  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We will go back and forth between considering a point  $z = x + iy = (x, y)$  as a point in  $\mathbb{C}$  or in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Recall that a function  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *harmonic* if it satisfies either of the following equivalent conditions.

- $f$  is  $C^2$  and

$$\Delta f(z) := \partial_{xx} f(z) + \partial_{yy} f(z) = 0,$$

for all  $z \in D$ .

- $f$  is locally integrable and satisfies the mean value property. That is to say, if  $z \in D$  with  $\text{dist}(z, \partial D) > r$ , then

$$MV(f; z, r) = f(z).$$

We will not prove these standard results.

## 2.1 Optional sampling theorem

There is a close relationship between harmonic function and martingales. Before proceeding we will prove a lemma that is one version of the “optional sampling” or “optional stopping” theorem for martingales. The assumptions we make are significantly stronger than is needed for the result, but it will suffice for our purposes.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Suppose  $M_t, 0 \leq t \leq T$  is a uniformly bounded continuous martingale, and  $\tau$  is a stopping time, each with respect to the filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ . Then  $M_{t \wedge \tau}, 0 \leq t \leq T$  is a continuous martingale with respect to the filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_{\tau \wedge t}\}$ .*

We recall that if  $\tau$  is a stopping time, then  $\mathcal{F}_\tau$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all events  $E$  such that for all  $t$ ,  $E \cap \{\tau \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$ . One thinks of this as all the events that depend on the process only up to the stopping time  $\tau$ .

*Proof.* Let  $Y_t = M_{t \wedge \tau}$ . It is immediate that  $Y$  is a continuous process. Let us first assume that  $\tau$  takes on only a discrete number of values  $0 = s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_k < \infty$ . If  $s < t$ , then  $M_{t \wedge \tau}$  can be written as

$$M_{t \wedge \tau} = \sum_{s_j < t} M_{s_j} 1\{\tau = s_j\} + M_t 1\{\tau > s_j\}.$$

Using the definition, it is not hard to show that this is a martingale. To illustrate this, we consider the case  $s_j = s < t$ , in which case

$$\mathbf{E}[M_{t \wedge \tau} | \mathcal{F}_s] = \sum_{k \leq j} M_{s_k} 1\{\tau = s_k\} + \mathbf{E}[M_t 1\{\tau > s_j\} | \mathcal{F}_{s_j}].$$

Since the event  $\{\tau > s_j\}$  is the complement of the event  $1\{\tau \leq s_j\}$  which is  $\mathcal{F}_{s_j}$ -measurable,

$$\mathbf{E}[M_t 1\{\tau > s_j\} | \mathcal{F}_{s_j}] = 1\{\tau > s_j\} \mathbf{E}[M_t | \mathcal{F}_{s_j}] = 1\{\tau > s_j\} M_{s_j},$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[M_{t \wedge \tau} | \mathcal{F}_{s_j}] &= \sum_{k \leq j} M_{s_k} 1\{\tau = s_k\} + M_{s_j} 1\{\tau > s_j\} \\ &= \sum_{k < j-1} M_{s_k} 1\{\tau = s_k\} + M_{s_j} 1\{\tau \geq s_{j+1}\} = Y_{s_j}. \end{aligned}$$

For more general  $\tau$ , we approximate  $\tau$  by discrete stopping times,

$$\tau^j = \tau_{(j+1)/n}, \quad \frac{j}{n} \leq \tau < \frac{j+1}{n}.$$

The random variables  $M_{t \wedge \tau^j} \rightarrow M_t$  with probability one. Since they are bounded, they also converge in  $L^1$ .  $\square$

- Let  $B_t$  be a standard one-dimensional Brownian motion starting at the origin and suppose that  $a, b > 0$ . Let  $\tau = \inf\{t : B_t = b \text{ or } B_t = -a\}$ . Then  $B_{t \wedge \tau}$  is a martingale. Therefore, for each  $t$ ,

$$0 = \mathbf{E}[B_0] = \mathbf{E}[B_{t \wedge \tau}].$$

With probability one  $B_{t \wedge \tau} \rightarrow B_\tau$ . Since  $B_{t \wedge \tau}$  is uniformly bounded, we can use the dominated convergence theorem to see that

$$\mathbf{E}[B_\tau] = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}[B_{t \wedge \tau}] = 0.$$

But,

$$\mathbf{E}[B_\tau] = b \mathbf{P}\{B_\tau = b\} - a [1 - \mathbf{P}\{B_\tau = b\}].$$

Solving, we get

$$\mathbf{P}\{B_\tau = b\} = \frac{a}{a+b}.$$

This relation is often referred to as the *gambler's ruin* estimate for one-dimensional Brownian motion. From this we can easily see that one-dimensional Brownian motion is *recurrent*, that is, it keeps returning to the origin.

- One must be careful in using this proposition. If  $\mathbf{P}\{\tau < \infty\} = 1$ , then with probability one

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M_{t \wedge \tau} = M_\tau.$$

However, it is not always the case that this limit is in  $L^1$ . Indeed, it is possible for

$$\mathbf{E}[M_\tau] \neq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}[M_{t \wedge \tau}].$$

As an example, let  $M_t = B_t$  be a standard one-dimensional Brownian motion starting at the origin and let  $\tau = \inf\{t : B_t = 1\}$ . Recurrence of one-dimensional Brownian motion implies that  $\mathbf{P}\{\tau < \infty\} = 1$ . However,  $\mathbf{E}[B_\tau] \neq \mathbf{E}[B_0]$ .

## 2.2 Itô's formula calculation

Suppose  $D$  is a domain,  $h : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a harmonic function and  $B_t = B_t^1 + iB_t^2$  is a complex Brownian motion starting at  $z \in D$ . Let  $\tau = \tau_D = \inf\{t : B_t \notin D\}$ . Then, for  $t < \tau$ , Itô's formula implies that

$$dh(B_t) = h_x(B_t) dB_t^1 + h_y(B_t) dB_t^2.$$

Suppose  $K \subset D$  is a compact set with  $z \in \text{int}(K)$ , and let  $\tau' = \inf\{t : B_t \in \partial K\}$ . Then  $h(B_{t \wedge \tau'})$  is a bounded martingale. It follows that

$$\mathbf{E}^z [h(B_{t \wedge \tau'})] = \mathbf{E}^z [h(B_0)] = h(z).$$

The left-hand side is the same as  $MV(z; f, \partial K)$ .

**Proposition 2.2** (Dirichlet problem). *Suppose  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ , and  $h$  is a bounded continuous function on  $\partial D$ . Then there exists a unique bounded continuous function  $h : \bar{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that extends  $h$  and is harmonic in  $D$ . In fact, for every  $z \in D$ ,*

$$h(z) = \mathbf{E}^z [h(B_{\tau_D})]. \tag{1}$$

*Proof.* If  $h$  is defined by (1), then  $h$  is locally integrable and satisfies the mean value property. Hence,  $h$  is harmonic. Conversely if  $h$  is harmonic in  $D$  and continuous on  $\partial D$ , then  $M_t = h_{t \wedge \tau_D}$  is a continuous martingale, and (1) satisfies the mean value property. We need to show that  $h$  defined as in (1) is continuous on  $\partial D$ , and this uses the fact that every point in  $\partial D$  is a regular point.  $\square$

The assumption that  $h$  is bounded is necessary for uniqueness. For example if  $D = (0, \infty)$  and  $h(0) = 0$ , there are an infinite number of harmonic extensions to  $D$  given by  $h(x) = cx$ .

As we will see below, if a Brownian motion starts at  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , then,

$$\mathbf{P}^z \{B_{\tau_D} \in V\} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|\zeta|=1} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|\zeta - z|^2} d|\zeta|,$$

and hence

$$\mathbf{E}^z [F(B_{\tau_D})] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|\zeta|=1} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|\zeta - z|^2} F(\zeta) d|\zeta|. \tag{2}$$

Using this we can derive the fundamental facts about harmonic functions.

**Proposition 2.3.** For every positive integer  $k$ , there exists a universal  $c_k < \infty$  such that if  $D$  is a domain,  $h : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is harmonic, and  $z \in D$  with  $\text{dist}(z, \partial D) \geq \epsilon$ , then for  $0 \leq j \leq k$ ,

$$|\partial_x^j \partial_y^{k-j} h(z)| \leq c_k \epsilon^{-k} \sup\{|h(w)| : |w - z| < \epsilon\}.$$

*Proof.* By considering  $\tilde{h}(w) = h(z + \epsilon w)$ , we can see that it suffices to prove the result for  $D = \mathbb{D}, z = 0$ . In this case we can differentiate under the integral in (2).  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4** (Harnack principle). If  $D$  is a domain, then every compact  $K \subset D$ , there exists  $c = c(K, D) < \infty$  such that if  $h : D \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is harmonic in  $D$ , then

$$f(z) \leq c f(w), \quad z, w \in K.$$

*Proof.* Since  $D$  is connected, by choosing  $K$  larger if necessary, we may assume that  $K$  is connected. If  $D \supset \mathbb{D}$  and  $K = \{|w| \leq 1/2\}$ , then the result follows from the explicit form of  $h$  in (2). More generally, we can cover  $K$  by a finite collection of open balls  $\mathbb{D}_r(\zeta_j), j = 1, \dots, N$  with  $2\mathbb{D}_r(\zeta) \subset D$ . We write  $w \sim z$ , if one of these balls contains both  $w, z$ . We say that  $w$  and  $z$  are connected if there exists a sequence  $z = z_0, z_1, \dots, z_k = w$  with  $z_{j-1} \sim z_j$ . We claim that all points in  $D$  are connected. Indeed, let  $U_1$  be the union of all the disks  $\mathbb{D}_r(\zeta_j), j = 1, \dots, N$  for which  $z$  is connected to  $\zeta_j$  and let  $U_2$  be the union of the other disks. If  $U_2 \neq \emptyset$ , then  $U_1, U_2$  disconnect  $K$ . Hence, for  $z, w \in K$  we can find a sequence  $z = z_0, z_1, \dots, z_k = w$  with  $z_{j-1} \sim z_j$  with  $k \leq N$ . and  $f(z) \leq c_0^N f(w)$ .  $\square$

It will be useful to have the following convention.

**Convention.** Suppose  $D$  is a domain and  $h : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a harmonic function. We say  $h : \bar{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an extension of the harmonic function to the boundary, if  $\bar{h}$  is continuous at all regular points of  $\partial D$ .

While we have stated the derivative estimates and Harnack principle for harmonic functions in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , the analogous results hold for harmonic functions in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

## 2.3 Harmonic functions and holomorphic functions

If  $f(z) = u(z) + iv(z)$  is a holomorphic function in a domain  $D$ , then  $u, v$  satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations,

$$\partial_x u = \partial_y v, \quad \partial_y u = -\partial_x v.$$

Using this and equivalence of mixed partials, one can see that  $u, v$  are harmonic functions. The next proposition, which is a standard result from a first course in complex variables, gives a partial converse to this fact.

**Proposition 2.5.** Suppose  $D$  is a simply connected domain and  $u$  is harmonic function on  $D$ . Then there is a harmonic function  $v$  on  $D$ , which is unique up to an additive constant, such that  $f(z) = u(z) + iv(z)$  is holomorphic.

*Sketch of proof.* We use the Cauchy-Riemann equations to find  $v$ . Let us fix  $z_0 \in D$  and arbitrarily choose  $v(z_0) = 0$ . If  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow D$  is a smooth curve with  $\gamma(0) = z_0, \gamma(1) = z$ , then we define

$$v(z) = \int_{\gamma} \partial_n u \cdot d\gamma := \int_0^1 [\partial_y u(\gamma(t)) \partial_x \gamma(t) - \partial_x u(\gamma(t)) \partial_y \gamma(t)] dt.$$

In order to show this is well defined, we need to show that we get the same value for  $v(z)$  regardless of the curve  $\gamma$ . Equivalently, we need to show that if  $\gamma(1) = z_0$ , then

$$\int_{\gamma} \partial_n u \cdot d\gamma = 0.$$

If  $D$  is simply connected, then the region(s) bounded by  $\gamma$  are entirely in  $D$ , and this identity follows from Green's theorem and the fact that  $u$  is harmonic. By construction,  $u, v$  satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equation and hence  $f = u + iv$  is holomorphic. To show uniqueness, suppose that  $\tilde{f} = u + i\tilde{v}$  is also holomorphic. Then  $f - \tilde{f}$  is holomorphic and only takes on imaginary values. Hence  $f - \tilde{f}$  is constant.  $\square$

The function  $v$  is often called the *complex conjugate*. We have used simple connectedness to conclude that there exists a complex conjugate  $v$  defined on all of  $D$ . This is not always true if the domain is not simply connected. For example, if  $u(z) = \log |z|$  on  $D = \{z : 0 < |z| < 1\}$ , then  $f$  is harmonic, but there is no holomorphic extension to all of  $D$ . However, regardless of the topology of  $D$ , we can always find conjugates  $v$  defined in a neighborhood of  $z_0$ . When trying to determine if two complex domains are conformally equivalent, it is often the case that one can determine the real or imaginary part (or, perhaps, the radial part which is the real part of the exponential, or something else). This determines the function (up to a constant) locally and then the question becomes whether or not one can extend it to the entire domain  $D$ .

The term conjugate is overused in complex variables! I have given lectures where I have used conjugate three different ways in the same lecture — as the conjugate of a number, as the complex conjugate function above, and also in the algebraic sense of a conjugate function  $\tilde{f} = g^{-1} \circ f \circ g$ .

## 2.4 Conformal invariance

If  $f$  is a holomorphic function with  $f(0) = 0, f'(0) \neq 0$ , then locally near zero  $f$  looks like a dilation by  $|f'(0)|$  and a rotation by  $\arg f'(0)$ . Brownian motion is invariant under rotation and is also invariant under scaling if one changes the parametrization appropriately. This is the basic reason why the following theorem holds.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose  $D$  is a domain in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $B_t$  is a complex Brownian motion starting at  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Suppose  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a nonconstant holomorphic function. Let*

$$\xi = \int_0^{\tau_D} |f'(B_s)|^2 ds \in (0, \infty],$$

and for  $s < \xi$ , define  $\sigma(s) < \tau_D$  by

$$\sigma(t) = \int_0^t \frac{ds}{|f'(B_s)|^2},$$

that is

$$\int_0^{\sigma(s)} |f'(B_s)|^2 ds = s.$$

Then  $Y_s = f(B_s), 0 \leq s < \xi$  is a complex Brownian motion.

We will need a lemma that states in some sense that all stochastic integrals are time changes of standard Brownian motions. Indeed, a stronger fact is true that we will not prove — all continuous martingales are time changes of standard Brownian motions.



**Lemma 2.6.** Suppose  $B_t$  is a standard one-dimensional Brownian motion with filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$  and suppose that  $A_t$  is a continuous, adapted process such that there exists  $0 < c_1 < c_2 < \infty$  with  $c_1 \leq |A_t| \leq c_2$ . Let

$$X_t = \int_0^t A_s dB_s,$$

and let

$$\sigma(r) = \inf\{t : \langle X \rangle_t = r\},$$

that is

$$\int_0^{\sigma(r)} X_s^2 ds = r.$$

Suppose that for all  $t$ ,  $\mathbf{P}\{\sigma(t) < \infty\} = 1$ . Then  $W_r := X_{\sigma(r)}$  is a standard Brownian motion with respect to  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_r = \mathcal{F}_{\sigma(r)}$ .

*Sketch of Proof.* To prove this, one shows that conditioned on  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s$  the distribution of  $W_{r+s} - W_s$  is that of a Brownian motion with variance  $r^2$ . We will do this in the case  $s = 0$ ; the general case is similar. If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , let

$$K_t = \exp\{i\lambda X_t\}.$$

(If one does not want to use Itô's formula with complex valued processes one can write this as  $\cos(\lambda X_t) + i \sin(\lambda X_t)$ .) Itô's formula shows that

$$dK_t = K_t \left[ i\lambda dB_t - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} A_t^2 dt \right] = K_t \left[ i\lambda dB_t - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} d\langle X \rangle_t \right].$$

If  $M_t = \exp\{\lambda^2 \langle X \rangle_t / 2\} K_t$ , then  $M_t$  is a local martingale satisfying,

$$dM_t = i\lambda M_t dB_t.$$

Note that  $M_{t \wedge \sigma(r)}$  is a bounded martingale, and hence the optional sampling theorem implies that

$$\mathbf{E}[M_{\sigma(r)}] = \mathbf{E}[M_0] = 1.$$

But,  $M_{\sigma(r)} = e^{\lambda^2 r / 2} \exp\{i\lambda(r)\}$ , and hence

$$\mathbf{E}[e^{\lambda i W_r}] = e^{-\lambda^2 r / 2}.$$

Since the characteristic function determines the distribution, we see that  $W_r \sim N(0, r)$ . □

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We will give a sketch of the proof relying on some facts from stochastic calculus.

Let  $U \subset D$  be a subdomain with  $\bar{U}$  compact containing none of the zeros of  $f'$ , and let  $\tau = \tau_U < \tau$ . Let us write  $B_t = B_t^1 + iB_t^2$  and let  $X_t = u(B_t^1, B_t^2)$ ,  $Y_t = v(B_t^1, B_t^2)$ . Using the fact that  $u, v$  are harmonic functions, Itô's formula and the Cauchy-Riemann equations give

$$dX_t = u_x(B_t) dB_t^1 + u_y(B_t) dB_t^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned} dY_t &= v_x(B_t) dB_t^1 + v_y(B_t) dB_t^2 \\ &= -u_y(B_t) dB_t^1 + u_x(B_t) dB_t^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\partial_t \sigma(t) = |f'(B_t)|^2 = |\nabla u(B_t)|^2$ . If we let  $\hat{X}_t = X_{\sigma(t)}$ ,  $\hat{Y}_t = Y_{\sigma(t)}$ , then

$$d\hat{X}_t = \frac{u_x(\hat{B}_t)}{|\nabla u(\hat{B}_t)|} dW_t^1 + \frac{u_y(\hat{B}_t)}{|\nabla u(\hat{B}_t)|} dW_t^2,$$

$$d\hat{Y}_t = \frac{-u_y(\hat{B}_t)}{\nabla u(\hat{B}_t)} dW_t^1 + \frac{u_x(\hat{B}_t)}{|\nabla u(\hat{B}_t)|} dW_t^2,$$

where  $W_t^1, W_t^2$  are independent, standard Brownian motions. This means that  $(\hat{X}_t, \hat{Y}_t)$  are independent standard Brownian motions, that is,

$$\hat{B}_t = \hat{X}_t + i\hat{Y}_t,$$

is a standard complex Brownian motion at least for  $t \leq \tau$ . Since this holds for every  $U$ , and with probability one the Brownian motion avoids the singular points of  $U$ , we can conclude that it holds for  $t < \tau_D$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.** Suppose  $f$  is a nonconstant holomorphic function on a domain  $D$ . Let  $\tau < \tau_D$  be a bounded stopping time. Show that

$$\mathbf{E} \left[ \int_0^\tau \frac{ds}{|f'(B_s)|^2} \right] < \infty.$$

We allow the case where  $f'(B_0) = 0$ .

The statement of Theorem 1 is a little nicer if  $f$  is a conformal transformation. We say that  $f : D \rightarrow D'$  is a *conformal transformation* if  $f$  is holomorphic, one-to-one, and onto.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose  $D$  is a domain in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $f : D \rightarrow f(D)$  is a conformal transformation. Suppose  $B_t$  is a complex Brownian motion starting at  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let

$$\xi = \int_0^{\tau_D} |f'(B_s)|^2 ds \in (0, \infty],$$

and for  $s < \xi$ , define  $\sigma(s) < \tau_D$  by

$$\int_0^{\sigma(s)} |f'(B_u)|^2 du = s.$$

Then  $Y_s = f(B_{\sigma(s)})$ ,  $0 \leq s < \xi$  is a complex Brownian motion, and  $\xi = \tau_{f(D)} = \inf\{t : Y_t \notin f(D)\}$ .

*Proof.* Note that if  $\xi < \infty$ , we can extend  $Y_s$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq \xi$  by continuity. If  $\xi < \infty$ , we claim that  $Y_\xi \in \partial f(D)$ . Indeed, if  $Y_\xi = w \in f(D)$ , then  $B_{\tau_D-} = f^{-1}(w) \in D$ .  $\square$

### 2.4.1 Example: Recurrence

Here we show that two-dimensional Brownian motion is *neighborhood recurrent*. To be more precise, with probability one, for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $T < \infty$ , there exists  $t > T$  with  $|B_t - z| < \epsilon$ . It suffices to prove this for  $z$  with rational coordinates, and the proof is essentially the same for all of them, so let us consider  $z = 0$ . Let  $B_t$  be a complex Brownian motion and let  $f(z) = e^z$ ,  $Y_t = f(B_t) = e^{B_t} = e^{B_t^1} e^{iB_t^2}$ . Then  $Y_t$  is a time change of a Brownian motion. Since  $|Y_t| = e^{B_t^1}$ , we see from the recurrence of the one-dimensional Brownian motion  $B^1$  that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} |Y_t| = 0.$$

We can also see from this that the Brownian motion is not *pointwise recurrent*. Indeed with probability one, a Brownian motion never visits the origin after time zero. This is obvious for  $Y_t$  since 0 is not in the range of the exponential function.

An important corollary of the neighborhood recurrence of Brownian motion is the following: if  $D$  is a domain with at least one regular boundary point, then for all  $z \in D$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}^z\{\tau_D < \infty\} = 1.$$

Indeed, if  $w$  is a regular point, then there exists a  $\delta$  such that if the Brownian motion is within distance  $\delta$  of  $w$ , then with probability  $1/2$  it goes leaves the domain before it goes distance one from  $z$ . Since we keep returning to the  $\delta$  neighborhood of  $z$  we get infinitely many chances to escape  $D$  near  $w$  and we will eventually succeed. This fact is used implicitly in the following definition.

**Definition** If  $D \in \mathcal{D}^*$  and  $z \in D$ , then the *harmonic measure*  $\text{hm}_D(z, \cdot)$  is defined to be the distribution of  $B(\tau_D)$  assuming  $B_0 = z$ . In other words, the probability that a Brownian motion starting at  $z$  exits  $D$  at  $V$  is  $\text{hm}_D(z, V)$ . More generally if  $f$  is a function defined on  $\partial D$ , we let

$$\text{hm}_D(z, f) = \mathbf{E}^z [f(B_\tau)] = \int_{\partial D} f(w) d\text{hm}_D(z, w).$$

In particular, the harmonic measure

$$\text{hm}_D(z, \cdot)$$

is well defined. If  $f : D \rightarrow f(D)$  is a conformal transformation, that extends to a homomorphism of  $\overline{D}$ , then then

$$\text{hm}_{f(D)}(f(z), f(V)) = \text{hm}_D(z, V). \quad (3)$$

There is a similar formula that holds if  $f$  does not necessarily extend to a homomorphism, but to explain it requires a discussion of prime ends. Suppose for ease that  $D, f(D)$  are bounded domains. Consider the set of curves  $\gamma : [0, t_0) \rightarrow D$  with  $\gamma(t_0-) \in \partial D$  and such that the limit

$$f(\gamma(t_0)) = \lim_{t \uparrow t_0} f(\gamma(t))$$

exists. We say that  $\gamma^1$  is equivalent to  $\gamma^2$  if  $\gamma^1(t_0^1) = \gamma^2(t_0^2)$  and  $f(\gamma^1(t_0^1)) = f(\gamma^2(t_0^2))$ . Then (3) holds for these equivalence classes. For example, if  $D = \mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{H}$ , then  $f(z) = z^2$  is a conformal transformation of  $D$  onto  $f(D) = \mathbb{D} \setminus [0, 1)$ . The boundary point  $1/4 \in \partial f(D)$  corresponds to two equivalence classes, one for curves approaching  $1/4$  from above and the other for curves approaching  $1/4$  from below. These correspond to curves in  $D$  that leave  $D$  at  $1/2$  and  $-1/2$  respectively. Examples like this were there are “two-sided” points are easy to handle, even if we have to be careful about our notation.

If  $D, f(D)$  are bounded domains and  $B_t$  is a Brownian motion in  $D$ , then  $\gamma := B[0, \tau_D]$  corresponds to an equivalence class in  $D$  and  $f \circ \gamma$  an equivalence class in  $\partial D$ . The equation (3) is interpreted in terms of these classes. We will use (3) for general domains  $D$  implicitly meaning this interpretation of the equation.

The definition of harmonic measure does not require any smoothness of the boundary. However, if the boundary is nice, then one can write harmonic measure as an integral of a kernel called the *Poisson kernel*. Rotational invariance of Brownian motion shows that harmonic measure on  $\mathbb{D}$  centered at zero is the uniform distribution. We define  $H_D(z, w)$  to be the Poisson kernel normalized so that

$$H_{\mathbb{D}}(0, e^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

In other words, if  $V$  is sufficiently smooth,

$$\text{hm}_D(z, V) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_V H_D(z, w) |dw|.$$

Here  $|dw|$  represents integration with respect to arc length, that is, a traditional line integral from vector calculus rather than a complex integral along a curve. The term “sufficiently smooth” is a little vague. We will only use the Poisson kernel at places where  $\partial D$  is locally an analytic curve. If  $f : D \rightarrow f(D)$  is a conformal transformation,  $D$  is locally analytic at  $w$ , and  $f(D)$  is locally analytic at  $f(w)$ , then the Poisson kernel satisfies the “conformal covariance” relation

$$H_D(z, w) = |f'(w)| H_{f(D)}(f(z), f(w)).$$

We write  $MV(z; f, r)$  to be the *mean value* of the function on the circle of radius  $e^{-r}$  about  $z$ ,

$$MV(z; f, r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z + e^{-r+i\theta}) d\theta = \mathbf{E}^z [f(B_{\tau_{\mathbb{D}_r}})].$$

More generally, if  $z \in D$  and  $f$  is a function defined on  $\overline{D}$ , we define the mean value of  $f$  on  $\partial D$  with respect to  $z$  by

$$MV(z; f, D) = \mathbf{E}^z [f(B_{\tau_{\mathbb{D}_r}})].$$

Suppose  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow D$  is a conformal transformation. Then boundary  $\partial D$  can be very rough. For example, there can be points  $w \in \partial D$  such that there is no continuous path  $\eta : [0, 1) \rightarrow D$  with  $\eta(1-) = w$ . However, the harmonic measure of such points has to be zero. This is immediate from the definition of harmonic measure in terms of Brownian motion.

### 2.4.2 A fundamental estimate

Here we prove and estimate that we will use continually. Recall that  $\mathbb{D}_r = e^{-r}\mathbb{D}$  and define  $A_r$  to be the annulus

$$A_r = \mathbb{D} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}_r} = \{z : e^{-r} < |z| < 1\}.$$

**Proposition 2.7.** *If  $z \in A_r$  and  $\tau = \tau_{A_r}$ , then*

$$\mathbf{P}^z \{|B_\tau| = e^{-r}\} = \frac{-\log |z|}{r}. \quad (4)$$

*Proof.* Let us give two similar proofs. First, note that  $\phi(z) = -\log |z|$  is a bounded harmonic function in  $A_r$  that is continuous on  $\overline{A_r}$ . This can be checked by differentiation or by noting that it is the real part of the (locally) analytic function  $-\log z$ . Therefore, by Proposition 2.2,

$$\phi(z) = \phi(B_0) = \mathbf{E}^z [\phi(B_\tau)] = r \mathbf{P}^z \{|\phi(B_\tau)| = e^{-r}\}.$$

Alternatively we can let  $Y_t = \exp\{B_t\}$ . Then the probability is the same as the probability that the one-dimensional Brownian motion  $B_t^1$  starting at  $\log |z|$  reaches level  $-r$  before reaching level 0 which by the gambler's ruin estimate is  $-\log |z|/r$ . □

**Proposition 2.8.** *Suppose  $\phi$  is a harmonic function on the annulus  $A_r = \{e^{-r} < |z| < 1\}$ . Let*

$$M_s = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \phi(e^{s+i\theta}) d\theta,$$

*be the average value of  $\phi$  on the circle of radius  $e^{-s}$ . Then there exist  $a, b$  such that*

$$M_s = as + b, \quad 0 < s < r.$$

*Moreover, for each  $s$ ,*

$$\int_{C_s} \partial_n \phi(w) |dw| = 2\pi a,$$

*where  $n$  is the outward unit normal.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $0 < p < s < q < r$ . Let  $B_t$  be a Brownian motion starting uniformly on the circle of radius  $e^{-s}$  and let  $T$  be the first time  $t$  that  $B_t = e^{-p}$  or  $B_t = e^{-q}$ . Then

$$\mathbf{P}\{|B_T| = e^{-p}\} = \frac{q-s}{q-p}.$$

By rotational symmetry, given that  $|B_T| = e^{-p}$  (or given  $|B_T| = e^{-q}$ , the distribution of the angular part is uniform. Therefore,

$$M_s = \frac{q-s}{q-p} M_p + \frac{s-p}{q-p} M_q = \frac{M_q - M_p}{q-p} s + \frac{qM_p - pM_q}{q-p}.$$

For the final assertion note that

$$2\pi a = 2\pi \partial_s M_s = \partial_s \int_0^{2\pi} \phi(e^{s+i\theta}) d\theta = \partial_s \int_{C_s} \phi(w) e^s |dw| = \int_{C_s} \partial_n \phi(w) |dw|.$$

□

### 3 Green's function

The Green's function  $G_D(z, w)$  is the normalized probability that a Brownian motion starting at  $z$  visits  $w$  before leaving  $D$ . As stated this does not make sense since the probability that the Brownian motion visits  $w$  is zero. However, we can make sense of it as a limit,

$$G_D(z, w) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \log[1/\epsilon] \mathbf{P}^z \{\text{dist}(w, B[0, \tau_D]) \leq \epsilon\}.$$

We will show this limit exists in this section and derive some properties. We will first consider the case  $w = 0$  and write just  $G_D(z)$  for  $G_D(z, 0)$ . Throughout this section, we let

$$\sigma_s = \inf\{t : |B_t| = e^{-s}\}.$$

We will make no topological assumptions about the domain  $D$ . We only require that the boundary contain a regular point, that is, that  $\mathbf{P}^z \{\tau_D < \infty\} = 1$ .

**Definition** Let  $\mathcal{U}_r$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{U}_r^s$ ) denote the set of domains (resp., simply connected domains) containing the origin with at least one regular boundary point and  $\text{dist}(0, \partial D) \geq r$ . If  $r = 1$  we write just  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}^s$ . There are natural bijections  $\mathcal{U} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{U}_r$  and  $\mathcal{U}^s \leftrightarrow \mathcal{U}_r^s$  given by  $D \leftrightarrow rD$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Suppose  $D \in \mathcal{U}_r$  and  $z \in D \setminus \{0\}$ . Then the limit*

$$G_D(z) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s \mathbf{P}^z \{\sigma_s < \tau_D\},$$

*exists and lies in  $(0, \infty)$ . Moreover,  $G_{rD}(rz) = G_D(z)$ .*

We note that (4) establishes the result for  $D = \mathbb{D}$  for which

$$G_D(z) = -\log |z|.$$

*Proof.* We write  $\tau = \tau_D$ . It suffices to prove the result for  $D \in \mathcal{U}$ , after which we can use conformal invariance of Brownian motion to see that

$$G_{e^u D}(e^u z) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s \mathbf{P}^{e^u z} \{\sigma_s < \tau_{e^u D}\} = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s \mathbf{P}^z \{\sigma_{s-u} < \tau\} = G_D(z).$$

Since  $\mathbb{D} \subset D$  and  $\partial D$  contains a regular point, the Harnack principle implies that

$$\inf_{|\zeta|=1} \mathbf{P}^\zeta \{\tau < \sigma_1\} = \rho = \rho_D > 0. \tag{5}$$

For  $s > 1$ , let

$$q_s = \sup_{|\zeta|=1} \mathbf{P}^\zeta \{\sigma_s < \tau\}.$$

By the strong Markov property it is the same as the supremum over  $|\zeta| \geq 1$ . We claim that

$$q_s \leq \frac{1}{s\rho}, \quad (6)$$

To see this, note that if  $|\zeta| = 1$  and  $s > 1$ , then

$$\mathbf{P}^\zeta \{\sigma_s < \tau\} \leq \mathbf{P}^\zeta \{\sigma_1 < \tau\} \sup_{|\zeta'|=1/e} \mathbf{P}^{\zeta'} \{\sigma_s < \tau\} \leq (1-\rho) \sup_{|\zeta'|=1/e} \mathbf{P}^{\zeta'} \{\sigma_s < \tau\}.$$

If  $|\zeta'| = 1$ , then using (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}^{\zeta'} \{\sigma_s < \tau\} &= \mathbf{P}^{\zeta'} \{\sigma_s < \sigma_0\} + \mathbf{P}^{\zeta'} \{\sigma_s > \sigma_0\} \mathbf{P}^{\zeta'} \{\sigma_s < \tau \mid \sigma_s > \sigma_0\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{s} + \frac{s-1}{s} q_s. \end{aligned}$$

By taking the supremum over  $|\zeta| = 1$ , we see that

$$q_s \leq (1-\rho) \left[ \frac{1}{s} + \frac{s-1}{s} q_s \right] \leq \frac{1}{s} + (1-\rho) q_s.$$

which gives (6).

We now fix  $z$  and let  $f(s) = \mathbf{P}^z \{\sigma_s < \tau\}$ . Then, if  $|z| > e^{-s}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(s+1) = \mathbf{P}^z \{\sigma_{s+1} < \tau\} &= \mathbf{P}^z \{\sigma_s < \tau\} \mathbf{P}^z \{\sigma_{s+1} < \tau \mid \sigma_s < \tau\} \\ &= f(s) \mathbf{P}^z \{\sigma_{s+1} < \tau \mid \sigma_s < \tau\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $|\zeta| = e^{-s}$ , then (4) and (6) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}^\zeta \{\sigma_{s+1} < \tau\} &= \mathbf{P}^\zeta \{\sigma_{s+1} < \sigma_0\} + \mathbf{P}^\zeta \{\sigma_0 < \sigma_{s+1}\} \mathbf{P}^\zeta \{\sigma_{s+1} < \tau \mid \sigma_0 < \sigma_{s+1}\} \\ &\leq \frac{s}{s+1} + \frac{1}{s+1} \frac{1}{\rho(s+1)} = \frac{s}{s+1} + O(s^{-2}), \end{aligned}$$

where here and throughout the remainder of the proof, the  $O(\cdot)$  terms can depend on  $D$ . Therefore,

$$f(s+1) = f(s) \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{s+1} + O(s^{-2}) \right],$$

$$\log f(s+1) = \log f(s) - \frac{1}{s+1} + O(s^{-2}).$$

This equation implies the existence of a constant which we call  $G_D(z)$  such that for integer  $s$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}^z \{\sigma_s < \tau\} = f(s) = \frac{G_D(z)}{s} [1 + O(s^{-1})]. \quad (7)$$

If  $0 \leq u \leq 1$ , the same argument shows that

$$f(s+u) = f(s) \frac{s}{s+u} [1 + O(s^{-1})] = \frac{G_D(z)}{s+u} [1 + O(s^{-1})],$$

and hence (7) holds for all  $s$ .

□

We extend  $G_D(z)$  to be a function on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  by setting  $G_D(z) = 0$ ,  $z \notin D$ . If  $D$  is open but not connected and  $\tilde{D}$  is the connected component of  $D$  containing the origin, we define  $G_D(z) = G_{D'}(z)$ .

We state the next proposition for  $D \in \mathcal{U}$  but it extends immediately to a result about  $D \in \mathcal{U}_r$  by scaling.

**Proposition 3.2.** *There exists  $c < \infty$  such that if  $D \in \mathcal{U}$ , the following holds.*

1.  $G_D$  is a positive harmonic function on  $D \setminus \{0\}$  that vanishes on  $\partial D$ .
2. There exists  $c_D < \infty$  such that for all  $z$ ,

$$G_D(z) \leq \log_+(1/|z|) + c_D.$$

3.  $G_D$  is continuous at every regular point of  $\partial D$ .
4. If

$$h_D(z) = G_D(z) + \log |z|, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\},$$

$$h_D(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} G_D(e^{r+i\theta}) d\theta,$$

then  $h_D$  is a harmonic function on  $D$ .

5. If  $|z| < e^{-1}$ ,

$$|h_D(z) - h_D(0)| \leq c h_D(0) |z|. \tag{8}$$

6. If  $z \in D$ ,

$$h_D(z) = \mathbf{E}^z [\log |B_\tau|] - \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r \mathbf{P}^z \{\sigma_{-r} < \tau\},$$

where  $\tau = \tau_D = \inf\{t > 0 : B_t \notin D\}$ . In particular, if  $D$  is bounded, then

$$h_D(z) = \mathbf{E}^z [\log |B_\tau|].$$

7. If  $D' \subset D$ , then  $G_{D'}(z) \leq G_D(z)$ .

8. If  $D_1 \subset D_2 \subset \dots$  and  $D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$ , then for  $z \in D$ ,

$$G_D(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_{D_n}(z). \tag{9}$$

9. If  $f : D \rightarrow f(D)$  is a conformal transformation with  $f(0) = 0$ , then  $G_{f(D)}(f(z)) = G_D(z)$ .

*Proof.*

1. We have shown that  $G_D(z) > 0$  if  $z \in D$  and it is defined to be zero on  $\partial D$ . Suppose  $U \subset D$  is a disk with  $\bar{U} \subset D \setminus \{0\}$ . Then by the definition of  $G_D$  we can see that

$$G_D(z) = \mathbf{E}^z [G_D(B_{\tau_U})] = \text{MV}(z; G_D, U)$$

from which we see that  $G_D$  is harmonic on  $D \setminus \{0\}$ .

2. Note that (6) implies that  $G_D(z) \leq 1/\rho$  for  $|z| = 1$ . If  $|z| = e^{-r}$  with  $r < s$ , then

$$\mathbf{P}^z \{\sigma_s < \tau_D\} \leq \mathbf{P}^z \{\sigma_s < \sigma_0\} + \mathbf{P}^z \{\sigma_0 < \sigma_s < \tau_D\} \leq \frac{r}{s} + \frac{s-r}{s^2 \rho}.$$

Letting  $s \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that  $G_D(z) \leq r + (1/\rho)$ .

3. Let  $z$  be a regular boundary point and let  $\xi = \inf\{t : |B_t - z| = |z|/2\}$ . Then for  $|w - z| < |z|/2$ ,

$$G_D(w) \leq \rho \mathbf{P}^w\{\xi < \tau_D\}$$

where  $\rho = \sup\{G_D(\zeta) : |z - \zeta| = |z|/2\} < \infty$ . For every  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can find  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|w - z| < \delta$  implies that  $\mathbf{P}^w\{\xi < \tau_D\} < \delta$  and hence  $G_D(w) \leq \delta \rho$ .

4. For  $z \in D \setminus \{0\}$ , let

$$h_D(z) = G_D(z) + \log |z|.$$

Suppose  $|z| < 1$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}^z\{\sigma_s < \tau\} &= \mathbf{P}^z\{\sigma_s < \sigma_0\} + \mathbf{P}^z\{\sigma_0 < \sigma_s < \tau\} \\ &= \frac{-\log |z|}{s} + \int_{C_0} \mathbf{P}^w\{\sigma_s < \tau\} d\text{hm}_{A_s}(z, w). \end{aligned}$$

If we multiply both sides by  $s$  and take the limit as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$G_D(z) = -\log |z| + \int_{C_0} G_D(w) d\text{hm}_{\mathbb{D}}(z, w) = -\log |z| + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} G_D(e^{i\theta}) H_{\mathbb{D}}(z, e^{i\theta}) d\theta.$$

In other words,

$$h_D(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} G_D(e^{i\theta}) H_{\mathbb{D}}(z, e^{i\theta}) d\theta, \quad 0 < |z| < 1,$$

which can be extended to 0 by setting  $z = 0$  on the right-hand side.

5. Using the exact form of the Poisson kernel, we can see that

$$H_{\mathbb{D}}(z, e^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2} + O(|z|),$$

and hence

$$|G_D(z) + \log |z| - h_D(0)| \leq c|z| h_D(0).$$

6. Let

$$\theta = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} r \mathbf{P}^0\{\tau_D > \sigma_{-r}\}.$$

We claim that

$$\theta = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r \mathbf{P}^0\{\tau_D > \sigma_{-r}\}.$$

To see this we first note that since  $\partial D$  is regular, if

$$q(r) = \sup_{|\zeta|=1} \mathbf{P}^\zeta\{\sigma_{-r} < \tau_D\},$$

Since  $\partial D$  is regular,  $q(r) \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, if

$$p(r, s) = \sup_{|\zeta|=e^r} \{\sigma_s < \tau_D\},$$

then for  $|\zeta| = e^r$ , and  $s > r$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}^\zeta\{\sigma_s < \tau_D\} &\leq \mathbf{P}^\zeta\{\sigma_s < \sigma_0\} + \mathbf{P}^\zeta\{\sigma_0 < \sigma_s\} \mathbf{P}^\zeta\{\sigma_s < \tau_D \mid \sigma_0 < \sigma_s\} \\ &\leq \frac{r}{s} + q(r) p(r, s) \leq \frac{r}{s} + q(r) p(r, s), \end{aligned}$$



which implies that

$$p(r, s) \leq \frac{r}{s(1 - q(r))}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}^z\{\sigma_s < \tau_D\} &= \mathbf{P}^z\{\sigma_r < \tau_D\} \mathbf{P}^z\{\sigma_s < \tau_D \mid \sigma_r < \tau_D\} \\ &\leq \mathbf{P}^z\{\sigma_r < \tau_D\} \frac{r}{s(1 - q(r))}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} s \mathbf{P}^z\{\sigma_s < \tau_D\} \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r}{1 - q(r)} \mathbf{P}^z\{\sigma_r < \tau_D\} = \theta.$$

7. Monotonicity in  $D$  follows immediately from the definition.

8. Assume  $D \in \mathcal{U}$ . If  $D_1 \subset D_2 \subset \dots$  and  $D = \cup D_n$ , then monotonicity implies that the limit

$$L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_{D_n}(z)$$

exists and is bounded above by  $G_D(z)$ . Assume that  $\mathbb{D}_s \subset D_n$ . Then for  $|z| < e^{-s}$ ,

$$G_{D_n}(z) \geq G_{\mathbb{D}_s}(z) = G_{\mathbb{D}}(e^s z) = -\log |z| - s.$$

Therefore, for  $m \geq n$  and  $u \geq s$ ,

$$G_{D_m}(z) \geq (u - s) \mathbf{P}^z\{\sigma_u < \tau_{D_m}\}.$$

Letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that

$$L \geq (u - s) \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}^z\{\sigma_u < \tau_{D_m}\} = (u - s) \mathbf{P}^z\{\sigma_u < \tau_D\}.$$

Letting  $u \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $L \geq G_D(z)$ .

9. Note that it follows from the definition, that for any  $u > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s \mathbf{P}^z\{\sigma_{s+u} < \tau\} = G(z). \quad (10)$$

Let  $C_s = \{\zeta : |\zeta| = e^{-s}\}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_s = \inf\{t : B_t \in f(C_s)\}$ . Conformal invariance of Brownian motion implies that for  $z \in D$ ,  $|z| > e^{-s}$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}^z\{\sigma_s < \tau_D\} = \mathbf{P}^{f(z)}\{\hat{\sigma}_s < \tau_{f(D)}\}.$$

Let  $\theta = \log |f'(0)|$ . If  $\epsilon > 0$ , then for all  $s$  sufficiently large,

$$\sigma_{s+\theta+\epsilon} \leq \hat{\sigma}_s \leq \sigma_{s+\theta-\epsilon}.$$

Hence, using (10),

$$G_{f(D)}(f(z)) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s \mathbf{P}^{f(z)}\{\sigma_s < \tau_{f(D)}\} = G_D(z).$$

□

**Definition** If  $D \in \mathcal{D}^*$ , then the *Green's function*  $G_D(z, w)$  is defined for distinct  $z, w \in D$  by

$$G_D(z, w) = G_{D-z}(w - z).$$

In other words, if

$$\sigma_s(w) = \inf\{t : |B_t - w| \leq e^{-s}\},$$

then

$$G_D(z, w) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s \mathbf{P}^z\{\sigma_s(w) < \tau_D\}.$$

**Proposition 3.3.** *If  $D \in \mathcal{D}^*$  and  $z, w \in D^*$ ,*

$$G_D(z, w) = G_D(w, z)$$

*Proof.* Consider a Brownian motion  $B_t$  with  $B_0 = z$ , and let  $W_t = z - B_t + w$ . Then  $W_t$  is a Brownian motion starting at  $w$  such that  $W_t = z$  when  $B_t = w$ . Roughly speaking, the probability that  $B$  visits  $w$  before leaving  $D$  is the same as the probability that  $W$  visits  $z$  before leaving  $D$ .

To make this precise, we need to replace “visits” with “gets close to”. Let  $D^\epsilon = \{\zeta \in D : \text{dist}(\zeta, \partial D) > \epsilon\}$ . Let  $\sigma_s = \sigma_s(w) = \inf\{t : |B_t - w| \leq e^{-s}\}$ ,  $\sigma'_s = \sigma'_s(z) = \inf\{t : |W_t - z| = e^{-s}\}$ . Note that if  $|z - w| > e^{-s}$ , then  $\sigma_s = \sigma'_s$ . Note that if  $B[0, \sigma_s] \subset D_\epsilon$ , then  $W[0, \sigma'_s] \subset D$ . From this we see that for every  $\epsilon$ ,

$$G_D(w, z) \geq G_{D_\epsilon}(z, w).$$

Letting  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  and using (9), we see that  $G_D(w, z) \geq G_D(z, w)$ . □

**Lemma 3.4.** *If  $\tau = \tau_{\mathbb{D}}$ , and  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ ,*

$$\mathbf{E}^z[\tau_D] = \frac{1}{2} [1 - |z|^2].$$

*Proof.* Itô’s formula shows that

$$M_t = |B_t|^2 - 2t$$

is a martingale. Since  $\mathbf{E}^z[M_\tau] = \mathbf{E}[M_0] = |z|^2$ ,

$$2 \mathbf{E}^z[\tau] = \mathbf{E}^z[B_{\tau_D}^2] - |z|^2 = 1 - |z|^2.$$

□

**Proposition 3.5.** *Suppose  $U \subset D$  is open, and let  $\tau = \tau_D$ . Let*

$$Y_U = \int_0^\tau 1\{B_t \in U\} dt.$$

*Then, if  $z \in D$ ,*

$$\mathbf{E}^z[Y_U] \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_U G(z, w) dA(w) \leq \mathbf{E}^z[Y_{\bar{U}}],$$

*where  $dA$  represents integral with respect to area. In particular, if  $\text{area}(\partial U) = 0$  we have equalities.*

*Proof.* We will show that there is a universal constant  $c_0$  such that for all  $z, U, D$ ,

$$\mathbf{E}^z[Y_U] = c_0 \int_U G(z, w) dA(w).$$

One we have this we can determine that  $c_0 = 1/\pi$ , by noting that if  $z = 0, U = D = \mathbb{D}$ , then  $\mathbf{E}[Y_{\mathbb{D}}] = \mathbf{E}[\tau] = 1/2$  and

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} G(0, w) dA(w) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \log |w| dA(w) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 (r \log r) dr d\theta = \pi \int_0^1 r dr = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Let us now consider the case,  $r < s, D = \mathbb{D}_{-r}, U = \mathbb{D}_{-s}, |z| = e^{-s}$ . We claim that there exists a universal constant  $c$  such that

$$\mathbf{E}^z[Y_U] = c' (s - r) e^{-2s}.$$

By scaling, it suffices to prove this when  $s = 0$ . By rotational symmetry, the left-hand side does not depend on the argument of  $z$ . Let  $\tau_r = \sigma_{-r} = \inf\{t : |B_t| = e^r\}$ . Let  $|z| = 1$ , and

$$Y_r = \int_0^{\tau_r} 1\{|B_t| \leq 1\} ds.$$

If  $r < u$ , and  $\xi = \xi_r = \inf\{t > \tau_r : |B_t| = 1\}$ , and we write  $\mathbf{E}$  for  $\mathbf{E}^z$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}[Y_u] &= \mathbf{E}[Y_r] + \mathbf{E}[Y_u - Y_r] \\ &= \mathbf{E}[Y_r] + \mathbf{P}\{\xi < \tau_u\} \mathbf{E}[Y_u - Y_r \mid \xi < \tau_u] \\ &= \mathbf{E}[Y_r] + \frac{u-r}{u} \mathbf{E}[Y_u].\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mathbf{E}[Y_u] = (u/r) \mathbf{E}[Y_r]$  and  $\mathbf{E}[Y_s] = s \mathbf{E}[Y_1]$ .

More generally, if  $D \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $U = \mathbb{D}_s$ , then for  $|z| = e^{-s}$ , we can write

$$\int_0^{\tau_D} 1\{|B_t| \leq e^{-s}\} dt = \int_0^{\tau_{\mathbb{D}}} 1\{|B_t| \leq e^{-s}\} dt + \int_{\tau_{\mathbb{D}}}^{\tau_D} 1\{|B_t| \leq e^{-s}\} dt,$$

and note that

$$\mathbf{E}^z \left[ \int_0^{\tau_{\mathbb{D}}} 1\{|B_t| \leq e^{-s}\} dt \right] = c' s e^{-2s},$$

and

$$\mathbf{E}^z \left[ \int_{\tau_{\mathbb{D}}}^{\tau_D} 1\{|B_t| \leq e^{-s}\} dt \right] = O(e^{-2s}).$$

From this we see that

$$\mathbf{E}^z[Y_{\mathbb{D}_s}] = c' s e^{-2s} [1 + O(s^{-1})].$$

More generally, if  $z \in D$ ,  $|z| \geq e^{-r}$ ,

$$\mathbf{E}^z[Y_{\mathbb{D}_s}] = c' s e^{-2s} \mathbf{P}^z\{\tau_s < \infty\} [1 + O(s^{-1})], \quad (11)$$

where in this case the error term may depend on  $D$  and on  $r$ .

For ease, let us assume that  $U$  is bounded and that  $\text{dist}(U, \partial D) = e^{-j} > 0$ . We fix  $z$ , and let

$$Y(w, s) = \frac{e^{2s}}{\pi} \int_0^\tau 1\{|B_t - w| \leq e^{-s}\} dt.$$

Then for  $s > j$ ,

$$Y_{U_s} \leq \int_U Y(w, s) dA(w) \leq Y_{U^s},$$

where  $U_s = \{\zeta \in U : \text{dist}(\zeta, \partial U) > e^{-s}\}$ ,  $U^s = \{\zeta \in D : \text{dist}(\zeta, U) < e^{-s}\}$ . Let  $\sigma_s(w) = \inf\{t : |B_t - w| = e^{-s}\}$ . Using (11), we see that

$$\mathbf{E}^z[Y(w, s)] = c' s e^{-2s} \mathbf{P}^z\{\sigma_s(w) < \infty\} [1 + O_U(s^{-1})].$$

where the  $O_U$  term can depend on  $U, D, j$ . Letting  $s \rightarrow \infty$ , we get the result. □

## 4 Riemann mapping theorem

We will prove one of the most important theorems in conformal mapping, the Riemann mapping theorem. Our proof will not be the shortest, but we hope it is illuminating.

**Theorem 3** (Riemann mapping theorem). *Suppose  $D \in \mathcal{U}_r^s$ . Then there exists a unique conformal transformation  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  with  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $f'(0) > 0$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the result for  $D \in \mathcal{U}^s$ . Uniqueness in the case  $D = \mathbb{D}$  follows as a consequence of the Schwarz lemma. More generally, if  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{D}, g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  are two such transformation then  $f \circ g^{-1}$  is a conformal transformation of the  $\mathbb{D}$  onto itself, and hence  $f \circ g^{-1}$  is the identity.

We will construct  $f$  using the Green's function. Recall that we can write

$$G_D(z) = -\log |z| + u(z),$$

where  $u(z) = u_D(z)$  is a harmonic function in  $D$  that is bounded in the unit disk. Since  $D$  is simply connected, there is a holomorphic function  $h : D \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  such that  $\operatorname{Re} h = -u$  and  $\operatorname{Im} h(0) = 0$ . Let

$$f(z) = z e^{h(z)}.$$

Then  $f$  is holomorphic on  $D \setminus \{0\}$  with  $|f(z)| = e^{-G_D(z)}$ . Since  $f$  is bounded in a punctured neighborhood of the origin, we can extend  $f$  to be holomorphic on  $D$ . Note that  $f(0) = 0, f'(0) = e^{h(0)} = e^{-u(0)} > 0$ . This will be the map  $f$ . We need to show that  $f$  is one-to-one and onto. Since  $f'(0) > 0$ ,  $f$  is one-to-one in a neighborhood of the origin.

For  $r > 0$ , let

$$V_r^- = \{z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} : G_D(z) < r\}, \quad V_r = \{z : G_D(z) = r\}, \quad V_r^+ = \{z \in D : G_D(z) > r\},$$

and note that  $\mathbb{C} \setminus D \subset V_r^-, f(V_r^- \cap D) \subset A_r, f(V_r) \subset C_r, f(V_r^+) \subset \mathbb{D}_r$ .

- We first claim that  $V_r^+$  is connected. This does not require  $D$  to be simply connected. To see this, suppose  $z \in V_r^+$  and  $U$  is the connected component of  $V_r^+$  containing  $z$ . If  $0 \notin U$ ,  $G_D(\cdot)$  is a bounded harmonic function on  $U$  and hence by the optional sampling theorem (or the maximal principle),

$$G_D(z) \leq \max_{\zeta \in \partial U} G_D(\zeta) \leq \max_{\zeta \in V_r \cup \partial D} G_D(\zeta) \leq r.$$

Therefore, there is a single connected component of  $V_r^+$ .

- We also claim that  $V_r^-$  is connected. To see this, suppose we could write  $V_r^-$  as the union of two disjoint open sets, say  $U_1, U_2$ . Since  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus D$  is connected, it must be contained entirely in one of the sets  $U_1$ . This implies that the boundary of  $U_2$  consists entirely of points with  $G_D(z) > 0$ , and hence the boundary must be contained in  $V_r$ . Using the optional sampling theorem (or the maximal principle), we see that  $G_D(z) = r$  for  $z \in U_2$ .
- We claim that  $V_r^+$  is bounded. Since  $\infty \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathbb{C}$ , and  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus C$  is connected, we can see that  $G_D(z) \rightarrow 0$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ .

We next claim that  $f$  is onto by showing that for each  $r > 0$ ,  $f(V_r^+) = \mathbb{D}_r$ . To see this, we first note that  $f(V_r^+)$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{D}_r$  containing the origin. Suppose  $z \in \partial f(V_r^+)$ . Then there exist  $w_1, w_2, \dots \in V_r^+$ , such that  $f(w_j) \rightarrow z$ . Since  $V_r^+$  is bounded, there is a subsequence that we will write as  $w_1, w_2, \dots$  that converges to a point  $w \in V_r^+ \cup V_r$ . If  $w \in V_r^+$ , then  $f(w) = z \in V_r^+$ . If  $w \in \partial V_r^+$ , then  $w \in V_r$  and  $z = f(w) \in C_r$ . We have shown that  $f(V_r^+)$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{D}_r$  containing the origin whose boundary is  $C_r$ . Hence  $f(V_r^+) = \mathbb{D}_r$ .

We will now show that  $f'(z) \neq 0$  for every  $z$ . We have already shown that  $f'(0) > 0$ . Suppose  $z \in V_r$  with  $r > 0$ . Let  $L(z) = \log f(z)$  which can be defined in a neighborhood of  $z$ . Using the power series representation of  $f$  around  $z$ , we can see that if  $f'(z) = 0$ , then  $L'(z) = 0$ , and we can find  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\theta_1 < \theta_2 < \theta_3 < \theta_4 < \theta_1 + 2\pi$  such that for  $0 < \delta < \epsilon$ ,

$$z + \delta e^{i\theta_j} \in V_r^+, \quad j = 1 \text{ or } 3,$$

$$z + \delta e^{i\theta_j} \in V_r^-, \quad j = 2 \text{ or } 4.$$

With a little topological thinking, one can see that this contradicts the fact that  $V_r^-, V_r^+$  are connected sets.

We now finish the proof by showing that  $f$  is globally one-to-one. Assume otherwise. Since  $f'(z) \neq 0$  for each  $z$ , it is locally one-to-one at each point. Let  $s$  be the supremum of all  $r$  such that there exists distinct  $z, w \in V_r$  with  $f(z) = f(w)$ . Since  $f$  is locally one-to-one at the origin, we see that  $s < \infty$ . We can find  $r_n \uparrow s$  and  $z_n \neq w_n$  in  $V_{r_n}$  with  $f(z_n) = f(w_n)$ . By taking a subsequence if necessary, we can assume that  $z_n \rightarrow z, w_n \rightarrow w$  for some  $z, w$  which must be in  $V_s$ . Since  $f$  is locally one-to-one around  $z$ , we can see that  $z \neq w$ . We can find disjoint neighborhoods  $U_z, U_w$  about  $z, w$  such that  $f$  maps  $U_z, U_w$  onto neighborhoods of  $f(z) = f(w)$ . In particular, there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\zeta \in C_{s+\epsilon}$  such that  $f^{-1}(\{\zeta\})$  contains points in both  $U_z$  and  $U_w$ . This contradicts the definition of  $s$ . □

The basic idea of this proof will be used for proving conformal equivalence of other domains. The idea is to assume that a transformation exists and try to construct the function. After a candidate is found, we then try to see if the candidate works.

The final part of the argument can be considered a special case of what is known as the “argument principle” which is related to Rouché’s theorem.

## 5 Analytic boundary points

**Definition** Let  $\mathcal{K}_0$  denote the set of domains  $D \subset \mathbb{H}$  such that  $\text{dist}(0, \mathbb{H} \setminus D) > 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}$  denote the set of domains  $D \in \mathcal{K}_0$  with  $\text{dist}(0, \mathbb{H} \setminus D) > 1$ .

Suppose  $D' \in \mathcal{K}_0$ . Let  $f : D' \rightarrow D$  is a conformal transformation. We say that  $f(0)$  is an *analytic boundary point* of  $D$ , if  $f$  extends to an analytic function in a neighborhood of the origin. More precisely, we say that the pair  $(f, 0)$  gives a *(locally) analytic prime end*.

As an example, suppose that  $D = \mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$ . Then  $D$  is a simply connected domain of the Riemann sphere  $\mathbb{C}$ , and we can find a conformal transformation  $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow D$  that sends 0 to what we will call  $0^+$ , the “positive- $y$ ” side of 0 in  $D$ . This map can be extended to an analytic map. Hence  $0^+$  is an analytic boundary point (prime end). Note that  $0^-$  is also an analytic boundary point, but it is considered as a different point.

What we define as analytic boundary point should probably be called analytic prime end. In this case we could define a point to be an analytic boundary point if there exists an  $f$  as above such that there is a neighborhood of 0 such that  $f(D' \setminus \mathbb{H}) \cap D = \emptyset$ . While a point  $z \in D$  might correspond to many prime ends, it can correspond to at most two analytic prime ends.

If  $z$  is an analytic boundary point, then there is a well-defined inward unit normal derivative  $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}(z, D)$  pointing into  $D$ . (If  $z$  is a “two-sided” point, then each prime end has a normal derivative. Hence we consider  $\mathbf{n}(z, D)$  as a function of the prime end  $z$ .) If  $f : D' \rightarrow D$  is a map as above, then we write

$$f(iy) = z + y |f'(0)| \mathbf{n} + O(|y|^2), \quad y \downarrow 0.$$

If  $\phi$  is a harmonic function on  $D$  with boundary value 0 in a neighborhood of  $z$ , then we define  $\tilde{\phi}$  on  $D' = f^{-1}(D)$  by  $\tilde{\phi}(w) = \phi(f^{-1}(w))$ . Note that  $\tilde{\phi}$  is harmonic with boundary value 0 in an interval  $[-\delta, \delta]$  and hence

$$\partial_y \tilde{\phi}(0) = \lim_{y \downarrow 0} y^{-1} \tilde{\phi}(iy),$$

is well defined. We define

$$\partial_n \phi(z) = \lim_{y \downarrow 0} y^{-1} \phi(z + y\mathbf{n}) = \lim_{y \downarrow 0} y^{-1} \tilde{\phi}(|f'(0)|^{-1} yi + O(y^2)) = |f'(0)|^{-1} \partial_y \tilde{\phi}(z).$$

**Proposition 5.1.** *Suppose  $D \in \mathcal{K}$ . If  $z, w \in D$  with  $|z|, |w| \leq 1/2$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} H_D(z, 0) &= H_{\mathbb{H}}(z, 0) [1 + O(|z|)]. \\ G_D(z, w) &= G_{\mathbb{H}}(z, w) [1 + O(|z|)]. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Note that

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathbb{D}_+}(z, 0) &\leq H_D(z, 0) \leq H_{\mathbb{H}}(z, 0) \\ G_{\mathbb{D}_+}(z, w) &\leq G_D(z, w) \leq G_{\mathbb{H}}(z, w). \end{aligned}$$

Using conformal transformation, we can show the estimates for  $D = \mathbb{D}_+$ .

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathbb{D}_+}(z, 0) &= H_{\mathbb{H}}(z, 0) [1 + O(|z|)]. \\ G_{\mathbb{D}_+}(z, w) &= H_{\mathbb{H}}(z, w) [1 + O(|z|)]. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 5.2.** *Suppose  $D \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $h : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is harmonic with  $h \equiv 0$  on  $[-x, x]$  for some  $x > 1$ . Then*

$$\partial_y h(0) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi h(e^{i\theta}) \sin \theta d\theta.$$

*Proof.* By Schwarz reflection, we can extend  $h$  to a harmonic function on  $D \cup \{z : |z| < x\}$  and from this we see that  $h$  is bounded and continuous on  $\{z : |z| \leq 1\}$ . The optional sampling theorem implies that if  $z \in \mathbb{D}_+$ , then

$$h(z) = \mathbf{E}^z [h(B_{\tau_{\mathbb{D}_+}})] = \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}_+} h(w) \text{hm}_{\mathbb{D}_+}(z, dw) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi h(e^{i\theta}) H_{\partial \mathbb{D}_+}(z, e^{i\theta}) d\theta.$$

Using conformal invariance (see Appendix) we can see that

$$H_{\partial \mathbb{D}_+}(iy, e^{i\theta}) = 2y \sin \theta [1 + O(y)], \quad y \downarrow 0.$$

□

**Proposition 5.3.** *Suppose  $D \in \mathcal{K}$ . If  $0 < \epsilon \leq 1/2$ , let  $D_\epsilon = D \cap \{|z| > \epsilon\}$  and  $\tau_\epsilon = \tau_{D_\epsilon}$ . Then for  $z \in D$  with  $|z| \geq 1$ ,*

$$H_{D_\epsilon}(z, \epsilon e^{i\theta}) = \frac{\pi}{2} \mathbf{P}^z \{|B_{\tau_\epsilon}| = \epsilon\} \epsilon^{-1} \sin \theta [1 + O(\epsilon)].$$

By definition,

$$\mathbf{P}^z \{|B_{\tau_\epsilon}| = \epsilon\} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|\zeta|=\epsilon} H_{D_\epsilon}(z, \zeta) |d\zeta| = \frac{\epsilon}{\pi} \int_0^\pi H_{D_\epsilon}(z, \epsilon e^{i\theta}) d\theta.$$

Informally, we can write the conclusion of this proposition as

$$d_\theta \mathbf{P} \{B_{\tau_\epsilon} = \epsilon e^{i\theta} \mid |B_{\tau_\epsilon}| = \epsilon\} = \frac{\sin \theta d\theta}{2} [1 + O(\epsilon)].$$

More precisely, if  $0 < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \pi$ , and  $V_\epsilon = V_\epsilon(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \{\epsilon e^{i\theta} : \theta_1 \leq \theta \leq \theta_2\}$ , then

$$\mathbf{P}^z \{B_{\tau_\epsilon} \in V_\epsilon \mid |B_{\tau_\epsilon}| = \epsilon\} = [1 + O(\epsilon)] \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \frac{\sin \theta}{2} d\theta = [1 + O(\epsilon)] \frac{\cos \theta_1 - \cos \theta_2}{2}.$$

The statement of the proposition implies a uniformity in the estimate. We could restate the conclusion as follows: there exists  $c < \infty$  such that for all  $D \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $|z| \geq 1$ ,  $0 < \epsilon \leq 1/2$ ,

$$\left| \mathbf{P}^z \{|B_{\tau_\epsilon}| = \epsilon\} - \frac{2\epsilon H_{D_\epsilon}(z, \epsilon e^{i\theta})}{\pi \sin \theta} \right| \leq c\epsilon.$$

*Proof.* We fix  $0 < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \pi$ , let  $V_\epsilon$  be as above, and let  $p = (\cos \theta_1 - \cos \theta_2)/2$ . Let  $U_\epsilon = \{w \in \mathbb{H} : \epsilon < |w| < 1\}$  and let  $\eta_\epsilon = \tau_{U_\epsilon}$ . Using conformal invariance, we can see that if  $\zeta \in U_\epsilon$  with  $|\zeta| = 3/4$ , then

$$\mathbf{P}^\zeta \{B_{\eta_\epsilon} \in V_\epsilon \mid |B_{\eta_\epsilon}| = \epsilon\} = p[1 + O(\epsilon)].$$

Let  $q$  be the supremum of

$$\mathbf{P}^\zeta \{B_{\eta_\epsilon} \in V_\epsilon \mid |B_{\eta_\epsilon}| = \epsilon\}.$$

Then  $q = p[1 + O(\epsilon)]$ , where the supremum is over  $|\zeta| = 3/4$ . Then if  $D \in \mathcal{K}$ , we can see that

$$\mathbf{P}^\zeta \{B_{\tau_\epsilon} \in V_\epsilon \mid |B_{\tau_\epsilon}| = \epsilon\} \leq q.$$

The same argument works with  $q$  being the infimum rather than the supremum. □

**Proposition 5.4.** *If  $D$  is a domain containing the origin with analytic boundary point  $z$ ,*

$$H_D(0, z) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_n G(z).$$

To check that the constant is correct, recall that we have normalized our quantities so that

$$h_D(0, 1) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad G_D(0, x) = \log x,$$

and hence  $\partial_n G_D(0, 1) = 1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $D \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $|z| \geq 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} H_D(z, 0) &= \mathbf{E}^z [H_D(B_{\tau_\epsilon}, 0); |B_{\tau_\epsilon}| = \epsilon] \\ &= [1 + O(\epsilon)] \mathbf{E}^z [H_{\mathbb{H}}(B_{\tau_\epsilon}, 0); |B_{\tau_\epsilon}| = \epsilon] \\ &= \frac{\epsilon[1 + O(\epsilon)]}{\pi} \int_0^\pi H_{D_\epsilon}(z, \epsilon e^{i\theta}) H_{\mathbb{H}}(\epsilon e^{i\theta}, 0) d\theta \\ &= \mathbf{P}^z \{|B_{\tau_\epsilon}| = \epsilon\} [1 + O(\epsilon)] \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin \theta}{2} H_{\mathbb{H}}(\epsilon e^{i\theta}, 0) d\theta \\ &= \epsilon^{-1} \mathbf{P}^z \{|B_{\tau_\epsilon}| = \epsilon\} [1 + O(\epsilon)] \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{2} d\theta \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if  $y < \epsilon/2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} G_D(z, iy) &= \mathbf{E}^z [G_D(B_{\tau_\epsilon}, iy); |B_{\tau_\epsilon}| = \epsilon] \\ &= [1 + O(\epsilon)] \mathbf{E}^z [G_{\mathbb{H}}(B_{\tau_\epsilon}, iy); |B_{\tau_\epsilon}| = \epsilon] \\ &= \frac{\epsilon[1 + O(\epsilon)]}{\pi} \int_0^\pi H_{D_\epsilon}(z, \epsilon e^{i\theta}) G_{\mathbb{H}}(\epsilon e^{i\theta}, iy) d\theta \\ &= \mathbf{P}^z \{|B_{\tau_\epsilon}| = \epsilon\} [1 + O(\epsilon)] \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin \theta}{2} G_{\mathbb{H}}(\epsilon e^{i\theta}, iy) d\theta \\ &= (y/\epsilon) \mathbf{P}^z \{|B_{\tau_\epsilon}| = \epsilon\} [1 + O(\epsilon) + O(\epsilon/y)] \int_0^\pi \sin^2 \theta d\theta \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if  $y = \epsilon^2$ ,

$$\frac{G_D(z, iy)}{y} = 2 H_D(z, 0) [1 + O(y^{1/2})].$$

Therefore,  $\partial_y G_D(z, w) |_{w=0} = 2 H_D(z, 0)$ . □

**Corollary 5.5.** *If  $D \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $|z| > 1$ , then*

$$H_D(z, 0) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi G_D(e^{i\theta}, z) \sin \theta \, d\theta.$$

## 5.1 Excursion measure

If  $D$  is a domain and  $z$  is an analytic boundary point, we define the (*point-to-set*) *excursion measure*  $\mathcal{E}_D(z, \cdot)$  to be the derivative of the harmonic measure,

$$\mathcal{E}_D(z, V) = \partial_n \text{hm}_D(z, V).$$

If  $D$  is an open set, non necessarily connected, we define  $\mathcal{E}_D(z, V)$  to be  $\mathcal{E}_{D'}(z, V)$  where  $D'$  is the connected component containing  $z$  on the boundary. The measure  $\mathcal{E}_D(x, \cdot)$  is an infinite measure on  $\partial D$ , but if  $\text{dist}(z, V) > 0$ , then  $\mathcal{E}_D(z, V) < \infty$ . If  $V$  is an analytic arc, we can write

$$\partial_n \text{hm}_D(z, V) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_V \partial_n H_D(z, w) |dw| = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_V H_{\partial D}(z, w) |dw|.$$

Note that if  $f : D \rightarrow f(D)$  is a conformal transformation that is analytic in a neighborhood of  $z$ ,

$$\mathcal{E}_D(z, V) = |f'(z)| \mathcal{E}_{f(D)}(f(z), f(V)).$$

If  $V_1, V_2$  are two analytic arcs, we define the (*set-to-set*) *excursion measure*

$$\mathcal{E}_D(V_1, V_2) = \int_{V_1} \mathcal{E}_D(z, V_1) |dz| = \int_{V_1} \int_{V_2} H_{\partial D}(z, w) |dw| |dz|.$$

The important fact is that the set-to-set excursion measure is a conformal invariant.

**Proposition 5.6.** *If  $f : D \rightarrow f(D)$  is a conformal transformation that is analytic on the arcs  $V_1, V_2 \subset \partial D$ , then*

$$\mathcal{E}_D(V_1, V_2) = \mathcal{E}_{f(D)}(f(V_1), f(V_2)).$$

Since the set-to-set excursion measure is a conformal it is well defined even if the boundary is not analytic. For example, if  $V_1, V_2$  are on the same connected component of the boundary, we can first map  $D$  to  $\mathbb{H}$  mapping this component to the real line. If they are in different components  $K_1, K_2$ , then we can first map  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (K_1 \cup K_2)$  to an annulus.

**Proposition 5.7.** *Suppose  $D$  is a domain and  $z$  is a locally analytic point. Suppose  $D' \subset D$  and  $D, D_1$  agree in a neighborhood of  $z$ . Let  $w \in D \setminus \overline{D'}$ . Then*

$$H_D(w, z) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial D_1} G_D(\zeta, w) \mathcal{E}_{D'}(z, d\zeta).$$

*If  $\partial D' \cap D$  is analytic, we can write*

$$H_D(w, z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_1} G_D(\zeta, w) H_{\partial D_1}(z, \zeta) |d\zeta|.$$

As an example (and a check on the constants), suppose that  $D = \mathbb{D}, D_1 = A_r = \{e^{-r} < |z| < 1\}$ ,  $z = 1, w = 0$ . Then  $H_{\mathbb{D}}(0, 1) = 1/2$ , and

$$\mathcal{E}_{A_r}(1, C_r) = \frac{1}{r}, \quad G_{\mathbb{D}}(e^{-r+i\theta}, 0) = r, \quad H_{A_r}(1, e^{-r+i\theta}) = \frac{e^r}{2r} [1 + o(1)].$$



*Proof.* We first consider the case with  $z = 0$ ,  $D, D' \in \mathcal{K}$ . The function  $h(\zeta) = G(\zeta, w)$  is a bounded harmonic function on  $D'$ . Therefore, for  $y < 1$ ,

$$h(iy) = \mathbf{E}^{iy} [h(B_{\tau_{D'}})] = \int_{\partial D'} G(\zeta, w) \text{hm}_{D'}(iy, d\zeta).$$

Letting  $y \rightarrow 0$ , we get

$$2H_D(0, w) = \partial_n G(0, w) = \mathbf{E}^{iy} [h(B_{\tau_{D'}})] = \int_{\partial D'} G(\zeta, w) \mathcal{E}_{D'}(0, d\zeta).$$

□

### Examples

- If  $A_r = \{e^{-r} < |z| < 1\}$  is the annulus with boundaries  $C, C_r$ , then we have see that  $\mathcal{E}_{A_r}(e^{i\theta}, C_r) = \frac{1}{r}$ , and hence

$$\mathcal{E}_{A_r}(C, C_r) = \int_0^{2\pi} \mathcal{E}_{A_r}(e^{i\theta}, C_r) d\theta = \frac{2\pi}{r}.$$

In particular, we see that if  $r \neq s$ , then  $\mathcal{E}_{A_r}(C, C_r) \neq \mathcal{E}_{A_s}(C, C_s)$ . From this we can see that  $A_r$  and  $A_s$  are not conformally equivalent.

- Let  $\mathcal{R}_L = \{x + iy : 0 < x < L, 0 < y < \pi\}$  and let  $\partial_1 = [0, i\pi]$ ,  $\partial_2 = \partial_{2,L} = [L, L + i\pi]$  be the vertical boundaries. Let  $h$  be the harmonic function on  $\mathcal{R}_L$  with boundary value 1 on  $\partial_2$  and 0 on  $\partial\mathcal{R}_L \setminus \partial_2$ . This can be found by separation of variables,

$$h(x + iy) = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh(nx) \sin(ny)}{n \sinh(nL)},$$

$$\partial_x h(iy) = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cosh(nx) \sin(ny)}{\sinh(nL)} = \frac{4 \sin y}{\pi} e^{-L} [1 + O(e^{-L})], \quad L \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{R}_L}(\partial_1, \partial_2) = \int_0^{\pi} \partial_x h(iy) dy = \frac{8}{\pi} e^{-L} [1 + O(e^{-L})], \quad L \rightarrow \infty.$$

Although it is not immediately obvious from the last expression, one can use the definition to see that the function  $L \mapsto \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{R}_L}(\partial_1, \partial_2)$  is strictly decreasing.

- Let  $D = D(a, b) = \{a < \text{Im}(z) < b\}$  with boundaries  $I_a = \{\text{Im}(z) = a\}$ ,  $I_b = \{\text{Im}(z) = b\}$ . Then, the gambler's ruin estimate implies that if  $x + ia \in I_a$ , then  $\mathcal{E}_D(x + ia, I_b) = 1/(b - a)$ , and hence if  $V \subset I_a$ ,

$$\mathcal{E}_D(V, I_b) = \mathcal{E}_D(I_b, V) = \frac{1}{b - a} \ell(V),$$

where  $\ell$  denotes Lebesgue measure. More generally, suppose that  $D \subset \mathbb{H}$  is a domain with

$$\mathbb{H} \cap \{\text{Im}(z) \geq a\} = D \cap \{\text{Im}(z) \geq a\}.$$

The strong Markov property, implies that if  $b > a$ , then

$$\mathcal{E}_D(I_b, V) = \frac{1}{b - a} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{hm}_{D \cap \{\text{Im}(z) < b\}}(x + ia, V) dx$$

Then

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} b \mathcal{E}_D(I_b, V) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{hm}_D(x + ia, V) dx \quad (12)$$

**Proposition 5.8.** *If  $D$  is a conformal annulus with boundary components  $\partial_1, \partial_2$ , then  $D$  is conformally equivalent to  $A_r$  where  $r = 2\pi/\mathcal{E}_D(\partial_1, \partial_2)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\partial_1, \partial_2$  denote the connected components of  $D$ . Let  $D' = \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \partial_1$  be the domain bounded by  $\partial_1$ , and note that  $\partial_2 \subset D'$ . By the Riemann mapping theorem, we can conformally transform  $D'$  onto the unit disk. For this reason, without loss of generality, we will assume that  $D \subset \mathbb{D}$  and  $\partial_1 = C$ . Let

$$q(z) = q_D(z) = \mathbf{P}^z\{B_{\tau_D} \in \partial_2\}.$$

Let  $r > 0$ , and suppose that  $f : D \rightarrow A_r$  is a conformal transformation with  $f(C) = C$ . By conformal invariance,

$$\mathcal{E}_D(C, \partial_2) = \mathcal{E}_{A_r}(C, C_r) = \frac{2\pi}{r}.$$

Hence,  $r = 2\pi/\mathcal{E}_D(C, \partial_2)$ .

Note that  $u(z) := -r \log q_D(z)$  is a harmonic function on  $D$  with

$$\int_C \partial_n u(z) |dz| = r \mathcal{E}_D(C, \partial_2) = 2\pi.$$

Hence for each simple closed curve  $\gamma$  about  $\partial_2$  traversed counterclockwise

$$\int_\gamma \partial_n u(z) |dz| = 2\pi. \tag{13}$$

We can find a harmonic function  $h(z) = u(z) + iv(z)$  locally around each  $z$ , and let  $f(z) = \exp\{-h(z)\}$ . Using (13), we can see that  $f$  is well defined globally. This gives a map  $f : D \rightarrow A_r$ . We need to show that  $f$  is one-to-one and onto.

As in the simply connected case, we can see that for each  $0 < q < 1$ , the sets  $V_q = \{z : u(z) = q\}, \{z : u(z) > q\}, \{z : u(z) < q\}$  are connected, and using this we get that  $f'(z) \neq 0$  for every  $z$ . To show global injectivity, consider the point smallest  $q$  for which  $f$  is not one-to-one on  $V_q$ . □

We say two domains  $D_1, D_2$  are *conformally equivalent* if there exists a conformal transformation  $f : D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ . Let us call  $D$  a *conformal annulus* if  $D$  is connected and  $\partial D$  consists of two connected components each larger than a single point. Suppose  $D$  is a domain and  $V$  is a connected component of  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathbb{C}$  containing more than one point. Then,  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus V$  is a simply connected subset of the Riemann sphere  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  and hence can be mapped conformally onto the disk or conformally onto  $\mathbb{H}$ . For this reason, when we consider multiply connected domains it will suffice to consider subdomains of  $\mathbb{D}$  (or  $\mathbb{H}$ ) for which  $C$  (or  $\mathbb{R}$ ) are contained in the boundary. Similarly, if  $V_1, V_2$  are two connected components of  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathbb{C}$  containing more than one point, we can start by mapping  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (V_1 \cup V_2)$  to an annulus.

## 5.2 Poisson kernel

If  $D$  is a regular domain and  $z$  is an analytic boundary point, then the Poisson kernel is defined, up to a multiplicative constant, as a positive harmonic function  $f$  whose boundary value is zero everywhere except for  $z$  (here we are interpreting  $z$  in terms of a prime end. Suppose  $D' \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $f : D' \rightarrow D$  is a conformal transformation. If we define

$$h(w) = H_{\partial D'}(f^{-1}(w))$$

then  $h$  satisfies these conditions on  $D$ . Hence, we do not need a nice boundary point to have such a function.

If  $z, w$  are both analytic boundary points, then we define the boundary Poisson kernel  $H_{\partial D}(z, w)$  by

$$H_{\partial D}(z, w) = \partial_{n_z} H_D(z, w) = 2 \partial_{n_z} \partial_{z_w} G_D(z, w),$$

where we write  $n_z, z_w$  for the derivative at the inward normal at  $z, w$ , respectively. The second expression shows that  $H_{\partial D}(z, w) = H_{\partial D}(w, z)$

## 6 Toolbox for conformal maps

Here we develop some of the classical tools for dealing with conformal transformations. One can get very far having three results in one's pocket: the Koebe-1/4 theorem, the distortion theorem, and the Beurling estimate. We will do these here. We call a function  $f$  on a domain  $D$  *univalent* if it is holomorphic and one-to-one.

### 6.1 Beurling estimate

The Beurling estimate is a uniform upper bound on the probability that a Brownian motion avoids a connected set. As an example suppose  $K = [0, 1]$  and  $B_t$  is a Brownian motion starting at  $-\epsilon$  and  $D = \mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{H}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}^{-\epsilon}\{B[0, \tau_{\mathbb{D}}] \cap K = \emptyset\} &= \mathbf{P}^{-i\sqrt{\epsilon}}\{B_{\tau_D} \notin \mathbb{R}\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi H_D(i\sqrt{\epsilon}, e^{i\theta}) d\theta \sim \frac{4\sqrt{\epsilon}}{\pi}, \quad \epsilon \downarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

If we replace  $[0, 1]$  with a different curve from 0 to the unit disk, we would expect that the probability for a Brownian motion to avoid the set would decrease. This statement is made precise in the Beurling projection theorem. From a practical perspective, what is used is the fact that the probability is bounded by  $c\sqrt{\epsilon}$ . This latter statement is often referred to as the Beurling estimate.

We will state and prove the Beurling projection theorem in this section. If  $K \subset \mathbb{H}$  is a closed subset, we write

$$\begin{aligned} K_+ &= K \cap \{\text{Im}(w) \geq 0\}, \quad K_- = K \cap \{\text{Im}(w) \leq 0\}, \\ K^* &= \{\bar{w} : w \in K\}, \quad K' = K_+ \cup K_-^*. \end{aligned}$$

In other words,  $K'$  is obtained from  $K$  by reflecting the elements of  $K$  below the real line to the upper half plane. Note that  $K \cap \mathbb{R} = K' \cap \mathbb{R} = (K \cap K^*) \cap \mathbb{R}$ , and, more generally,  $\text{dist}(x, K) = \text{dist}(x, K') = \text{dist}(x, K \cap K^*)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** *Suppose  $K \subset \mathbb{H}$  is closed and let  $K'$  be as above. Let  $\tau = \tau_{\partial\mathbb{D}}$  and  $\rho, \rho'$  the first times to visit  $K, K'$  respectively. If  $-1 < x < 1$ , then*

$$\mathbf{P}^x\{\rho < \tau\} \geq \mathbf{P}^x\{\rho' < \tau\}.$$

*Proof.* We assume  $x \notin K$  and write  $\mathbf{P}$  for  $\mathbf{P}^x$  throughout this proof. We will give an increasing sequence of stopping times. Let  $\delta_0 = \text{dist}(x, K \cup \partial\mathbb{D}) = \text{dist}(x, K' \cup \partial\mathbb{D}) = \text{dist}(x, K \cup K^*)$ , and

$$S_0 = \inf\{t : |B_t - x| = \delta_0\},$$

$$T_0 = \inf\{t \geq S_0 : B_t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

More generally, if  $j \geq 1$ , we set

$$\delta_j = \text{dist}(B_{T_{j-1}}, K \cup \partial\mathbb{D}),$$

$$S_j = \inf\{t \geq T_{j-1} : |B_t - B_{T_{j-1}}| = \delta_j\},$$

$$T_j = \inf\{t \geq S_j : B_t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

It is possible that  $B(T_j) \in K$  for some  $j$  in which case  $S_k = T_k = T_j$  for  $k \geq j$ . However, if  $B(T_j) \notin K$ , then with probability one  $T_j < S_{j+1} < T_{j+1}$ . Note that on the event  $\{B[0, \tau_D] \cap (K \cap \mathbb{R}) = \emptyset, B(\tau_D) \notin \mathbb{R}\}$ , there exists  $j$  with  $\{T_j < \tau < T_{j+1}\}$ . Hence it suffices to show that for every  $j \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}\{T_j < \tau < T_{j+1}; B[0, \tau] \cap K = \emptyset\} \leq \mathbf{P}\{T_j < \tau < T_{j+1}; B[0, \tau] \cap K' = \emptyset\}. \quad (14)$$

It will be useful to add some randomness to the process. Let  $J_0, J_1, \dots$  be independent random variables, independent of the Brownian motion  $B_t = B_t^1 + i B_t^2$  with  $\mathbf{P}\{J_j = 1\} = \mathbf{P}\{J_j = -1\} = 1/2$ . Define  $W_t$  by

$$W_t = B_t^1 + i J_j B_t^2 \quad T_{j-1} \leq t < T_j.$$

(Here  $T_{-1} = 0$ .) If  $B_{T_j} \in \mathbb{R} \cap K$  so that  $T_{j+1} = T_j$ , we stop the process  $W_t$  at time  $T_j$ . Note that

$$\mathbf{P}\{T_j < \tau < T_{j+1}; B[0, \tau] \cap K = \emptyset\} = \mathbf{P}\{T_j < \tau < T_{j+1}; W[0, \tau] \cap K = \emptyset\},$$

and similarly with  $K'$  replacing  $K$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the Brownian motion  $B$  only, so that  $\mathcal{F}$  is independent of the  $J_j$ . We claim that for each  $j$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}\{T_j < \tau < T_{j+1}; W[0, \tau] \cap K = \emptyset \mid \mathcal{F}\} = \mathbf{P}\{T_j < \tau < T_{j+1}; W[0, \tau] \cap K' = \emptyset \mid \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Let us fix a  $j$ . The event  $\{T_j < \tau < T_{j+1}\}$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable. On this event, we can write

$$\mathbf{P}\{T_j < \tau < T_{j+1}; W[0, \tau] \cap K = \emptyset \mid \mathcal{F}\} = I_0 I_1 \cdots I_j \hat{I}_{j+1},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_k &= 1\{J_k = 1\} 1\{B[S_j, T_k] \cap K = \emptyset\} + 1\{J_k = -1\} 1\{B[S_j, T_k] \cap K^* = \emptyset\}, \\ \hat{I}_k &= 1\{B[S_j, \tau] \cap K = \emptyset\} + 1\{J_k = -1\} 1\{B[S_j, \tau] \cap K^* = \emptyset\}, \end{aligned}$$

We get a similar expression for  $K'$  in terms of  $I'_k, \hat{I}'_k$ , obtained by replacing  $K, K^*$  with  $K', (K')^*$ . The random variables  $I_0, I_1, \dots$  are conditionally independent given  $\mathcal{F}$ , and hence it suffices to show for every  $k$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}\{I_k = 1 \mid \mathcal{F}\} \leq \mathbf{P}\{I'_k = 1 \mid \mathcal{F}\},$$

$$\mathbf{P}\{\hat{I}_k = 1 \mid \mathcal{F}\} \leq \mathbf{P}\{\hat{I}'_k = 1 \mid \mathcal{F}\},$$

We will show the first; the second is done in the same way. If  $B(T_k) \in K$ , then  $I_k = 0$ , so let us assume that  $B(T_k) \notin K$ . Consider the events

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \{B(S_k, T_k) \cap K_+ = \emptyset\}, & E_2 &= \{B(S_k, T_k) \cap K_- = \emptyset\}, \\ E_3 &= \{B(S_k, T_k) \cap (K_+)^* = \emptyset\}, & E_4 &= \{B(S_k, T_k) \cap (K_-)^* = \emptyset\}. \end{aligned}$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}\{I_k = 1 \mid \mathcal{F}\} &= \frac{1}{2} 1_{E_1 \cap E_2} + \frac{1}{2} 1_{E_3 \cap E_4}, \\ \mathbf{P}\{I'_k = 1 \mid \mathcal{F}\} &= \frac{1}{2} 1_{E_1 \cap E_4} + \frac{1}{2} 1_{E_3 \cap E_2}. \end{aligned}$$

We therefore get

$$2 [\mathbf{P}\{I'_k = 1 \mid \mathcal{F}\} - \mathbf{P}\{I_k = 1 \mid \mathcal{F}\}] = 1_{E_1 \cap (E_4 \setminus E_2)} + 1_{E_3 \cap (E_2 \setminus E_4)} - 1_{E_1 \cap (E_2 \setminus E_4)} - 1_{E_3 \cap (E_4 \setminus E_2)}.$$

Note that on the event  $E_4 \setminus E_2$ ,  $B(S_j, T_j)$  lies in the lower half-plane and hence  $1_{E_1} = 1$ . Similarly, on the event  $E_2 \setminus E_4$ , we have  $1_{E_3} = 1$ . Hence,

$$2 [\mathbf{P}\{I'_k = 1 \mid \mathcal{F}\} - \mathbf{P}\{I_k = 1 \mid \mathcal{F}\}] = 1_{E_4 \setminus E_2} + 1_{E_2 \setminus E_4} - 1_{E_1 \cap (E_2 \setminus E_4)} - 1_{E_3 \cap (E_4 \setminus E_2)} \geq 0.$$

□

**Proposition 6.2.** *Under the assumptions above, if  $D, D'$  are the connected components of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus K, \mathbb{C} \setminus K'$  containing the origin, and  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ ,*

$$G_D(x) \leq G_{D'}(x).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbb{R} \cap D = \mathbb{R} \cap D'$ , the result is trivial for  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus D$ , so we assume  $x \in D$ . Let  $s$  be sufficiently small so that  $\mathbb{D}_s \subset D$  and  $|x| > e^{-s}$ . Then we can follow the proof as above, to show that

$$\mathbf{P}^x\{\sigma_s < \tau_D\} \leq \mathbf{P}^x\{\sigma_s < \tau_{D'}\}.$$

Letting  $s \rightarrow \infty$  gives the result.  $\square$

**Theorem 4** (Beurling projection theorem). *Suppose  $K$  is a connected, closed subset of  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  such that for each  $\epsilon \leq r \leq 1$*

$$K \cap \{|B_t| = r\} \neq \emptyset.$$

*Then,*

$$\mathbf{P}\{B[0, \tau_{\mathbb{D}}] \cap K = \emptyset\} \leq \mathbf{P}\{B[0, \tau_{\mathbb{D}}] \cap [\epsilon, 1] = \emptyset\}.$$

*In particular,*

$$\mathbf{P}\{B[0, \tau_{\mathbb{D}}] \cap K = \emptyset\} \leq 2\epsilon^{1/2}.$$

By conformal invariance, we can see that as  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}\{B[0, \tau_{\mathbb{D}}] \cap [\epsilon, 1] = \emptyset\} = \frac{4}{\pi} \epsilon^{1/2} + O(\epsilon).$$

Although it is not optimal, we can write

$$\mathbf{P}\{B[0, \tau_{\mathbb{D}}] \cap [\epsilon, 1] = \emptyset\} \leq 2\epsilon^{1/2}, \quad 0 < \epsilon \leq 1.$$

*Proof.* Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . For any  $K$ , let  $K'$  denote the set  $K_+ \cup (K_-)^*$  as above. Then,

$$\mathbf{P}\{B[0, \tau_{\mathbb{D}}] \cap K = \emptyset\} \leq \mathbf{P}\{B[0, \tau_{\mathbb{D}}] \cap K' = \emptyset\}.$$

Similarly, we can reflect the negative real part of  $K'$  onto the positive real axis and increase the probability. By doing this trick repeatedly and rotating, we see that for any  $\delta > 0$  and any  $K$  we can find  $K_\delta \subset \{0 \leq \arg(z) \leq \delta\}$  with

$$\mathbf{P}\{B[0, \tau_{\mathbb{D}}] \cap K = \emptyset\} \leq \mathbf{P}\{B[0, \tau_{\mathbb{D}}] \cap K_\delta = \emptyset\}.$$

For fixed  $\epsilon$ , we can use connectivity of  $K_\delta$  to see that

$$\lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \mathbf{P}\{B[0, \tau_{\mathbb{D}}] \cap K_\delta = \emptyset \mid B[0, \tau_{\mathbb{D}}] \cap [\epsilon, 1] \neq \emptyset\} = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{\delta \downarrow 0} \mathbf{P}\{B[0, \tau_{\mathbb{D}}] \cap K_\delta = \emptyset\} \leq \mathbf{P}\{B[0, \tau_{\mathbb{D}}] \cap [\epsilon, 1] = \emptyset\} = 0.$$

$\square$

In applications one generally uses a corollary of the Beurling projection theorem often called the Beurling estimate.

**Corollary 6.3** (Beurling estimate). *There exists  $c < \infty$  such that if  $D$  is domain with  $0 \notin D$  and such that the connected component of  $\partial D$  containing the origin intersects the unit circle. Then, if  $|z| \geq 2$ ,*

$$\text{hm}_D(z, \partial D \cap \{|\zeta| \leq \epsilon\}) \leq c\sqrt{\epsilon}.$$

*Proof.* By making  $D$  large if necessary, we can assume that  $D = \mathbb{C} \setminus K$  where  $K$  is a compact connected subset of  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  intersecting the unit circle.  $f(w) = w/\epsilon$ .

$\square$

## 6.2 Koebe distortion theorems

The Riemann mapping theorem implies that there is a one-to-one correspondence between simply connected domains  $D \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$  containing the origin and univalent functions  $f$  on the unit disk with  $f(0) = 0, f'(0) > 0$ . We let  $\mathcal{S}^*$  denote the set of all such function and  $\mathcal{S}$  the set of  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$  with  $f'(0) = 1$ . Any function  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  can be written as a power series

$$f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots$$

One example of such a function is the *Koebe function*  $f_{\text{Koebe}}$ ,

$$f_{\text{Koebe}}(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} = \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4} = z + 2z^2 + 3z^3 + \dots$$

Using the second expressions, we can see that  $f_{\text{Koebe}}$  is a composition of conformal transformations, and hence is a conformal transformation, with  $f_{\text{Koebe}}(\mathbb{D}) = \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, -1/4]$ . The Koebe function is an extremal function in  $\mathcal{S}$ , and a big problem in the twentieth century was to prove the Bieberbach conjecture:

- If  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $|a_n| \leq n$  for all  $n$ .

This was proved by de Branges. Fortunately, for most applications one does not need this result (and for this reason we do not need to go through the proof).

**Lemma 6.4.** *Suppose  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow D$  is a conformal transformation with  $f(0) = 0, f'(0) > 0$  and  $\text{dist}(0, \partial D) \geq 1$ . Then*

$$\log f'(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} G_D(e^{i\theta}) d\theta. \quad (15)$$

*Proof.* Fix  $f, D$  and we allow constants and  $O(\cdot)$  error terms to depend on  $f, D$ . Let  $k$  equal the right-hand side of (15). We know from (8) that

$$G_D(z) = -\log |z| + k + O(|z|), \quad z \rightarrow 0.$$

However, as  $z \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} -\log |z| = G_{\mathbb{D}}(z) = G_D(f(z)) &= G_D(f'(0)z + O(|z|^2)) \\ &= -\log [f'(0)z + O(|z|^2)] + k + O(|z|) \\ &= -\log |z| - \log f'(0) + k + O(|z|). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 6.5.** *Suppose  $D$  is a regular domain containing the origin. Let  $T = \inf\{t \geq 0 : B_t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Then for every  $z \in D$ ,*

$$G_D(z, 0) = \mathbf{E}^z [G_D(B_T); T < \tau_D].$$

*Proof.* We write  $G(z) = G_D(z, 0)$ . If  $z \in \mathbb{R}$  the result is immediate. Assume that  $\text{Im}(z) > 0$  (the case  $\text{Im}(z) < 0$  is done similarly). We allow constants to depend on  $z, D$ . Let  $s$  be sufficiently large so that  $\text{dist}(0, \partial D) > e^{-s}$  and let  $\xi_s = \tau_D \wedge T \wedge \sigma_s$ . Since  $M_t = G(B_{t \wedge \xi_s})$  is a continuous, bounded martingale,

$$\begin{aligned} G(z) &= \mathbf{E}^z [G(B_{\xi_s})] \\ &= \mathbf{E}^z [G(B_T); T < \tau_D \wedge \xi_s] + \mathbf{E}^z [G(B_{\sigma_s}); \sigma_s < \tau_D \wedge T]. \end{aligned}$$

We know that for  $|\zeta| = e^{-s}$  that  $G_D(\zeta) \leq cs$ . Also, using the Poisson kernel in  $\mathbb{H}$ , we see as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}^z \{\sigma_s < \tau_D \wedge T\} \leq \mathbf{P}^z \{\sigma_s < T\} \leq ce^{-s}.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}^z [G(B_{\sigma_s}); \sigma_s < \tau_D \wedge T] = 0,$$

and, hence, by the monotone convergence theorem,

$$G(z) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}^z [G(B_T); T < \tau_D \wedge \xi_s] = \mathbf{E}^z [G(B_T); T < \tau_D].$$

□

**Proposition 6.6.** *Suppose  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow D$  is a conformal transformation with  $f(0) = 0, f'(0) > 0$  and  $\text{dist}(0, \partial D) = 1$ . Then*

$$1 \leq f'(0) \leq 4. \quad (16)$$

*Proof.* The first inequality follows from (15) (or from the Schwarz lemma applied to  $f^{-1}$ , considered as a map from  $\mathbb{D}$  into  $\mathbb{D}$ ). To get the second inequality, we show that the right hand side of (15) is maximized if  $D = \mathbb{C} \setminus [1, \infty)$ . This is done similarly as in the Beurling inequality. Suppose that  $D = \mathbb{C} \setminus K$ , and as before we write

$$K_+ = \{z \in K : \text{Im}(z) \geq 0\}, \quad K_- = \{z \in K : \text{Im}(z) \leq 0\}, \\ (K_-)^* = \{z : \bar{z} \in K_-\}, \quad K' = K_+ \cup \overline{(K_-)^*}.$$

Let  $D' = \mathbb{C} \setminus K'$  and note that  $D$  is simply connected. We claim that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} G_D(e^{i\theta}) d\theta \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} G_{D'}(e^{i\theta}) d\theta. \quad (17)$$

To see this let  $\sigma = \inf\{t : |B_t| = 1\}$  and  $T = \inf\{t \geq \sigma : B_t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Then, using Lemma 7.5, we see that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} G_D(e^{i\theta}) d\theta = \mathbf{E} [G_D(B_T) \mathbf{1}\{B[0, T] \cap K = \emptyset\}],$$

and similarly for  $D', K'$ .

As in the proof of Lemma 7.1, we let  $W_t = B_t^1 + i J B_t^2$  where  $J$  is a random variable independent of  $B$  with  $\mathbf{P}\{J = \pm 1\} = 1/2$ . Clearly  $W$  is a Brownian motion with  $W_T = B_t$ , and hence

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} G_D(e^{i\theta}) d\theta = \mathbf{E} [G_D(W_T) \mathbf{1}\{B[0, T] \cap K = \emptyset\}],$$

Let

$$E_1 = \{B[0, T] \cap K_+ = \emptyset\}, \quad E_2 = \{B[0, T] \cap K_- = \emptyset\}, \\ E_3 = \{B[0, T] \cap (K_+)^* = \emptyset\}, \quad E_4 = \{B[0, T] \cap (K_-)^* = \emptyset\}.$$

Arguing as in that proof, conditioned on  $B[0, T]$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}\{W[0, T] \cap K = \emptyset \mid B[0, T]\} \leq \mathbf{P}\{W[0, T] \cap K' = \emptyset \mid B[0, T]\}.$$

Proposition 7.2 tells us that  $G_D(x) \leq G_{D'}(x)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . This gives (17).

Given (17), we can do the argument in Theorem 4 to see that we can choose a maximizing  $D$  so that  $K$  lies in a wedge  $\{0 \leq \arg(w) \leq \delta\}$  of arbitrarily small width, and then we argue as in the Beurling estimate to see that the supremum is taken on by a slit domain  $D = \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, -1]$  for which  $f(z) = 4 f_{\text{Koebe}}(z)$ . □

**Corollary 6.7** (Koebe (1/4)-theorem). *Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}^*$  and let  $d = \text{dist}(0, \partial f(\mathbb{D}))$ . Then*

$$d \leq f'(0) \leq 4d.$$

*In particular, if  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $f(\mathbb{D})$  contains the open disk of radius 1/4 about the origin.*

The next proposition is a slightly weaker version of the “growth theorem”.

**Proposition 6.8.** *There exists  $c < \infty$  such that if  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ , then*

$$|f(z)| \leq c[1 - |z|]^{-2}.$$

*Proof.* Using Theorem 4, we can see that there exists  $c'$  such that if  $|\zeta| > 1$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}^\zeta\{\sigma_0 < \tau_D\} \leq c' |\zeta|^{-1/2}.$$

Hence,

$$G_D(\zeta) \leq \mathbf{P}^\zeta\{\sigma_0 < \tau_D\} \sup_{|w|=1} G_D(w) \leq c^{1/2} |\zeta|^{-1/2},$$

$$|\zeta| \leq c G_D(\zeta)^{-2},$$

for some  $c$  (uniform over  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ ). If  $|z| < 1$ , then

$$G_{\mathbb{D}}(z) = -\log |z| \geq 1 - |z|.$$

Hence,

$$|f(z)| \leq c G_D(f(z))^{-2} = c [G_{\mathbb{D}}(z)]^{-2} \leq c [1 - |z|]^{-2}.$$

□

We will now prove a form of the “distortion theorem”. This is not as strong as the standard version, but this is easy to prove now and is that is needed for most arguments. The key fact is that the constants  $c = C(D, V)$  can be chosen uniformly over  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Proposition 6.9** (Distortion Principle). *Suppose  $D$  is a domain and  $V \subset D$  is compact. Then there exists  $c = c(D, V) < \infty$  such that if  $f : D \rightarrow f(D)$  is a conformal transformation, then*

$$|f'(z)| \leq c |f'(w)|, \quad z, w \in V.$$

*Proof.* We first assume that  $D = \mathbb{D}$ . Since  $f$  is uniformly bounded on  $\{|z| \leq 1/2\}$ , the Cauchy integral formula gives a uniform bound on  $|f''|$  for  $|z| \leq 1/4$ , and this implies that there exists  $c < \infty$  such that

$$|f'(z) - 1| \leq c |z|, \quad |z| \leq 1/4.$$

In particular, we can find  $\delta$  such that  $1/2 \leq |f'(z)| \leq 2$  for  $|z| \leq \delta$  and hence

$$|f'(w)| \leq 4 |f'(z)|, \quad |z|, |w| \leq \delta. \tag{18}$$

Let us define a metric  $\rho_D(z, w)$  on  $D$  to be the minimum integer  $k$  such that we can write down a sequence

$$z = \zeta_0, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_k = w,$$

such that for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ ,

$$|\zeta_j - \zeta_{j-1}| < \delta \max \{ \text{dist}(\zeta_{j-1}, \partial D), \text{dist}(\zeta_j, \partial D) \},$$

where  $\delta$  is as in the last proof. Then, we have  $|f'(z)| \leq 4^{\rho_D(z, w)} |f'(w)|$ . Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 2.4, we can see that for all compact  $V$ ,  $\max\{\rho_D(z, w) : z, w \in V\} < \infty$ .

□



These arguments measure the “closeness” of  $z$  and  $w$  in  $D$  to be the number of balls  $\{\zeta : |\zeta - z_j| \leq \text{dist}(z_j, \partial D)\}$  are needed to “connect”  $z$  to  $w$ . This measure of distance is closely related to hyperbolic distance. This definition in the last proof is valid for all domains.

We end by stating the more precise distortion estimate. Usually we do not need the precision in this statement, but since the optimal constants are known, it is generally nicer to use them.

**Theorem 5** (Distortion Theorem). *If  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $|z| < 1$ , then*

$$\frac{1 - |z|}{(1 + |z|)^3} \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{1 + |z|}{(1 - |z|)^3}.$$

$$\frac{|z|}{(1 + |z|)^2} \leq |f(z)| \leq \frac{|z|}{(1 - |z|)^2}.$$

**Corollary 6.10.** *There exists  $c_0 > 0$  such that if  $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow f(\mathbb{H})$  is a conformal transformation and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $r > 0$ ,*

$$\frac{|f'(ri)|}{c_0(x^4 + 1)} \leq |f'(rx + ri)| \leq c_0(x^4 + 1)|f'(ri)|, \quad (19)$$

$$|f(rx + ri) - f(ri)| \leq c_0 r (|x|^4 + 1) |f'(ri)|. \quad (20)$$

*Proof.* For (19), without loss of generality assume that  $r = 1$ ,  $f(i) = 0$ ,  $f'(i) = -2i$ . Let us also assume  $|x| \geq 1$ ; otherwise we use the distortion principle immediately. Let  $F(z) = (z - i)/(z + i)$  which maps  $\mathbb{H}$  onto  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $F(i) = 0$ ,  $F'(i) = -2i$ , and let  $g = f \circ F^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}$ . Note that  $|F(x + i)| \leq 1 - cx^{-2}$ , and hence the distortion theorem implies that

$$\frac{c}{x^2} \leq |g'(F^{-1}(F(x + i)))| = \frac{|f'(x + i)|}{|F'(x + i)|}.$$

We check directly that  $|F'(x + 1)| \asymp x^{-2}$ . Therefore  $|f'(x + i)| \geq cx^{-4}$ . This gives the first inequality in (19) and the second follows from the first applied to  $\tilde{f}(z) = f(z - x)$ . The estimate (20) follows from  $|g'(F(z))| \leq c(1 - |F(z)|)^{-2}$ .

$$|f(rx + ri) - f(ri)| \leq \int_0^{rx} |f'(s + ri)| ds.$$

It is clear that by doing this proof slightly more carefully we could find an explicit  $c_0$ , but we will not need it. □

## 7 Loewner differential equation

We will give a number of versions of what are called Loewner differential equations. These equations describe the dynamics of conformal maps as a domain is perturbed. As a start we will describe one version of the half-plane equation. Suppose  $\gamma : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is a simple curve with  $\gamma(0+) = 0$ . (For us curve means a continuous image of the real line and simple means that the function is one-to-one. For each  $t$ , let  $H_t = \mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma[0, t]$ . The Riemann mapping theorem tells us that there exist conformal maps  $g_t : H_t \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ . There are many such maps, but as we will see we can specify a unique one by requiring that

$$g_t(z) = z + o(1), \quad z \rightarrow \infty.$$

For fixed  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ , we can consider the flow  $t \mapsto g_t(z)$ . If  $z \notin \gamma(0, \infty)$ , then this flow exists for all times. If  $z = \gamma(t)$  then the flow stops at time  $t$  at which  $g_t(z) \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The main result is that if we reparametrize  $\gamma$  appropriately then  $g_t(z)$  is a  $C^1$  function of  $t$  that satisfies

$$\partial_t g_t(z) = \frac{2}{g_t(z) - U_t}, \quad g_0(z) = z,$$

where  $U_t = g_t(\gamma(t))$ .

We will first derive the equation in the case  $\gamma$  is a simple curve and show that  $t \mapsto U_t$  is a continuous real-valued function. We then will consider the equation as an initial value problem for a given continuous  $U_t$  and discuss the solutions of the differential equation.

## 7.1 A class of transformations

We will consider simply connected subdomains of  $\mathbb{H}$  of the form  $\mathbb{H} \setminus K$  where  $K$  is a bounded set. We will be making estimates that are valid over all such domains so it useful to set up some notation. Recall that we write  $\text{rad}(K) = \text{rad}(0, K) = \sup\{|z| : z \in K\}$ .

**Definition** Let  $\mathcal{J}_q$  denote the set of subdomains  $D \subset \mathbb{H}$  with  $\text{rad}(\mathbb{H} \setminus D) \leq q$ , and let  $\mathcal{J}'_q$  be the set of real translations  $D = D + x, D \in \mathcal{J}_q, x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}' = \mathcal{J}'_1$ .

We allow multiply connected domains in  $\mathcal{J}$ . Note that  $D \in \mathcal{J}$  if and only if  $f(D) \in \mathcal{K}$  where  $f(z) = -1/z$ . Suppose  $D \in \mathcal{J}_q, D' \in \mathcal{J}'_q$  and  $g : D \rightarrow D'$  is a conformal transformation such that  $g(\infty) = \infty$  (that is, if  $z \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $g(z) \rightarrow \infty$ ) and such that for  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [x_1, x_2]$ ,

$$\lim_{y \downarrow 0} g(x + iy) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then we can use Schwartz reflection to extend  $g$  to a conformal transformation of

$$D^* := D \cup \{\bar{z} : z \in D\} \cup (-\infty, x_1) \cup (x_2, \infty).$$

The map

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{g(1/z)}$$

is a univalent function in a neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}$  of the origin with  $f(0) = 0$ , and hence has a power series expansion

$$f(z) = a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \dots$$

Since  $f(\mathcal{N} \cap \mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbb{R}$ , we can see that  $a_j \in \mathbb{R}$ , and since  $f(\mathcal{N} \cap \mathbb{H}) \subset \mathbb{H}$ , we can see that  $a_1 > 0$ . Using this we see that  $g$  has an expansion at infinity

$$g(z) = b_{-1} z + b_0 + b_1 z^{-1} + b_2 z^{-2} + \dots, \quad b_{-1} > 0, \quad b_j \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We will write  $g'(\infty) = 1$  if  $b_{-1} = 1$ . If  $g$  has an expansion as above, and  $\tilde{g}(z) = (g(z) - b_0)/b_{-1}$ , then  $\tilde{g}(z) = z + o(1)$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ .

One might expect that we should define  $g'(\infty) = b_{-1}$ . However, for reasons that we will discuss later, there are good reasons to define  $g'(\infty) = 1/b_{-1}$ . We will avoid this issue for the moment by only defining “ $g'(\infty) = 1$ ” which is the same under both definitions.

**Definition** Let  $\mathcal{Q}_q$  denote the set of conformal transformations  $g : D \rightarrow g(D)$  where  $D \in \mathcal{J}_q, g(D) \in \mathcal{J}'$  and such that

$$g(z) - z \rightarrow 0, \quad z \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let  $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_1$ . Transformations in  $\mathcal{Q}$  are sometimes said to satisfy the *hydrodynamic normalization*.

**Lemma 7.1.** *Suppose  $D \in \mathcal{Q}_q$ . Then there is a unique positive harmonic function  $v_D$  on  $D$  such that  $v_D \equiv 0$  on  $\partial D$  and  $v_D(z) = \text{Im}(z) + O(1)$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ . It is given by*

$$v_D(z) = \text{Im}(z) - \mathbf{E}^z [\text{Im}(B_{\tau_D})] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \mathbf{P}^z \{T_n < \tau_D\},$$

where  $T_n = \inf\{t : \text{Im}(B_t) = n\}$ . Moreover, there exist  $c = c_D < \infty$  such that for  $|z| \geq 2q$ ,

$$|\text{Im}(z) - v_D(z)| \leq \frac{c \text{Im}(z)}{|z|^2}.$$

To be more precise, we mean that if we extend  $v_D$  to  $\partial D$  by setting  $v_D(z) = 0$  for  $z \in \partial D$ , then  $v_D$  is continuous at the regular points of  $\partial D$ .

*Proof.* If  $v_D$  is such a function, then  $\text{Im}(z) - v_D(z)$  is a bounded harmonic function on  $D$ , and hence,

$$\text{Im}(z) - v_D(z) = \mathbf{E}^z [h(B_{\tau_D})] = \mathbf{E}^z [\text{Im}(B_{\tau_D})].$$

This gives existence and uniqueness of  $v_D$ . Since  $\text{Im}(w)$  is a bounded harmonic function on  $\{0 < \text{Im}(w) < n\}$ , if  $0 < \text{Im}(z) < n$ ,

$$\text{Im}(z) = \mathbf{E}^z [\text{Im}(B_{\tau_D \wedge T_n})] = \mathbf{E}^z [\text{Im}(B_{\tau_D}); \tau_D < T_n] + n \mathbf{P}^z \{T_n < \tau_D\}.$$

Using the monotone convergence theorem, we therefore see that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \mathbf{P}^z \{T_n < \tau_D\} &= \text{Im}(z) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}^z [\text{Im}(B_{\tau_D}); \tau_D < T_n] \\ &= \text{Im}(z) - \mathbf{E}^z [\text{Im}(B_{\tau_D})]. \end{aligned}$$

To get the final assertion, note that

$$|\text{Im}(z) - v_D(z)| \leq \mathbf{P}^z \{B_{\tau_D} \notin \mathbb{R}\} \sup\{\text{Im}(z) : z \in \mathbb{H} \setminus D\} \leq c_D \mathbf{P}^z \{B[0, \tau_{\mathbb{H}}] \cap (q\mathbb{D}) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

The probability on the right can be computed by conformal invariance. We omit the details. □

- One can consider  $\mathcal{Q}$  as a half-plane analogue of the schlicht functions  $\mathcal{S}$ .
- If  $g \in \mathcal{Q}_q$  with domain  $D$ , let  $\tilde{D} = q^{-1}D$  and  $\tilde{g}(z) = q^{-1}g(qz)$ . Then  $\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{Q}$  with  $\tilde{g}'(z/q) = g'(z)$ . We will focus on estimates for  $g \in \mathcal{Q}$ , but these immediately imply estimates for  $g \in \mathcal{Q}_q$ .
- Every  $g \in \mathcal{Q}$  has an expansion at infinity

$$g(z) = z + \frac{b_1}{z} + \frac{b_2}{z^2} + \dots, \quad b_j \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- If we write  $g(z) = u(z) + iv(z)$ , then  $h(z) := \text{Im}(z) - v(z)$  is a bounded harmonic function on  $D$  such that  $h(z) \rightarrow 0$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ . Note that  $h$  is a harmonic function on  $D^*$ .
- If  $g : D \rightarrow g(D)$  is in  $\mathcal{Q}$  then so is  $g^{-1} : g(D) \rightarrow D$  with expansion

$$g^{-1}(z) = z - b_1 z^{-1} + O(|z|^{-2}).$$

- If  $D \in \mathcal{J}_q$  is simply connected, there exists unique  $g \in \mathcal{Q}_q$  such that  $g(D) = \mathbb{H}$ . The existence of conformal transformations  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  follows from the Riemann mapping theorem, and if  $\tilde{f}$  is another such a transformation, then  $\tilde{f} = T \circ f$  where  $T$  is a Möbius transformation of  $\mathbb{H}$ . There is exactly one such  $T$  such that  $T \circ f \in \mathcal{Q}$ . In this case  $\text{Im}g = v_D$  where  $v_D$  is the function from Lemma 8.1.

- If  $g = u + iv \in \mathcal{Q}$  and  $z = x + iy$  with  $|z| > 1$ , we can use the Cauchy-Riemann equations to write

$$\begin{aligned}
u(x, iy) &= \lim_{y_1 \rightarrow \infty} [u(x, iy_1) + u(x, iy) - u(x, iy_1)] \\
&= \lim_{y_1 \rightarrow \infty} \left[ u(x, iy_1) - \int_y^{y_1} \partial_x v(x + it) dt \right] \\
&= x - \int_y^\infty \partial_x v(x + it) dt \\
&= x + \int_y^\infty \partial_x h(x + it) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

To see that the integral is well defined, note that for  $|z| \geq 2$ ,  $h$  (extended to  $D^*$ ) is a bounded harmonic function in the disk of radius  $|z|/2$  about  $z$  and is bounded by  $c|z|^{-1}$ . Therefore by Proposition 2.3,  $|\nabla h(z)| \leq c|z|^{-2}$ .

**Proposition 7.2.** *Suppose  $D \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $h$  is a positive harmonic function on  $D$  that is bounded on  $\{|z| \geq 1\}$  and equals zero on  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x| \geq 1\}$ . Then for  $|z| \geq 2$ ,*

$$h(z) = H_{\mathbb{H}}(z, 0) h_\infty [1 + O(|z|^{-1})] = -\text{Im}(1/z) h_\infty [1 + O(|z|^{-1})],$$

where

$$h_\infty = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} y h(iy) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi h(e^{i\theta}) \sin \theta d\theta. \quad (21)$$

Moreover, if  $y > 1$ ,

$$h_\infty = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty h(x + iy) dx. \quad (22)$$

The condition  $|z| \geq 2$  is put into the proposition to make the estimates uniform over  $z$ . We could replace it with  $|z| \geq r$  for any  $r > 1$ , but then the implicit constant could depend on  $r$ .

As  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $h(x + iy) \leq O(x^{-2})$  which shows that the integral in (22) is finite.

*Proof.* Let  $O_+ = O \cap \mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{H} : |z| > 1\}$ . Since  $h$  is a bounded harmonic function on  $O_+$ , the optional sampling theorem implies that

$$h(z) = \mathbf{E}^z [h(B_+)] = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\partial O_+} H(z, w) h(w) |dw|.$$

Using conformal invariance (see (43)), we can see that

$$H_{O_+}(z, e^{i\theta}) = 2 \text{Im}[-1/z] \sin \theta [1 + O(|z|^{-1})] = 2 H_{\mathbb{H}}(z, 0) [1 + O(|z|^{-1})].$$

Since  $h(x) = 0$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R} \cap \partial O_+$ ,

$$h(z) = \frac{2 H_{\mathbb{H}}(z, 0)}{\pi} [1 + O(|z|^{-1})] \int_0^\pi h(e^{i\theta}) \sin \theta d\theta$$

This gives (21). Let  $U_y = \{x + is : s > y\}$ . Then, if  $y' > y$ ,

$$y' h(iy') = \frac{y'}{\pi} \int_{\partial H_y} H_{U_y}(iy', x + iy) h(x + iy) dx = \frac{y'}{\pi(y' - y)} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{(y' - y)^2}{x^2 + (y' - y)^2} h(x + iy) dx$$

Letting  $y' \rightarrow \infty$ , we get (22). □

We note that the Harnack principle implies that there exists  $c_1, c_2$  such that for all such  $h$ ,

$$c_1 h_\infty \leq h(2i) \leq c_2 h_\infty.$$

We can write

$$h_\infty = \frac{4}{\pi} \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}^z [h(B_\tau) \mid |B_\tau| = 1].$$

We can then view the results as two separate estimates:

$$\mathbf{E}^z [h(B_\tau) \mid |B_\tau| = 1] = \frac{\pi}{4} h_\infty [1 + O(|z|^{-1})],$$

$$\mathbf{P}^z \{|B_\tau| = 1\} = \frac{4\operatorname{Im}(z)}{\pi|z|^2} [1 + O(|z|^{-1})].$$

**Definition** Suppose  $K \subset \mathbb{H}$  is bounded such that  $D = \mathbb{H} \setminus K$  is a domain. Then the *half-plane capacity*  $\operatorname{hcap}(K)$  is defined by

$$\operatorname{hcap}(K) = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} y \mathbf{E}^{iy} [\operatorname{Im}[B(\tau_D)]].$$

Suppose  $D = \mathbb{H} \setminus K, \tau = \tau_D$ , and let  $h(z) = \mathbf{E}^z [\operatorname{Im}(B_\tau)]$  be the bounded harmonic function on  $D$  with boundary value  $\operatorname{Im}(z)$ . If  $D \in \mathcal{J}$ , that is, if  $K \subset \{|z| \leq 1\}$ , then in the notation of Proposition 8.2,

$$\operatorname{hcap}(K) = h_\infty = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \mathbf{E}^{e^{i\theta}} [\operatorname{Im}(B_\tau)] \sin \theta \, d\theta.$$

Also, for  $|z| \geq 2$ ,

$$h(z) = -\operatorname{hcap}(K) \operatorname{Im}(1/z) [1 + O(|z|^{-1})].$$

In particular,  $\operatorname{hcap}(K) \asymp \mathbf{E}^{2i} [\operatorname{Im}(B_\tau)]$ .

**Proposition 7.3.** *Suppose  $K \subset \mathbb{H}$  is bounded such that  $D = \mathbb{H} \setminus K$  is a domain.*

1. *If  $r > 0$ , then  $\operatorname{hcap}(rK) = r^2 \operatorname{hcap}(K)$ .*
2. *If  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\operatorname{hcap}(x + K) = \operatorname{hcap}(K)$ .*

*Proof.*

1. Let  $D_r = \mathbb{H} \setminus rK$ . Then, by conformal invariance

$$\mathbf{E}^{iry} [\operatorname{Im}(B_{\tau_{D_r}})] = r \mathbf{E}^{iy} [\operatorname{Im}(B_{\tau_D})].$$

Therefore,

$$\operatorname{hcap}(rK) = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} ry \mathbf{E}^{iry} [\operatorname{Im}(B_{\tau_{D_r}})] = r^2 \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} y \mathbf{E}^{iy} [\operatorname{Im}(B_{\tau_D})] = r^2 \operatorname{hcap}(K).$$

2. Let  $D_x = \mathbb{H} \setminus (K + x) = D + x$ . Then,

$$\mathbf{E}^{iy} [\operatorname{Im}(B_{\tau_{D_x}})] = \mathbf{E}^{-x+iy} [\operatorname{Im}(B_{\tau_D})].$$

Using, for example, Proposition 8.2 (or just derivative estimates for harmonic functions), we can see that for fixed  $x$ , as  $y \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\mathbf{E}^{-x+iy} [\operatorname{Im}(B_{\tau_{D_x}})] \sim \mathbf{E}^{iy} [\operatorname{Im}(B_{\tau_D})].$$

□

There is another notion of capacity that we will consider that scales differently from  $\text{hcap}$ .

**Definition** Suppose  $V$  is a compact subset of  $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ . For a Brownian motion  $B_t$  and let  $D = \mathbb{H} \setminus V$ . Then

$$\text{cap}_{\mathbb{H}}(V) = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}^{iy} \{B_\tau \in V\} = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} y \text{hm}_{\mathbb{H} \setminus V}(iy, V).$$

Note that we allow  $V$  to be a subset of reals. The quantity  $\text{cap}_{\mathbb{H}}(V)$  is a normalized form of the point-to-set excursion measure as we now show. Let

$$f(z) = \frac{z - i}{z + i}$$

which is a conformal transformation of  $\mathbb{H}$  onto  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then conformal invariance implies that

$$\text{hm}_{\mathbb{H} \setminus V}(iy, V) = \text{hm}_{\mathbb{D} \setminus f(V)}(f(iy), f(V)) = \text{hm}_{\mathbb{D} \setminus f(V)}\left(\frac{y-1}{y+1}, f(V)\right).$$

Therefore,

$$\text{cap}_{\mathbb{H}}(V) = 2 \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y}{2} \text{hm}_{\mathbb{D} \setminus f(V)}\left(1 - \frac{2}{y+1}, f(V)\right) = 2 \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{D} \setminus f(V)}(1, f(V)).$$

- If  $T = \inf\{t : B_t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , we can use the Poisson kernel in  $\mathbb{H}$  to see that

$$\text{cap}_{\mathbb{H}}([0, x]) = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} y \mathbf{P}^{iy} \{0 \leq B_T \leq x\} = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} y \int_0^x \frac{y dy}{\pi(t^2 + y^2)} dt = \frac{x}{\pi}.$$

- If  $V \subset \{|z| \leq 1\}$  and  $y \geq 2$ ,

$$\text{cap}_{\mathbb{H}}(V) \asymp y \mathbf{P}^{iy} \{B[0, T] \cap V \neq \emptyset\} = y \text{hm}_{\mathbb{H} \setminus V}(2i, V).$$

- Using conformal invariance, we get the following relations:

$$\text{cap}_{\mathbb{H}}(V + x) = \text{cap}_{\mathbb{H}}(V), \quad \text{cap}_{\mathbb{H}}(rV) = r \text{cap}_{\mathbb{H}}(V).$$

- Suppose  $V$  is the disk of radius  $ry$  about  $z = x + iy$  where  $0 < r < 1$ . We claim that

$$\text{cap}_{\mathbb{H}}(V) = 2y [\log(1/r) + O(r)]^{-1} \quad r \rightarrow 0. \tag{23}$$

It suffices to prove this for  $y = 1, x = 0$  for which it follows from

$$G_{\mathbb{H}}(iy, i) = \log \frac{y+1}{y-1} = 2y^{-1} + O(y^{-2}), \quad y \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $\text{cap}_{\mathbb{H}}$  scales linearly, one might expect that  $\text{cap}_{\mathbb{H}}$  of a connected set to be comparable to the diameter of a set. Indeed this is true if the set touches the boundary, but is not correct for “interior” sets.

**Lemma 7.4.** *There exists  $c_1, c_2$  such that if  $V \subset \mathbb{H}$  is compact and connected and  $d = \text{diam}(V)$ ,  $y = \sup\{\text{Im}(z); z \in V\}$ , then*

$$c_1 (d + y) [1 + \log_+(y/d)]^{-1} \leq \text{cap}_{\mathbb{H}}(V) \leq c_2 (d + y) [1 + \log_+(y/d)]^{-1}.$$

*In particular, if both  $V$  and  $V \cup \mathbb{R}$  are connected, then  $\text{cap}_{\mathbb{H}}(V) \asymp \text{diam}(V)$ .*

*Proof.* By scaling and translation we may assume that  $y = 1$  and that  $\min\{\operatorname{Re}(z) : z \in V\} = 0$ . Let  $D$  denote the unbounded connected component of  $\mathbb{H} \setminus V$ . As noted above,

$$\operatorname{hcap}(V) \asymp (d+1) \operatorname{hm}_D(2(d+1)i, V). \quad (24)$$

If  $d \geq 4$ , let  $s = d - 1 \geq 3$ . The upper bound follows immediately from (24). For the lower bound, note that there exists  $z \in V$  with  $\operatorname{Re}(z) = s$ . Consider the square  $\{x + iy : 0 \leq x \leq s, 0 \leq y \leq 1\}$ . We know that  $V$  is connected and contains points on both vertical sides,  $[0, i]$  and  $[s, s + i]$ . If a Brownian motion  $B_t$  starting at  $2(d+1)i$  exits  $\mathbb{H}$  at  $(0, 1)$  without hitting either of the vertical sides  $[0, i]$  or  $[s, s + i]$ , then either the curve hits  $V$  or the curve disconnects  $V$ . Since we know that  $V$  is connected, the former must then hold. Let  $\sigma = \inf\{t : \operatorname{Im}(B_t) = 1\}$ ,  $x = \operatorname{Re}(B_\sigma)$ . If  $x \in [1, s - 1]$ , then there is a probability of  $1/4$  that the continuation of the path will exit the square  $[x - 1, x + 1] \times [x - 1 + 2i, x + 1 - 2i]$  at  $[x - 1, x + 1]$ . Therefore,

$$\operatorname{hm}_D(2(d+1)i, V) \geq \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{P}^{2(d+1)i} \{\operatorname{Re}(B_\sigma) \in [1, d - 2]\}.$$

Using the exact form of the Poisson kernel in the upper half plane, we can see that

$$\inf_{d \geq 4} \mathbf{P}^{2(d+1)i} \{\operatorname{Re}(B_\sigma) \in [1, d - 2]\} > 0.$$

If  $d \leq 1/2$ , let  $z = x + i$  be a point in  $V$  with maximal imaginary part and note that  $0 \leq x \leq 1/2$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_r$  denote the closed disk of radius  $r$  centered at  $z$  with boundary  $\partial_r$ . Since  $\operatorname{cap}_{\mathbb{H}}(V) \leq \operatorname{cap}_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathcal{B}_d)$ , the upper bound follows from (23). The connected set  $V$  intersects  $\partial_{d/2}$ . Let  $q > 0$  be the probability that a Brownian motion starting at  $|z| = 1/2$  makes a closed loop about the origin before reaching the unit circle. Suppose that a Brownian motion starting at  $2(d+1)i$  reaches  $\partial_{d/4}$  before leaving  $\mathbb{H}$ . Then there is a probability  $q$ , that it will make a loop about  $z$  before reaching the circle of radius  $d/2$ . If that happens, the curve must hit  $V$ . From this we get the inequality

$$\operatorname{cap}_{\mathbb{H}}(V) \geq q \operatorname{hm}_{\mathbb{D} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{d/4}}(2(d+1)i, \mathcal{B}_{d/4}) \asymp q \operatorname{cap}_{\mathbb{H}}(\mathcal{B}_{d/4}).$$

This and (23) give the lower bound.

If  $1/2 \leq d \leq 4$  we can use the  $d = 1/2$  estimate for a lower bound and the  $d = 4$  estimate for an upper bound. □

The estimate above is useful in studying the boundary behavior of conformal maps. For future reference we state a disk version of the proposition that can be proved in the same way. We will only give the boundary version.

**Proposition 7.5.** *There exist  $0 < c_1 < c_2 < \infty$  such that if  $V \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  is a connected compact set with  $V \cap \partial\mathbb{D} \neq \emptyset$ , then*

$$c_1 \operatorname{diam}(V) \leq \mathbf{P}\{B[0, \tau_{\mathbb{D}}] \cap V \neq \emptyset\} \leq c_2 \operatorname{diam}(V).$$

Roughly speaking, the quantity  $\operatorname{cap}_{\mathbb{H}}(V)$  is the normalized probability that a Brownian motion “starting at infinity” exits  $\mathbb{H} \setminus V$  at  $V$ . It is a version of excursion measure. The quantity  $\operatorname{hcap}(K)$  is a normalized probability that a Brownian motion “starting at infinity and conditioned to leave  $\mathbb{H}$  at infinity” hits  $K$ . This is only nonzero if  $K \subset \mathbb{H}$ , and if  $K$  is very close to the real line it is near zero. It is analogous to what we will call boundary bubbles.

## 7.2 Compact hulls

**Definition** We call a compact  $K \subset \overline{\mathbb{H}}$  a *compact  $\mathbb{H}$ -hull* if

- $K \cap \mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset$ .
- $K \cup \mathbb{R}$  is connected.

For any such  $K$ , let  $D_K$  denote the unbounded component of  $\mathbb{H} \setminus K$  and note that  $D_K$  is simply connected. Let  $x_-(K) = \min\{x : x \in K \cap \mathbb{R}\}$ ,  $x_+(K) = \max\{x : x \in K \cap \mathbb{R}\}$ . We define the *fill* of  $K$  by  $\text{fill}(K) = \overline{\mathbb{H} \setminus D \cup [x_-(K), x_+(K)]}$ . Note that  $\text{fill}(K)$  is a compact, connected set such that  $D_K = \mathbb{H} \setminus \text{fill}(K)$ . If  $K \cap \mathbb{H}$  is not connected,  $\text{fill}(K)$  might not be a compact  $\mathbb{H}$ -hull. Let  $R_K = \sup\{|z| : z \in K\} = \sup\{|z| : z \in \text{fill}(K)\}$  and

$$D^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus [\text{fill}(K) \cup \{\bar{z} : z \in \text{fill}(K)\}].$$

Note that

$$\text{hcap}(K) = \text{hcap}(\text{fill}(K)).$$

Sometimes, in an abuse of notation, we will refer to a bounded, but not closed,  $K \subset \mathbb{H}$  as a compact  $\mathbb{H}$ -hull. In this case the implicit hull is  $\overline{K}$ .

**Proposition 7.6.** *There exists  $c_0 < \infty$  such that the following holds. Suppose that  $K$  is a compact  $\mathbb{H}$ -hull,  $D = D_K$ ,  $R = R_K$ ,  $a = \text{hcap}(K)$ .*

1. *There exists a unique conformal transformation  $g = g_K : D \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  such that*

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} [g(z) - z] = 0.$$

*It extends by Schwarz reflection to a conformal transformation  $g : D^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus [x_1, x_2]$  for some  $-\infty < x_1 < x_- \leq x_+ < x_2 < \infty$ . For  $z \in D$ ,  $\text{Im}g(z)$  is the same as  $v_D(z)$  from Lemma 38.*

2. *The expansion of  $g$  at infinity is*

$$g(z) = z + \frac{a}{z} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} b_j z^{-j}, \quad b_j \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- 3.

$$x_1 = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \pi y \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \mathbf{P}^{iy} \{B_{\tau_D} \in (-\infty, x_-)\} \right],$$

$$x_2 = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \pi y \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \mathbf{P}^{iy} \{B_{\tau_D} \in (x_+, \infty)\} \right].$$

*In particular,  $x_1 \leq x_- \leq x_+ \leq x_2$ .*

4. *If  $r > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} g_{rK}(z) &= r g(z/r), & g'_{rK}(z) &= g'(z/r), \\ g_{K+x}(z) &= x + g_K(z-x). \end{aligned}$$

5. *If  $K \subset K'$ ,  $g_{K'} = g_{g(K')} \circ g$ . In particular,*

$$\text{hcap}(K') = \text{hcap}(K) + \text{hcap}[g(K')]. \tag{25}$$

*Here by  $g(K')$  we mean the hull  $\overline{g(K' \setminus K)}$ .*



6. If  $|z| \geq 2R$ , then

$$|g'(z) - 1| \leq c_0 \frac{a}{|z|^2}.$$

7. If  $|z| \geq 2R$ , then

$$\left| g_K(z) - z - \frac{a}{z} \right| \leq c_0 \frac{aR}{|z|}. \quad (26)$$

*Proof.*

1. The existence of the map was shown in the previous section.

2. Note that as  $y \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$g(iy) = i \left[ y - \frac{b_1}{y} \right] + O(y^{-2}) = iv(iy) + O(y^{-2}).$$

Therefore, using Lemma 8.1 and the definition of  $\text{hcap}$ , we see that

$$b_1 = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} y [y - v(iy)] = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} y \mathbf{E}^{iy} [\text{Im}(B_\tau)] = \text{hcap}(K).$$

3. Using the Poisson kernel in  $\mathbb{H}$ , we see that

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \pi y \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \mathbf{P}^{iy} \{B_{\tau_{\mathbb{H}}} \in [x_+, \infty)\} \right] = x_+.$$

Conformal invariance implies that

$$\mathbf{P}^{iy} \{B_{\tau_D} \in [x_+, \infty)\} = \mathbf{P}^{g(iy)} \{B_{\tau_{\mathbb{H}}} \in [x_2, \infty)\}.$$

We know that  $g(iy) = iy - iay^{-1} + O(y^{-2})$  and derivative estimates for harmonic function show that

$$\mathbf{P}^{g(iy)} \{B_{\tau_{\mathbb{H}}} \in [x_2, \infty)\} = \mathbf{P}^{iy(1-ay^{-1})} \{B_{\tau_{\mathbb{H}}} \in [x_2, \infty)\} + O(y^{-2}).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \pi y \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \mathbf{P}^{iy} \{B_{\tau_D} \in [x_+, \infty)\} \right] &= \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \pi y \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \mathbf{P}^{iy(1-ay^{-1})} \{B_{\tau_{\mathbb{H}}} \in [x_2, \infty)\} + O(y^{-2}) \right] \\ &= \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \pi (y - ay^{-1}) \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \mathbf{P}^{iy(1-ay^{-1})} \{B_{\tau_{\mathbb{H}}} \in [x_2, \infty)\} \right] \\ &= x_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathbf{P}^{iy} \{B_{\tau_D} \in [x_+, \infty)\} \leq \mathbf{P}^{iy} \{B_{\tau_{\mathbb{H}}} \in [x_+, \infty)\}$ , we see that  $x_2 \geq x_+$ . The argument for  $x_1$  is the same.

4. Note that  $\tilde{g}(z) := rg_D(z/r)$  is a conformal transformation of  $\mathbb{H} \setminus (rK)$  onto  $\mathbb{H}$  satisfying  $\tilde{g}(z) = z + o(1)$ ,  $z \rightarrow \infty$ . By uniqueness  $\tilde{g} = g_{rK}$ . We argue similarly for  $\hat{g}(z) = x + g_K(z - x)$ .

5. It is easy to see that  $\tilde{g} := g_{g(K_2)} \circ g_{K_1}$  is a conformal transformation of  $D_{K_2}$  onto  $\mathbb{H}$  satisfying  $\tilde{g}(z) = z + o(1)$ .

6. We first assume that  $R = 1$ . Let  $h(z) = \text{Im}[z - g_D(z)] = \text{Im}(z) - v_D(z)$  which we consider as a harmonic function on  $D^* \supset \{|z| > 1\}$ . Using Lemma 8.2, we see there exists universal  $c$  such that

$$|h(z)| \leq ca |z|^{-1}.$$

If  $|z| \geq 2$ , then  $h(z)$  is a harmonic function defined on the disk of radius  $|z|/2$  bounded by  $caO(|z|^{-1})$ . Hence using Proposition 2.3, we see that

$$|\nabla h(z)| \leq ca |z|^{-2},$$

and hence

$$|1 - g'_D(z)| = \sqrt{[\partial_x v(z)]^2 + [1 - \partial_y v(z)]^2} \leq ca |z|^{-2}.$$

For more general  $R$ ,  $g'_{RD}(z) = g'_D(z/R)$ , and hence for  $|z| \geq 2R$ ,

$$|1 - g'_{RD}(z)| = |1 - g'_D(z/R)| \leq cR^2 a |z|^{-2} = cR^2 \text{hcap}(RK) |z|^{-2}.$$

7. Assume  $R = 1$ , let

$$f(z) = g(z) - z - \frac{a}{z}.$$

and let

$$v_f(z) = \text{Im}f(z) = v(z) - z - \alpha \text{Im}(1/z).$$

Using Proposition 8.2 with  $h(z) = z - v(z)$ , we see that

$$|v_f(z)| \leq c \frac{a \text{Im}(z)}{|z|^3}.$$

Using the fact that  $v_f$  (extended to  $D^*$ ) is a harmonic function on  $\{|w| \leq |z|/2\}$  bounded by  $ca |z|^{-2}$ , we see that

$$|f'(z)| = |\nabla v_f(z)| \leq ca |z|^{-3}.$$

Using  $f(\infty) = 0$ , we see that for  $|z| \geq 2$ ,  $|f(z)| \leq a |z|^{-2}$ .

For more general  $R$ , recall that  $g_{RK}(z) = Rg_K(z/R)$  and hence

$$\begin{aligned} |g_{RK}(z) - z - \text{hcap}(RK)z^{-1}| &= |Rg_K(z/R) - z - R^2az^{-1}| \\ &= R|g_K(z/R) - (z/R) - a(z/R)^{-1}| \\ &\leq cRa |z/R|^{-2} \\ &= cR \text{hcap}(RK) |z|^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

### Examples.

- Let  $K = \overline{\mathbb{D}_+}$ . Then

$$g_K(z) = z + \frac{1}{z}.$$

In particular,  $\text{hcap}(\overline{\mathbb{D}_+}) = 1$ .

- Let  $K$  be the vertical line segment  $[0, i]$ . Then

$$g_K(z) = \sqrt{z^2 + 1} = z + \frac{1}{2z} + \dots$$

To be more precise, note that if  $z \in \mathbb{H} \setminus [0, i]$ , then  $z^2 + 1$  is not on the positive real line. Hence, we can take the branch of the square root with values in the positive half plane. This shows that  $\text{hcap}([0, i]) = 1/2$ .

---

If  $K$  is a compact  $\mathbb{H}$ -hull, then  $\text{heap}(K)$  is the coefficient of  $z^{-1}$  in the expansion of  $g_K$  from infinity. Indeed, that is how some people *define* the quantity. However, this definition does not work for compact  $K$  for which  $K \cup \mathbb{R}$  is not connected.

---

As a slight abuse of notation, we write

$$g_D(x_-) = s \quad g_D(x_+) = t.$$

If  $K$  is disconnected it is possible that  $g_D$  can be extended to a slightly larger domain, but we will not need to consider this extension.

**Lemma 7.7.**

$$-2R \leq g_D(x_-) \leq g_D(x_+) \leq 2R.$$

*Proof.* We do the case  $R = 1$ ; the other cases can be handled by scaling. Recall from Proposition 8.6 that

$$g_D(x_+) = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \pi y \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \mathbf{P}^{iy} \{B_{\tau_D} \in [x_+, \infty)\} \right].$$

The right-hand side is maximized (under the constraint  $R = 1$ ) when  $D = \mathbb{H} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}_+}$  in which case

$$g_D(z) = z + \frac{1}{z}, \quad g_D(1) = 2.$$

□

It follows that  $g_X(x_+) - x_+ \leq 3$ . However, we can get arbitrarily close to 3. If we let  $D$  be the maximizing domain for  $R = 1$ , then we can take

$$D_\epsilon = D \cup \{x + iy : -1 < x \leq 1 : 0 < y < \epsilon(x + 1)\}$$

for which  $x_+ = -1$  and  $g(x_+) \rightarrow 3$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

### 7.3 Boundary behavior

The behavior of conformal transformations near the boundary is a delicate topic. We will consider here the case where  $K$  is a compact  $\mathbb{H}$ -hull contained in the closed unit disk,  $D = \mathbb{H} \setminus K \in \mathcal{J}$ , and  $g = g_K$  is the unique conformal transformation  $g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  with  $g(z) - z \rightarrow 0$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ . We will write  $f$  for the inverse map  $f = g^{-1} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow D$ . The question is whether or not  $f$  extends to a map on the  $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ . If we only assume that  $D$  is the form above, then the situation can be difficult. As a bad example to consider as we go along, let

$$\hat{K} = \left[ -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right] \cup \left[ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2} \right] \cup \left[ -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2} \right] \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \left[ -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2^{2n-1}}, \frac{1}{4} + \frac{i}{2^{2n-1}} \right] \cup \left[ -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{i}{2^{2n}}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2^{2n}} \right] \right),$$

and  $\hat{D} = \mathbb{H} \setminus \hat{K}$ . Fortunately, such bad behavior will not arise if we assume  $K$  is the image of a curve.

**Definition**

- If  $D$  is a domain, then a (*simple*) *crosscut* is a simple curve  $\eta : (0, t_0) \rightarrow D$  with such that the limits  $\eta(0) = \eta(0+), \eta(1) = \eta(1-)$  exist and are on  $\partial D$ . (We allow  $\eta(0) = \eta(1)$ .)

- We say that a simple curve  $\eta : [0, t_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an *accessing curve* for  $D$  if  $\eta(0, t_0) \subset D$  and  $\eta(0) \in \partial D$ . We say that  $\eta$  accesses  $z$  if  $\eta(0) = z$ . The point  $z \in \partial D$  is *accessible* if there exists at least one curve accessing  $\eta$ .

Note that under our definition, crosscuts (or their reversal) are accessing curves for both endpoints. In our pathological example  $\hat{D}$ , the origin is not an accessible point for  $\hat{D}$ . The Beurling estimate implies that following.

**Proposition 7.8.** *There exists  $c < \infty$  such that if  $D = \mathbb{H} \setminus K \in \mathcal{J}$ , and  $\eta$  is a curve accessing  $z \in \partial D$ , then if  $\text{diam}(\eta_t) \leq 1$ ,*

$$\text{diam}[g \circ \eta_t] \leq c \sqrt{\text{diam}(\eta_t)}. \quad (27)$$

Here  $\eta_t = \eta[0, t]$ . In particular, the limit

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} g(\eta(t))$$

exists.

*Proof.* The proof is the same as that of Lemma 8.15. □

What makes the last proposition true is that if a curve in the upper half plane has a large diameter then there is a good chance that it will be hit by a Brownian motion. “Hit by Brownian motion”, that is, harmonic measure, is a conformal invariant. However, we do not get a lower bound on  $\text{diam}[g \circ \eta_t]$  in terms of  $\text{diam}(\eta_t)$ . If  $\partial D$  is very rough, or even it just has some protected “fjords”, it is possible for  $\text{diam}(\eta)$  to be large but the harmonic measure of  $c\eta$  to be small.

**Proposition 7.9.** *Suppose that  $\eta$  is a crosscut of  $D = \mathbb{H} \setminus K \in \mathcal{J}$  whose endpoints are distinct. Then  $g \circ \eta$  is a crosscut of  $\mathbb{H}$  with distinct endpoints.*

*Proof.* The fact that  $g \circ \eta$  is a crosscut follows from the previous proposition. To see that the endpoints are distinct, note that if  $w \in D \setminus \eta$ , then there is a positive probability that a Brownian motion starting at  $w$  hits  $\mathbb{R}$  before hitting  $\eta$  and hence leaves  $D$  before hitting  $\eta$ . By conformal invariance this must hold for the image  $g \circ \eta$ . But if the endpoints of  $g \circ \eta$  were the same, this would not be true for  $w$  in the bounded component of  $\mathbb{H} \setminus (g \circ \eta)$ . □

For each  $z \in \partial D$ , let  $\mathbb{D}_s(z)$  denote the open ball of radius  $e^{-s}$  about  $z$  with boundary  $C_s(z)$ . The set  $C_s(z) \cap D$  is the disjoint union of a finite or countably infinite number of *crosscuts* of  $D$ . The image of each crosscut under  $g$  is a crosscut of  $\mathbb{H}$  and Proposition 8.8 implies that  $g \circ l$  is a crosscut of  $\mathbb{H}$  with  $\text{diam}[g \circ l] \leq c r^{1/2}$  for some universal constant  $c$ . (One needs to be careful here; although the image of each crosscut is small, the images of different crosscuts may not be close to each other so the diameter of the union of the crosscuts can be large.) The last proposition implies that the endpoints of  $g \circ l$  are distinct.

Let us fix  $z$  and assume that  $z$  is accessible. Let  $\mathcal{B}_s = \mathbb{D}_s(z)$  and let  $U_1^s, U_2^s, \dots$  denote the connected components of  $D \setminus C_s$  that contain  $z$  on its boundary. Accessibility implies that there is at least one such component. (In the example  $\hat{D}$  above, there are no such components for  $z = 0$ ; however, this point is not accessible.) Typically there will not be many such components, but it is possible for there to be a countable number. For each of these components  $U_j^s$ , there is a unique crosscut  $l_j^s$  of  $D$  such that  $l_j^s \subset \partial U_j^s$  and the component of  $U_j^s \setminus l_j^s$  containing  $U_j^s$  is a bounded component. (It is useful to draw pictures. The bounded component of  $U_j^s \setminus l_j^s$  need not be contained in  $\mathcal{B}_s$ .) Let us call this bounded component  $V_j^s$ . It can be characterized as follows. Suppose  $\eta$  is a curve as in Proposition 8.8. Then for all  $t$  sufficiently small either  $\eta(0, t) \subset V_j^s$  or  $\eta(0, t) \cap V_j^s = \emptyset$ . For each  $s$  we have an equivalence relations on  $\eta$  with  $\eta^1 \equiv_s \eta^2$  if they end up in the same component  $V_j^s$ . Note that this is monotonic: if  $\eta^1 \equiv_s \eta^s$  then  $\eta^1 \equiv_r \eta^s$  for all  $r < s$ . Hence we can write  $\eta^1 \equiv \eta^2$  if  $\eta^1 \equiv_s \eta^s$  for all  $s$ .

**Definition** The equivalence classes of accessing curves for  $D$  are called the *prime ends*. The prime ends at  $z \in \partial D$  are the equivalence classes of curves that access  $z$ .

We summarize our discussion in a proposition.

**Proposition 7.10.** *A boundary point  $z \in D$  is accessible if and only if there is a prime end at  $z$ . If  $\eta^1, \eta^2$  are two curves accessing  $z$  in  $D$ , then*

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} g(\eta^1(t)) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} g(\eta^2(t)),$$

*if and only if  $\eta^1, \eta^2$  are equivalent as prime ends.*

**Proposition 7.11.** *Suppose  $\gamma : (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is a simple curve with  $\gamma(0+) = x \in \mathbb{H}$ , and let  $\eta(t) = f(\gamma(t))$ . Suppose that*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \text{diam}[\eta(0, \epsilon)] = 0.$$

*Then*

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} f(\gamma(t)) = z$$

*exists and is in  $\partial D$ . The curve  $\eta$  accesses  $z$  in  $D$ . If  $\tilde{\gamma} : (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is another simple curve with  $\tilde{\gamma}(0+) = x \in \mathbb{H}$ , then*

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} f(\tilde{\gamma}(t)) = z.$$

If  $l$  is a crosscut on  $\mathcal{B}_{r,z}$ , then  $D \setminus l$  has two components, one bounded and one unbounded. If  $U$  is the bounded component, then we can see that

$$\text{diam}[g(U)] \leq cr^{1/2}.$$

However, even if  $r$  is very small, it is possible for  $\text{diam}[U]$  to be of order 1. As an example, consider the example  $\hat{D}$  above. Let  $\eta_n$  be the crosscut formed by the vertical line segment from  $2^{-n}i$  to  $2^{-(n_1)}i$ . Then  $\text{diam}(\eta_n) = 2^{-(n+1)}$ . However, the diameter of the bounded component of  $\hat{D} \setminus \eta_n$  is greater than 1 for each  $n$ . In order to prevent this from happening, we can require that  $\mathbb{C} \setminus D$  be locally connected.

**Definition** The set  $V$  is (*uniformly*) *locally connected* if there exists a function  $\epsilon(\delta)$  with  $\epsilon(0+) = 0$  such that if  $z, w \in V$  with  $|z - w| \leq \delta$ , then there exists a closed connected set  $V' \subset V$  containing  $z, w$  of diameter at most  $\epsilon(\delta)$ .

Indeed, suppose we knew that  $\mathbb{H} \setminus D$  were locally connected with function  $\epsilon(\cdot)$ . Let  $\eta$  be a crosscut of  $D$  connecting boundary points  $z, w$  with  $\delta = \text{diam}(\eta)$ , and let  $U$  be the bounded component of  $D \setminus \eta$ . Since  $|z - w| \leq \delta$ , there exists closed  $V \subset \mathbb{H} \setminus D$  containing  $z, w$  with  $\text{diam}(V) \leq \epsilon(\delta)$ . Note that  $U$  is contained in a bounded component of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\eta \cup V)$ , and hence

$$\text{diam}(U) \leq \text{diam}(V \cup \eta) \leq \delta + \epsilon(\delta).$$

The next topological lemma shows that the domains that we will be studying have locally connected complements.

**Lemma 7.12.** *If  $\gamma = \gamma[0, 1]$  is the image of a curve with  $\gamma(0) = 0$ , then  $\gamma$  and  $\mathbb{H} \cup \text{fill}[\gamma]$  are locally connected.*

*Proof.* Let  $z \in \gamma$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . let  $T = \gamma^{-1}(z)$  which is a nonempty compact subset of  $[0, 1]$ . For each  $t \in T$ , there exists an open interval  $I_t$  containing  $t$  such that  $|\gamma(s) - z| < \epsilon/4$  for  $s \in I_t$ . By compactness, we can find  $I_{t_1}, \dots, I_{t_n}$  such that  $I := I_{t_1} \cup \dots \cup I_{t_n}$ , covers  $T$ . Let  $2\delta = \min\{|\gamma(s) - z| : s \in [0, 1] \setminus I\} > 0$ . If  $w \in \gamma$  with  $|w - z| < 2\delta$ , then  $w = \gamma(s)$  for some  $s \in I_{t_j}$ . Then  $\gamma(I_{t_j})$  is a connected subset of  $\gamma$  containing  $w, z$  that has diameter at most  $\epsilon/2$ . Hence, for every  $z \in \gamma$ , there exists  $\delta_z > 0$  such that if  $|w - z| < \delta_z$ , then for every  $w'$  with  $|w' - w| < \delta_z$ , we can find a connected subset of  $\gamma$  (in fact, the union of two subpaths each going through  $z$ ) of diameter at most  $\epsilon$ . Using compactness of  $\gamma$ , we can find  $z_1, \dots, z_m$  such that the open disks of radius  $\delta_{z_j}$  cover  $\gamma$ . Let  $\delta = \min \delta_{z_j}$ . Then if  $w, w' \in \gamma$  with  $|w - w'| < \delta$ , we find  $z_j$  with

$|w - z_j| < \delta_j$ . Since  $|w - w'| < \delta \leq \delta_j$ , we can find a connected subset of  $\gamma$  including  $w, w'$  of diameter at most  $\epsilon$ . Note that we made no assumptions about double points for the curve. Suppose  $\text{diam}\gamma \leq R$ . Then  $[-2R, 2R] \cup \gamma$  is the image of a curve (start at  $-2R$  go to  $2R$  come back to 0 and then proceed along  $\gamma$ ) and so  $\text{diam}\gamma \cup [-2R, 2R]$  is locally connected. With this, showing that  $\text{diam}\gamma \cup \mathbb{H}$  is locally connected is easy.

Finally, suppose  $w, w' \in \mathbb{H} \cup \text{fill}[\gamma]$  with  $|w - w'| < \delta$ . If  $\text{dist}(w, \gamma \cap \mathbb{H}) \geq \delta$  or  $\text{dist}(w', \gamma \cap \mathbb{H}) \geq \delta$ , then we can connect  $w, w'$  by the straight line segment of length  $|w - w'|$ . Otherwise, we connect  $w, w'$  to  $z, z'$  in  $\gamma \cap \mathbb{H}$  with line segments length less than  $\delta$ . Therefore  $|z - z'| < 3\delta$  and we can find a connected subset of  $\gamma \cap \mathbb{H}$  of diameter at most  $\epsilon(3\delta)$  containing  $z, z'$ . The union of this subset and the two line segments is a connected subset of diameter at most  $2\delta + \epsilon(3\delta)$  connecting  $w$  and  $w'$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** *Suppose  $D = \mathbb{H} \setminus K \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is a conformal transformation with  $g(\infty) = \infty$ . Suppose that  $\mathbb{C} \setminus D$  is locally connected. Then  $g^{-1}$  can be extended to a continuous function from  $\mathbb{H}$  to  $\overline{D}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon(\delta)$  be the function as in the definition for  $V = \mathbb{C} \setminus D$ . Note that if  $\eta$  is a crosscut of  $D$ , then the bounded component of  $D \setminus \eta$  must have diameter at most  $\epsilon(\text{diam}(\eta))$ . Let  $f = g^{-1}$ .

Let  $l_{r,x}$  denote the crosscut in  $\mathbb{H}$  given by the half-circle  $l_{r,x}(t) = x + re^{it}, 0 \leq t \leq \pi$ . We claim there exists  $c < \infty$  such that for every  $x$  and every  $\rho < 1$  there exists  $r = r(x, \rho)$  with  $\rho \leq r \leq \sqrt{\rho}$  such that

$$\text{diam}(f \circ l_{r,x}) \leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{\log(1/\rho)}}.$$

To see this, we first note that there exists  $c_0 < \infty$  such that for all  $x$ ,  $\text{area}[f(\{z \in \mathbb{H} : |z - x| \leq 1\})] \leq c_0$ . Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\rho,x} = \{z \in \mathbb{H} : \rho \leq |z - x| \leq \sqrt{\rho}\}$ . With aid of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 \geq \text{area}[f(\Gamma)] &= \int_{\Gamma} |f'(z)|^2 dA(z) \\ &= \int_{\rho}^{\sqrt{\rho}} \left[ \int_0^{\pi} |f'(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \right] r dr \\ &\geq \int_{\rho}^{\sqrt{\rho}} \left[ \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \int_0^{\pi} |f'(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \right)^2 \right] r dr \\ &\geq \int_{\rho}^{\sqrt{\rho}} \left[ \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \int_0^{\pi} r |f'(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \right)^2 \right] r^{-1} dr \\ &\geq \frac{\log(1/\rho)}{2\pi} \inf_{\rho \leq r \leq \sqrt{\rho}} \left[ \int_0^{\pi} r |f'(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \right]^2 \\ &\geq \frac{\log(1/\rho)}{2\pi} \inf_{\rho \leq r \leq \sqrt{\rho}} [\text{diam}(f \circ l_{r,x})]^2. \end{aligned}$$

This establishes the claim. This estimate was valid for all  $f$  (even if  $\mathbb{C} \setminus D$  is not locally connected). If  $|z - x| < r$ , then  $f(z)$  is in the bounded component of  $f \circ l_{r,x}$ . However, in our case we can conclude that diameter of this component is bounded above by

$$\epsilon \left( \frac{c}{\sqrt{\log(1/\rho)}} \right).$$

Therefore, for  $z, w$  in the bounded component of  $\mathbb{H} \setminus l_{\rho,x}$ ,

$$|f(z) - f(w)| \leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{\log(1/\rho)}} + \epsilon \left( \frac{c}{\sqrt{\log(1/\rho)}} \right),$$

which goes to zero as  $\rho$  goes to zero.  $\square$

We have restricted our consideration to domains in  $\mathcal{J}$ , but the argument for the last theorem is all local. Using the same argument we can get this more traditional statement of the theorem.

**Theorem 7.** *Suppose  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow D$  is a conformal transformation where  $D$  is a bounded domain with  $\mathbb{C} \setminus D$  locally connected. Then  $f$  extends to a continuous function on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ .*

**Corollary 7.13.** *Suppose that  $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{H}}$  and  $H_t$  is the unbounded component of  $\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma_t$ . Then the inverse map  $g_t^{-1} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow D$  can be extended continuously to  $\partial\mathbb{H}$ . Moreover, all points of  $\partial H_t$  are accessible.*

### Definition

- A curve  $\gamma : [0, t_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called a Jordan curve, if  $\gamma(0) = \gamma(t_0)$  and  $\gamma(s) \neq \gamma(t)$  for  $0 \leq s < t < t_0$ .
- A *Jordan domain* is a bounded domain  $D$  whose boundary is a Jordan curve.

The Jordan curve theorem which we will not prove here states that if  $\gamma$  is a Jordan curve, then  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \gamma$  consists of two connected components. The bounded component is a Jordan domain.

If  $f$  in Theorem 7 is one-to-one on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , then  $t \mapsto f(e^{it})$  gives a parameterization of  $\partial D$  as a Jordan curve. In this case  $f$  is a homeomorphism of  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  onto  $\overline{D}$ . (Continuity of  $f^{-1} = g$  follows from the Beurling estimate as in Proposition 8.8.) Conversely, if we know that  $D$  is a Jordan domain, we can use Proposition 8.8 to see that  $f$  must be one-to-one on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ . We end with a topological fact about domains generated by curves.

**Proposition 7.14.** *Suppose  $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{H}}$  is a curve with  $\gamma(0) = 0$ . Let  $H_t$  denote the unbounded component of  $\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma_t$ , and*

$$H_{t-} = \bigcap_{s < t} H_s.$$

*If  $\gamma(t) \notin H_{t-}$ , then there is a single prime end of  $H_t$  associated to  $\gamma(t)$ .*

*Proof.* We know that  $\gamma(t)$  is an accessible point and hence there exists simple  $\eta : (0, 1) \rightarrow H_t$  with  $\eta(0+) = \eta(1-) = \gamma(t)$ . Since  $\gamma(t) \notin H_{t-}$ , we see that  $\eta \subset H_s$  for all  $s < t$ . Let  $V$  be the bounded component of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \eta$ . Since  $H_s$  is simply connected for  $s < t$ , we see that  $\gamma_s \cap V = \emptyset$  for  $s < t$  and hence  $\gamma_t \cap V = \emptyset$ . Since  $V$  is connected we see that either  $V \subset H_t$  or  $V_t \cap H_t = \emptyset$ . If  $V \cap H_t = \emptyset$ , then since  $H_t$  is open,  $\overline{V} \cap H_t = \emptyset$ . In particular  $\eta \cap H_t = \emptyset$  which is a contradiction. Therefore, we know that  $V \subset H_t$ .

Since  $V \subset H_t$ , if  $\zeta \in V$ , a Brownian motion starting at  $\zeta$  cannot reach  $\partial H_t$  without hitting  $\eta$ . This must also be true for  $g(\zeta)$  and  $g \circ \eta$  which implies that  $g_t(\eta(0+)) = g_t(\eta(1-))$ . Hence both endpoints give the same prime end. □

## 7.4 Curves

In this section, we let  $\gamma : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a simple curve with  $\gamma(0+) = 0$ . For each  $t$ , let  $\gamma_t = \gamma[0, t]$  which is a compact  $\mathbb{H}$ -hull with  $D_t = \mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma_t$  simply connected. Let  $g_t = g_{\gamma_t}$  be the corresponding map which has an expansion at infinity

$$g_t(z) = z + \frac{a_t}{z} + O(|z|^{-2}).$$

This expression defines  $a_t$ ; in fact, as we have seen  $a_t = \text{hcap}[\gamma_t]$ . By (25), we see that  $a_t$  is strictly increasing in  $t$ . We will make the further assumption that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} a_t = \infty.$$

This requires  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} |\gamma(t)| = 1$ , although this last condition is not quite sufficient. Let  $\tau_t = \tau_{D_t}$ . The next proposition will show that  $a_t$  is a continuous function of  $t$ . It uses the Beurling estimate.

**Lemma 7.15.** *There exists  $c < \infty$  such that for every  $\gamma$ , if  $s < t$ ,*

$$\text{diam}(g_s[\gamma_t \setminus \gamma_s]) \leq c \sqrt{\text{diam}(\gamma_t)} \sqrt{\text{diam}(\gamma[s, t])}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $V = V_{s,t} = g_s[\gamma_t \setminus \gamma_s]$ ,  $u = \text{diam}[\gamma_t]$ ,  $r = \text{diam}(\gamma[s, t]) \leq u$ . By Lemma 8.4,  $\text{cap}_{\mathbb{H}}(V) \asymp \text{diam}(V)$ . By definition,

$$\text{cap}_{\mathbb{H}}(V) = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} y \mathbf{P}^{iy} \{B_{\tau_{\mathbb{H} \setminus V}} \in V\}.$$

Using the expansion of  $g_s$  at infinity and conformal invariance and the expansion  $g_s(iy) = i[y - \text{hcap}(\gamma_s)y^{-1}] + O(y^{-2})$ , we see that

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} y \mathbf{P}^{iy} \{B_{\tau_t} \in \gamma[s, t]\} = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} y \mathbf{P}^{g_s(iy)} \{B_{\tau_{\mathbb{H} \setminus V}} \in V\} = \mathbf{P}^{iy} \{B_{\tau_{\mathbb{H} \setminus V}} \in V\} = \text{cap}_{\mathbb{H}}(V).$$

We will now estimate  $\mathbf{P}^{iy} \{B_{\tau_t} \in \gamma[s, t]\}$  for large  $y$ . In order for  $B_{\tau_t} \in \gamma[s, t]$ , it is necessary for the Brownian motion starting at  $iy$  to reach the disk of radius  $2u$  about the origin without leaving  $\mathbb{R}$ . The probability of this is  $O(u/y)$ . Given this, the Brownian motion must reach the disk of radius  $r$  about  $\gamma(s)$  without leaving  $D_t$ . By the Beurling estimate, this probability is bounded by a constant times  $\sqrt{r/u}$ . Therefore

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} y \mathbf{P}^{iy} \{\tau_t < \tau_s\} \leq c \sqrt{ru}.$$

□

It follows that we have an estimate

$$a_t - a_s \leq c \text{diam}(\gamma_t) \text{diam}(\gamma[s, t]).$$

In particular,  $a_t$  is a continuous function of  $t$  and we can reparametrize the curve so that  $\text{hcap}[\gamma_t] = 2t$ .

**Definition** The curve  $\gamma$  has the (*standard*) *capacity parametrization* if  $\text{hcap}[\gamma_t] = 2t$  for all  $t$ .

The choice of the constant 2 is somewhat arbitrary although we will see reasons later why this is a natural choice. More generally, we will say that  $\gamma$  is parametrized by capacity with rate  $a$  if  $\text{hcap}[\gamma_t] = at$ . For now assume that we have the standard capacity parametrization so that

$$g_t(z) = z + \frac{2t}{z} + O(|z|^{-2}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty,$$

There is only one “prime end” (see the next subsection for definitions) associated to the tip  $\gamma(t)$ , that is, if  $z_n \in D_t$  with  $z_n \rightarrow \gamma(t)$ , then the limit

$$g(\gamma(t)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(z_n)$$

exists and the limit is independent of the sequence. We will denote the limit by  $U_t$ .

**Theorem 8** (Half plane Loewner differential equation). *Suppose  $\gamma$  is a simple curve as above parameterized so that  $\text{hcap}[\gamma_t] = 2t$ . Then every  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  the flow  $t \mapsto g_t(z)$  satisfies*

$$\partial_t g_t(z) = \frac{2}{g_t(z) - U_t}, \quad 0 \leq t < T_z,$$

where  $U_t = g_t(\gamma(t))$ ,  $T_z = \inf\{s : \gamma(s) = z\}$ . Moreover, the function  $t \mapsto U_t$  is continuous.

Proposition 8.14 shows that there is one prime end of  $\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma_t$  at  $\gamma(t)$  and hence  $g_t(\gamma(t))$  is well defined. Our estimate will focus on the right time derivative. In order to convert the result to a usual derivative we will use this easy lemma.



**Lemma 7.16.** *Suppose  $u : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a continuous function whose right derivative*

$$u'_+(t) = \lim_{s \downarrow 0} \frac{u(s+t) - u(t)}{s},$$

*exists for all  $t$ . Suppose also that  $t \mapsto u'_+(t)$  is continuous. Then  $f$  is differentiable with  $u'(t) = u'_+(t)$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the result when  $u(0) = 0, u'_+ \equiv 0$  for then (using continuity of  $u'_+$ ) we can consider

$$f(t) = u(t) - u(0) - \int_0^t u_+(s) ds.$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $\sigma = \sigma_\epsilon = \inf\{t : |u(t)| > \epsilon t\}$ . Since  $u'_+(0) = 0$ , we can see that  $\sigma > 0$ . Suppose  $\sigma < \infty$ . By continuity of  $u$ , we can see that  $|u(\sigma)| = \epsilon \sigma$ . However, since  $u'_+(\sigma) = 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|u(\sigma + s) - u(\sigma)| < \epsilon s$  for  $0 \leq s < \delta$ . This implies that  $|u(\sigma + s)| \leq \epsilon(\sigma + s)$  for  $0 < s < \delta$  which contradicts the definition of  $\sigma$ . Therefore  $\sigma = \infty$ . Since this is true for every  $\epsilon$ ,  $u \equiv 0$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 8.* Using Lemma 8.7, we can see that  $\text{diam}[g_t(\gamma_t)] \leq 4 \text{diam}[\gamma_t]$  and hence  $|U_t - U_0| \leq 4 \text{diam}(\gamma_t)$ . More generally, if  $s < t$ ,

$$|U_t - U_s| \leq 4 \text{diam}[g_s(\gamma_t \setminus \gamma_s)].$$

Combining this with Lemma 8.15, we see that  $t \mapsto U_t$  is continuous. Similarly, we see that for fixed  $x$ ,  $g_t(z)$  is continuous in  $t$ . Therefore, by Lemma 8.16, it suffices to establish the result for the right derivative. But this follows from (26).  $\square$

## 7.5 Loewner differential equation

In the last section we started with a curve  $\gamma$  in the upper half plane which corresponded to a parametrized family of conformal maps. We then showed that the conformal maps satisfy a particular differential equation, In the next proposition, we start with a continuous function  $t \mapsto U_t$  and find the appropriate maps. It will be useful to adopt the notation that dots refer to  $t$ -derivatives and primes refer to  $z$ -derivatives.

**Theorem 9.** *Suppose that  $t \mapsto U_t$  is a continuous real valued function. For each  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , let  $g_t(z)$  denote the solution to the initial value problem*

$$\dot{g}_t(z) = \frac{2}{g_t(z) - U_t}, \quad g_0(z) = z. \quad (28)$$

- For each  $z$ , the solution exists up to time  $T_z \in (0, \infty]$  defined to be the smallest  $t$  such that

$$\inf\{s < t : |g_s(z) - U_s|\} = 0.$$

- If  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ , then  $\text{Im}[g_t(z)]$  decreases with  $t$  and if  $t < T_z$ ,  $\text{Im}[g_t(z)] > 0$ .
- For all  $z$ ,  $T_z = T_{\bar{z}}$  and if  $t < T_z$ ,  $g_t(\bar{z}) = \overline{g_t(z)}$ .
- Let  $H_t = \{z \in \mathbb{H} : T_z > t\}$ . Then  $g_t$  is the unique conformal transformation of  $H_t$  onto  $\mathbb{H}$  satisfying  $g_t(z) - z \rightarrow 0$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover  $g_t$  has the expansion

$$g_t(z) = z + \frac{2t}{z} + O(|z|^{-2}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty. \quad (29)$$

*Proof.* We write  $g_t(z) = u_t(z) + iv_t(z)$  and note that (28) can be written as

$$\dot{u}_t(z) = \frac{2[u_t(z) - U_t]}{|g_t(z) - U_t|^2}, \quad \dot{v}_t(z) = -\frac{2v_t(z)}{|g_t(z) - U_t|^2}.$$

In particular,  $|v_t(z)|$  decreases with  $t$ . Since  $|g_t(z) - U_t|^2 \geq v_t(z)^2$ , these equations have solutions up to time  $T_z$  and we can write

$$g_t(z) = \int_0^t \frac{2 ds}{g_s(z) - U_s},$$

Differentiating with respect to  $z$  gives

$$\dot{g}'_t(z) = -\frac{2g'_t(z)}{(g_t(z) - U_t)^2}, \quad g'_t(z) = \exp\left\{-\int_0^t \frac{2 ds}{(g_s(z) - U_s)^2}\right\}.$$

This shows that  $g_t$  is holomorphic on  $\{T_z > t\}$  and since

$$\partial_t [g_t(z) - g_t(w)] = \frac{2[g_t(w) - g_t(z)]}{[g_t(z) - U_t][g_t(w) - U_t]},$$

we get

$$g_t(z) - g_t(w) = (w - z) \exp\left\{\int_0^t \frac{2 ds}{[g_s(z) - U_s][g_s(w) - U_s]}\right\},$$

from which we can deduce that  $g_t$  is one-to-one on  $\{T_z > t\}$ . If  $z \in H_t$  and we define  $\tilde{g}_t(\bar{z}) = \overline{g_t(z)}$ , it is immediate that  $\tilde{g}_t$  satisfies Loewner and hence  $\tilde{g}_t(\bar{z}) = g_t(\bar{z})$ .

To show that  $g_t(H_t) = \mathbb{H}$  we “reverse the flow”. For fixed  $t_0$  and  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ , consider the differential equation

$$\dot{h}_t(z) = -\frac{2}{h_t(z) - V_t}, \quad h_z(0) = z.$$

where  $V_t = U_{t_0-t}$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq t_0$ . Note that  $\text{Im}[h_t(z)]$  increases with  $t$  so this solution exists for all times  $t$  with

$$h_t(z) = z - \int_0^t \frac{2}{h_s(z) - V_s} ds.$$

Also  $\phi_t(z) := h_{t_0-t}(z)$  satisfies

$$\dot{\phi}_t(z) = \frac{2}{h_{t_0-t}(z) - V_{t_0-t}} = \frac{2}{\phi_t(z) - U_t},$$

with  $\phi_0(z) = h_{t_0}(z)$ ,  $\phi_{t_0}(z) = z$ . In other words,  $g_{t_0}(h_{t_0}(z)) = z$ .

To get the expansion at infinity note that

$$\dot{g}_t(z) = \frac{2}{g_t(z)} [1 + O(|z|^{-1})],$$

where the  $O(\cdot)$  term depends on  $U_s$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq t$ . If we fix  $t$  and let  $z \rightarrow \infty$  we get (29). □

In the proof there was another construction which turns out to be useful, the *reverse Loewner equation*

$$\dot{h}_t(z) = -\frac{2}{h_t(z) - V_t}, \quad h_z(0) = z. \tag{30}$$

**Theorem 10** (Reverse Loewner flow). *Suppose  $t \mapsto V_t$  is a continuous function and  $h_t$  is the solution to (30). Then if  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ , the solution exists for all times  $t$ . Moreover, for each  $t$ ,  $h_t$  is a conformal transformation  $h_t : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow h_t(\mathbb{H})$  where  $h_t(\mathbb{H}) \subset \mathbb{H}$  with  $\mathbb{H} \setminus h_t(\mathbb{H})$  bounded. It satisfies*

$$h_t(z) = z - \frac{2t}{z} + O(|z|^2), \quad z \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover, if  $t_0 < \infty$ ,  $U_t = V_{t_0} - t$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq t_0$ , and  $g_t$  is the solution to (28), then  $h_{t_0} = g_{t_0}^{-1}$ .

The proof of this is essentially in the last proof. We remark that if  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the solution of (30) exists up to some time  $T_x$  but it is possible (and usually true) that  $T_x < \infty$ . Note that we have found a way to get  $g_{t_0}^{-1}$  using the reverse flow. However,  $t_0$  was used in the definition of  $V_t$  and  $h_t$  for other values of  $t$  does not equal  $g_t^{-1}$ .

There is another way to get the inverse function of  $g_t$  which we now demonstrate. If we let  $f_t = g_t^{-1}$  and use the chain rule to differentiate the equation

$$f_t(g_t(z)) = z$$

with respect to  $t$ , we get

$$\dot{f}_t(g_t(z)) + f'_t(g_t(z)) \dot{g}_t(z) = 0.$$

Here we are writing dots for  $t$ -derivatives and primes for  $z$ -derivatives. If  $g_t$  satisfies (28) and we write  $w = g_t(z)$ , then we get

$$\dot{f}_t(w) = -f'_t(w) \dot{g}_t(z) = -f'_t(w) \frac{2}{w - U_t}, \quad f_0(w) = w. \quad (31)$$

We call this the *inverse Loewner equation*. At each time  $t$ ,  $f_t$  is a conformal transformation of  $\mathbb{H}$  onto a domain  $f_t(\mathbb{H})$ .

For future use, we prove the following proposition.

**Lemma 7.17.** *There exists  $c < \infty$  such that if  $f$  is the solution to the inverse Loewner equation, then for all  $t$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-10s/y^2} y^{-2} |f'_t(w)| &\leq |f'_{t+s}(w)| \leq e^{10s/y^2} y^{-2} |f'_t(w)|, \\ |f_{s+t}(w) - f_t(w)| &\leq \frac{\operatorname{Im}(w) [e^{10s/y^2} - 1]}{5} [|f'_{s+t}(w)| \wedge |f'_t(w)|]. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let us write  $w = x + iy$ . By differentiating both sides of (31), we see that

$$\dot{f}'_t(w) = f'_t(w) \frac{2}{(w - U_t)^2} - f''_t(w) \frac{2}{w - U_t}.$$

The Bieberbach estimate on the second coefficient of schlicht functions, shows that if  $h$  is a univalent function on  $\mathbb{D}$ , then  $|h''(0)| \leq 4|h'(0)|$ . Applied to the disk of radius  $y$  about  $w$ , we see that  $|f''(w)| \leq 4y^{-1}|f'(w)|$ , and hence

$$|\partial_t \log |f'_t(w)|| \leq 10y^{-2}, \quad e^{-10s/y^2} |f'_t(w)| \leq |f'_{t+s}(w)| \leq e^{10s/y^2} |f'_t(w)|.$$

$$|\dot{f}_{t+s}(w)| \leq \frac{2|f'_{t+s}(w)|}{|U_{t+s} - w|} \leq 2e^{10s/y^2} y^{-1} |f'_t(w)|$$

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{t+s}(w) - f_t(w)| &\leq \int_0^s |\dot{f}_{t+r}(w)| dr \\ &\leq 2 (|f'_t(w)| \wedge |f'_{t+s}(w)|) y^{-1} \int_0^s e^{10r/y^2} dr \\ &= (|f'_t(w)| \wedge |f'_{t+s}(w)|) \frac{y [e^{10s/y^2} - 1]}{5}. \end{aligned}$$

□

## 7.6 Loewner chains generated by a curve

**Definition** Suppose  $U_t, 0 \leq t \leq T$  is a continuous real valued function.

- The collection of conformal maps  $g_t$  obtained from (28) is called a *Loewner chain*.
- The function  $U_t$  is called the *driving function* for the chain.
- We will say that  $t$  is an *accessible time* for the driving function  $U$  if the limit

$$\gamma(t) = \lim_{y \downarrow 0} g_t^{-1}(U_t + iy). \quad (32)$$

exists. We say that a driving function is (*everywhere*) *accessible* if all times are accessible.

- We say that  $g_t$  is *generated by a curve* or  $U_t$  *generates a curve* if  $U_t$  is everywhere accessible and  $\gamma(t), 0 \leq t \leq T$  is a continuous function of  $t$ .
- If  $t$  is an accessible time, then  $t$  is a *pioneer time* if  $\gamma(t) \in H_s$  for all  $s < t$ . We will call  $\gamma$  a *pioneer curve* if all times are pioneer points. This is equivalent to saying that  $\gamma$  is simple and  $\gamma(0, T] \subset \mathbb{H}$ .

The term ‘‘pioneer curve’’ is not standard but we do not want to have to say the phrase ‘‘ $\gamma$  is simple and  $\gamma(0, T] \subset \mathbb{H}$ .’’

Recall that (32) holds if and only if there exists some simple curve  $\eta : (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  with  $\eta(0+) = U_t$  such that

$$\gamma(t) = \lim_{s \downarrow 0} g_t^{-1}(\eta(s)).$$

It is not true that (32) holds for all  $t$  for all continuous functions  $U_t$ . It is also possible that the limit is not a continuous function of  $t$ . However, we will show that under some regularity assumptions on  $U_t$  it does. What we state will be sufficient conditions but not necessary conditions. A necessary and sufficient condition for a curve to be a pioneer curve is that for each  $s$ ,  $g_s(\gamma(s, T]) \subset \mathbb{H}$ . Indeed, if  $r < s$  and  $\gamma(r) = \gamma(s)$  and  $r < t < s$ , then  $g_t(\gamma(t, T]) \cap \mathbb{H} \neq \emptyset$ ,

**Theorem 11.** *Suppose  $c_0 < 4$  and for all  $s, t$ ,*

$$|U_s - U_t| \leq c_0 |t - s|^{1/2}. \quad (33)$$

*Then the limit in (32) exists for all  $t$ , and  $\gamma$  is a pioneer curve.*

To understand why the condition  $|U_s - U_t| \asymp \sqrt{|t - s|}$  should be critical for the Loewner equation, consider the case  $U_t \equiv 0$  for which  $g_t(z) = \sqrt{z^2 + 2t}$ . This is generated by the vertical curve  $\gamma(t) = \sqrt{2t}i$ . In time  $t$ , the curve moves distance  $O(\sqrt{t})$  from the origin. Now suppose that  $U_t$  is not constant. If  $U_t$  grows slower than  $\sqrt{t}$ , then the horizontal effect will not be enough to bring the curve down to the real line. If  $U_t \gg \sqrt{t}$ , then there may be problems. This is why  $\Delta(r)$  as defined in Proposition 8.18 is a natural quantity for driving functions of the Loewner equation.

It is an easy exercise to show that if  $U_t, 0 \leq t \leq T$  is a continuous function, and we can find  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = T$  such that for each  $j$ ,  $U_t^j := U_{t_j+t}, 0 \leq t \leq t_{j+1} - t_j$  generates a pioneer curve then  $U_t$  generates a pioneer curve. Hence it suffices to assume that (33) holds for all  $s, t$  with  $|t - s|$  sufficiently small. This theorem is not true for all values of  $c_0$ . One can find  $c_0$  and driving function  $U_t$  satisfying (33) for which the limit (32) does not exist for all  $t$ . We will prove the theorem in a series of propositions. The first proposition is stronger than we need for this section; however, the stronger version will be used when we consider the Schramm-Loewner evolution so we prove it now.

**Proposition 7.18.** *There exists  $c < \infty$  such that the following holds. Suppose  $U_s, 0 \leq s \leq 1$ , satisfies (33) for all  $0 \leq s, t \leq 1$ , and let*

$$\Delta(r) = 1 + \max \left\{ \frac{|U_t - U_s|}{\sqrt{t-s}} : 0 \leq s < t \leq 1, t-s \geq r \right\},$$

$$I(y) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \int_0^y |f'_t(U_t + ir)| dr.$$

If  $I(y) < \infty$ , then the limit (32) exists for all  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  and

$$|\gamma(t) - \gamma(s)| \leq c_1 I(\sqrt{t-s}) \Delta(t-s)^4, \quad 0 \leq s < t \leq 1.$$

In particular, if

$$\lim_{r \downarrow 0} I(\sqrt{r}) \Delta(r)^4 = 0,$$

then  $\gamma$  is a curve.

Note that if  $U_t$  satisfies (33), then  $\Delta(r)$  is uniformly bounded. Another important case for use will be when  $U_t$  is a Brownian motion path for which  $\Delta(r) \leq O(\sqrt{\log(1/r)})$  as  $r \downarrow 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\hat{f}_t(z) = f_t(U_t + z)$ . The existence of the limit (32) follows immediately from finiteness of  $I(y)$  with

$$|\gamma(t) - \hat{f}_t(iy)| \leq I(y).$$

The distortion theorem implies that  $|\hat{f}'_t(iy')| \asymp |\hat{f}'_t(iy)|$  for  $y/2 \leq y' \leq 2y$ , and hence

$$I(y) \geq \int_{y/2}^y |\hat{f}'_t(ir)| dr \geq c_2 y |\hat{f}'_t(iy)|.$$

Suppose  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq s + \delta^2 \leq 1 + \delta^2$ . The triangle inequality implies that  $|\gamma(s) - \gamma(t)|$  is bounded above by

$$|\gamma(s) - \hat{f}_s(i\delta)| + |\gamma(t) - \hat{f}_t(i\delta)| + |\hat{f}_s(i\delta) - \hat{f}_t(i\delta)| \leq 2I(\delta) + |\hat{f}_s(i\delta) - \hat{f}_t(i\delta)|$$

Also, if  $\hat{f}(z) = g^{-1}(z) = f(z - U_t)$ ,

$$|\hat{f}_s(i\delta) - \hat{f}_t(i\delta)| \leq |f_s(U_s + i\delta) - f_s(U_t + i\delta)| + |f_s(U_t + i\delta) - f_t(U_t + i\delta)|.$$

Lemma 8.17 shows that  $|f_s(U_t + i\delta) - f_t(U_t + i\delta)| \leq c\delta |f'_t(U_t + i\delta)| \leq cI(\delta)$ . Using the distortion theorem as in (20) and  $|s - t| \leq \delta^2$ , we see that

$$|f_s(U_s + i\delta) - f_s(U_t + i\delta)| \leq c\delta \left[ 1 + \frac{|U_t - U_s|^4}{\delta^4} \right] |f'_s(U_s + i\delta)| \leq c\Delta(\delta^2)^4 I(\delta).$$

□

We will consider the reverse Loewner flow

$$\dot{h}_t(z) = -\frac{2}{h_t(z) - U_t}$$

where  $U_t$  satisfies (33). If  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ , let  $Z_t = Z_t(z) = h_t(z) - U_t$ ,  $X_t = \operatorname{Re}[Z_t]$ ,  $Y_t = \operatorname{Im}[Z_t]$ . Then we can write the equation as

$$\partial_t [X_t + U_t] = -\frac{2X_t}{X_t^2 + Y_t^2}, \quad \partial_t Y_t = \frac{2Y_t}{X_t^2 + Y_t^2}. \quad (34)$$

Note that

$$\partial_t [\log h'_t(z)] = \frac{2}{Z_t^2}, \quad \partial_t [\log |h'_t(z)|] = \frac{2(X_t^2 - Y_t^2)}{|Z_t|^4}, \quad \log |h'_t(z)| = \int_0^t \frac{2(X_s^2 - Y_s^2)}{(X_s^2 + Y_s^2)^2} ds. \quad (35)$$

**Example** If  $U_t = 2b\sqrt{t}$  with  $0 \leq b < 2$ , then the solution of (34) satisfying  $X_0 = 0, Y_0 = 0$  is

$$X_t = -b\sqrt{t}, \quad Y_t = \sqrt{4 - b^2} \sqrt{t}.$$

Hence  $h_\epsilon(0) = z_\epsilon := \sqrt{\epsilon}[-b + i\sqrt{4 - b^2}]$ . Let us write  $h_t = h_{t,\epsilon} \circ h_\epsilon$ , and hence if  $t > \epsilon$ ,

$$|h'_{t,\epsilon}(z_\epsilon)| = \exp \left\{ \int_\epsilon^t \frac{2(X_s^2 - Y_s^2)}{(X_s^2 + Y_s^2)^2} ds \right\} = \exp \left\{ \int_\epsilon^t \frac{2(2b^2 - 4)}{16(s + \epsilon)} ds \right\} = \left( \frac{t}{\epsilon} \right)^{\frac{b^2 - 2}{4}}.$$

Using the reverse Loewner equation and the distortion principle, we can see that  $|h'_\epsilon(\sqrt{\epsilon}i)| \asymp 1$  and  $|h'_{t,\epsilon}(h_\epsilon(\sqrt{\epsilon}i))| \asymp |h'_{t,\epsilon}(z_\epsilon)|$ , and therefore,  $|h'_t(\sqrt{\epsilon}i)| \asymp |h'_{t,\epsilon}(z_\epsilon)|$ . If  $g_t$  is a solution of the Loewner equation (28) with  $U_t = 2b\sqrt{1-t}, 0 \leq t \leq 1$ , then the distribution of  $f_1 := g_1^{-1}$  is the same as that of  $h_1$  above. In particular, using the distortion principle, we can see that

$$|f'_1(iy)| \asymp y^{\frac{2-b^2}{2}}, \quad y \downarrow 0.$$

**Proposition 7.19.** *For each  $c_0 < 4$ , there exists  $\theta < 1$  and  $c < \infty$  such that if  $U_t$  satisfies (33), then for all  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  and all  $y \leq 1$ ,*

$$|f'_t(iy)| \leq cy^{-\theta}, \quad I(y) \leq cy^{1-\theta}, \quad \theta = 1 - \frac{c_0^2}{16}.$$

*Proof.* We write  $c_0 = 2b$ . Consider the equation,

$$\partial_t X_t = -\frac{2(b^2/4)}{X_t} - U_t,$$

under the constraint  $U_t \leq 2b\sqrt{t}$ . To maximize  $|X_t|$  under these constraints, we choose  $U_t$  with constant sign and maximal absolute value. If we choose  $U_t = 2b\sqrt{t}$ , and let  $R_t = X_t + U_t$ , then the solution is  $X_t = -b\sqrt{t}$ . Hence for any  $U_t$  satisfying the condition, we have  $X_t^2 \leq b^2 t$ . If we assume that  $X_0 = 0, Y_0 > 0$ , then by induction, we see that for all  $t$ ,  $Y_t^2 \geq \frac{4-b^2}{b^2} X_t^2$ , and hence  $Y_t^2 \geq (4 - b^2)t$ . The derivative estimate then follows as in (35)  $\square$

**Proposition 7.20.** *Suppose  $U_t$  satisfies (33) with  $c_0 < 4$  and  $U_0 = 0$ , and  $u_t$  satisfies*

$$\partial_t u_t = \frac{2}{u_t - U_t}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq r^2,$$

*with  $u_0 > 0$ . Then  $u_t > 0$  for  $0 \leq t \leq r^2$ .*

*Proof.* If  $U_t, 0 \leq t \leq r^2$  satisfies (33) and  $\tilde{u}_t = r^{-1} u_{r^2 t}(z/r)$ , then

$$\partial_t \tilde{u}_t = \frac{2}{\tilde{u}_t - \tilde{U}_t},$$

where  $\tilde{U}_t = r^{-1} U_{r^2 t}$ . Since,  $\tilde{U}_t$  satisfies (32), it suffices to prove the result for  $r^2 = 1$ .

Let  $\tilde{U}_t = \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} U_s$ , and let  $\tilde{u}_t$  be the corresponding solution. If  $U_t$  satisfies (33) then so does  $\tilde{U}_t$  and clearly  $\tilde{u}_t - \tilde{U}_t \leq u_t - U_t$ . Hence without loss generality we may assume that  $U_t$  is nondecreasing. In order to minimize  $u_t - U_t$  subject to  $U_1 = \beta c_0$ , we need to choose  $U_t$  minimal under the constraints of (32) and monotonicity. Therefore, the minimizer is given by a function

$$U_t = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq 1 - \beta^2 \\ c_0[\beta - \sqrt{1-t}], & 1 - \beta^2 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

for some  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ . Then  $u_{1-\beta^2} = \sqrt{u_0^2 + 1 - \beta^2}$ . If  $X_t = u_t - U_t$ , then

$$\partial_t X_t = \frac{2}{X_t} - \frac{(c_0/2)}{\sqrt{1-t}}, \quad 1 - \beta^2 \leq t \leq 1.$$

So we need to see that solutions to this with  $X_{1-\beta^2} > 0$  satisfy  $X_1 > 0$ . Let  $\phi(t) = X_t/\sqrt{1-t}$  which satisfies

$$\partial_t \phi(t) = \frac{1}{1-t} \left[ \frac{2}{\phi(t)} - \frac{c_0}{2} + \frac{\phi(t)}{2(1-t)} \right] \geq \frac{2 - \frac{c_0}{2}}{1-t}.$$

Since  $c_0 < 4$ ,  $\phi(1-) = \infty$  and we can find  $t$  with  $X_t \geq 2c_0\sqrt{1-t}$ . Hence

$$X_1 \geq X_t - [U_t - U_t] \geq c_0\sqrt{1-t} > 0.$$

□

### 7.6.1 Non-crossing curves

In this section, we assume that  $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{H}}$  is a continuous curve. We allow self-intersections and intersections with the boundary. As before, we write  $\gamma_t = \gamma[0, t]$  and  $H_t$  for the unbounded component of  $\mathbb{H} \setminus H_t$ . Let  $a(t) = \text{hcap}(H_t)$  which is a continuous function of  $t$ . Let  $g_t : H_t \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be the unique conformal transformation with  $g_t(z) - z \rightarrow 0$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ .

- **Assumption 1.** The function  $t \mapsto a(t)$  is strictly increasing with  $a(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

It follows from this assumption that for all  $t$  and all  $\delta$ ,  $\gamma(t, t + \delta] \cap H_t \neq \emptyset$ . This assumption prevents the path from going into the complement of  $H_t$  and reappearing somewhere else. An example of a curve in the upper half plane that does not satisfy Assumption 1 is a Brownian excursion from 0 to  $\infty$ . If  $\gamma$  satisfies Assumption 1, then we can reparametrize  $\gamma$  so that it satisfies  $a(t) = 2t$  for all  $t$ .

We also do not want the curve to jump from one side of a domain to another. This condition is expressed most easily in terms of prime ends. Since  $\mathbb{C} \setminus H_t$  is locally connected, the point  $\gamma(t)$  is accessible from  $H_t$ . (This essentially also follows from the fact that  $\gamma(t, \infty)$  accesses  $\gamma(t)$ ; however, since  $\gamma(t, \infty)$  is not simple and may hit  $\partial H_t$ , we need a little more argument to prove accessibility.) Each prime end of  $H_t$  with endpoint  $\gamma(t)$  is associated to a point on the real line by the map  $g_t$ .

- **Assumption 2.** For each  $t$  there is a prime end of  $H_t$  at  $\gamma(t)$  which we associate to  $U_t \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the following holds. For every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $0 < s < \delta$  and  $\gamma(t+s) \in H_t$ , then  $|U_t - g_t(\gamma(t+s))| < \epsilon$ . (We write this as  $g_t(\gamma(t+)) = U_t$ .) Moreover,  $U_t$  is a continuous function of  $t$ .

**Definition** A function  $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{H}}$  is called a *non-crossing curve* if it satisfies Assumptions 1 and 2.

If in Assumption 2 we had also put the condition that  $\gamma(t, t + \delta) \cap H_t \neq \emptyset$  for all  $\delta$ , then we would have  $a(t)$  is strictly increasing. However, we would need to separately include the condition  $a(t) \rightarrow \infty$ , so we have made Assumption 1 as an assumption.

The following is proved in exactly the same way as Theorem 8. We do emphasize one difference. For a simple curve, the continuity of  $U_t$  was not assumed but rather was proved. For the theorem below, continuity of  $U_t$  is one of the assumptions.

**Theorem 12.** *Suppose  $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{H}}$  is a non-crossing curve as above parameterized so that  $\text{hcap}[\gamma_t] = 2t$ . Then every  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  the flow  $t \mapsto g_t(z)$  satisfies*

$$\partial_t g_t(z) = \frac{2}{g_t(z) - U_t}, \quad 0 \leq t < T_z,$$

where  $U_t = g_t(\gamma(t+))$ ,  $T_z = \inf\{s : \gamma(s) = z\}$ .

The converse is the following.

**Theorem 13.** *Suppose  $U_t$  is a continuous real-valued function of  $t$  and  $g_t$  is the solution to the Loewner equation (28). Suppose there exists a continuous function  $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{H}}$  such that for all  $t$ ,  $H_t$  is the unbounded component of  $\mathbb{H} \setminus \gamma_t$ . Then  $\gamma$  is a non-crossing curve.*

## 8 Multiply connected domains

We will classify finitely connected domains up to conformal equivalence. Suppose  $D \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  is a domain whose complement consists of a finite number of connected components  $\partial_0, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_k$ , each consisting of more than a single point. Let  $\mathcal{O}_k$  denote the set of such domains with  $\partial_0 = \mathbb{H}$ , that is,  $D \subset \mathbb{H}$  of the form

$$D = \mathbb{H} \setminus (\partial_1 \cup \dots \cup \partial_k),$$

where  $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_k$  are disjoint compact sets with  $\text{dist}(\partial_j, \mathbb{R}) > 0$ . It suffices to classify domains in  $\mathcal{O}_k$  since we can map  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \partial_0$  to the upper half plane. We let  $\mathcal{O}^*$  denote the set of such domains such that each  $\partial_j$  is a horizontal line segment,

$$\partial_j = [x_{j,-} + iy_j, x_{j,+} + iy_j].$$

**Theorem 14.** *Every domain  $D \in \mathcal{O}_k$  is conformally equivalent to a domain  $D^* \in \mathcal{O}_k^*$ . Moreover, there exists a unique conformal map  $f : D \rightarrow D^*$  to a domain  $D^* \in \mathcal{O}$  that*

$$f(z) = z + O(|z|^{-1}), \quad |z| \rightarrow \infty.$$

**Remarks.**

- If  $f : D \rightarrow D^* \in \mathcal{O}^*$  is a conformal transformation sending the real line to the real line, then  $f$  can be extended to

$$\mathbb{R} \cup D \cup \{\bar{z} : z \in D\}.$$

by Schwarz reflection. By considering the function

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{f(1/z)},$$

which is holomorphic in a neighborhood of the origin sending a neighborhood of the real line to the real line, we can see that  $f$  has an expansion at infinity,

$$f(z) = b_{-1}z + b_0 + b_1z^{-1} + \dots$$

where  $b_{-1} > 0$  and  $b_j \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $j \geq 0$ . If we set  $\hat{f}(z) = [f(z) - b_0]/b_{-1}$ , then

$$\hat{f}(z) = z + O(|z|^{-1}).$$

- The “dimension” of the set of conformally equivalent domains is  $3k - 2$ . There are  $3k$  choices for the  $\{x_{j,-}, x_{j,+}, y_j\}$  but the equivalence classes are invariant under the map  $z \mapsto rz + z$ .

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be given and suppose  $D^* \in \mathcal{O}^*$  given by  $\{(x_{j,-}, x_{j,+}, y_j)\}$ . Let  $y(D) = \max\{\text{Im}(z) : z \in \partial D\}$ ,  $y(D^*) = \max\{\text{Im}(z) : z \in \partial D^*\} = \max\{y_j\}$ ,  $R = R(D) = \sup\{|z| : z \in \mathbb{H} \setminus D\}$ . Suppose that  $f : D \rightarrow D^*$  is a conformal transformation with  $f(\infty) = \infty$ ,  $f'(\infty) = 1$ . Let us write  $f(z) = u(z) + iv(z)$ . Note that  $v$  is a harmonic function on  $D$  with boundary value 0 on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $y_j$  on  $\partial_j$  and satisfying

$$v(z) = \text{Im}(z) + O(1), \quad \text{Im}(z) \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $h(z) := v(z) - \text{Im}(z)$  is a bounded harmonic function, the function  $v$  is given on  $D$  by

$$v(z) = v_D(z) + \mathbf{E}^z[v(B_{\tau_D})] = \text{Im}(z) + \mathbf{E}^z[h(B_{\tau_D})]$$

where  $v_D$  is the function from Lemma 8.1. Note that

$$|v(z) - \text{Im}(z)| \leq \mathbf{P}^z\{B_{\tau_D} \notin \mathbb{R}\} [y(D) + y(D^*)].$$



Using explicit forms of Poisson kernels, we can see that  $\mathbf{P}^z\{B_{\tau_D} \not\subset \mathbb{R}\} \leq cR/|z|$ , and hence

$$|h(z)| \leq \frac{c}{|z|}.$$

Here, and for the rest of this proof, we allow constants to depend on  $D$ . Using derivative estimates for harmonic function, we see that for  $|z| \geq 2R$ ,

$$|\nabla h(z)| \leq \frac{c}{|z|^2}. \quad (36)$$

We have found the candidate for  $v$  without making any restriction on the target domain  $D^*$ . If  $f = u + iv$  is a holomorphic extension, then we know that it is given for  $y > y(D)$  by

$$u(iy) = - \int_y^\infty \partial_x v(it) dt. \quad (37)$$

The existence of the integral follows from (36) as well as the estimate  $|u(iy)| \leq c/y$ .

We will now give a criterion under which we can find a conjugate harmonic function  $u$  such that  $f = u + iv$  is holomorphic. For each  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , let  $F_j$  be a conformal map

$$F_j : \{|z| > 1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \partial_j$$

and let  $\gamma_r(t) = \gamma_{r,j}(t) = F(e^{r+it})$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$ . For sufficiently small  $r$ , the curve  $\gamma_{r,j}$  separates  $\partial_j$  from the other parts of  $\partial D$ . We only consider such  $r$  here. Let  $\phi_j(z) = v(F_j(z))$  which is a harmonic function on  $\{1 < |z| < e^r\}$ . The condition that we need satisfied is

$$\int_{\gamma_{j,r}} \partial_n v(z) = 0, \quad (38)$$

which is the same as

$$\int_{C_{-r}} \partial_n \phi_j(z) |dz| = 0. \quad (39)$$

Since  $\phi$  is harmonic on  $\{1 < |z| < e^r\}$ , Proposition 3.3 shows that

$$M_r = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \phi_j(e^{r+i\theta}) d\theta,$$

is a linear function of  $r$  and (39) holds if and only if  $M_r$  is constant. Note that if  $O_r = \{1 < |z| < e^r\}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{O_r}^\#(C_0, dw)$  denotes the probability measure on  $C_r$ ,

$$\mathcal{E}_{O_r}^\#(C_0, \cdot) = \frac{\mathcal{E}_{O_r}(C_0, \cdot)}{\mathcal{E}_{O_r}(C_0, C_r)},$$

then

$$M_r = \int_{C_r} \phi_j(w) \mathcal{E}_{O_r}^\#(C_0, dw).$$

Using conformal invariance, we see that this translates to the condition

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{E}_D(\partial_j, \gamma_{r,j})} \int_{\gamma_{r,j}} v(w) d\mathcal{E}_D(\partial_j, dw) = v(\partial_j) = y_j.$$

Using Proposition 3.3, we can see that if  $\gamma$  is any simple curve  $\gamma$  that wraps around  $\partial_j$  but no other part of the boundary,

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{E}_D(\partial_j, \gamma)} \int_\gamma v(w) d\mathcal{E}_D(\partial_j, dw) = y_j. \quad (40)$$

In fact, if this holds for one such  $\gamma$ , then it holds for all such  $\gamma$ . This is the necessary and sufficient condition so that  $u$  as defined in (37) gives a holomorphic function.

This condition can be expressed in terms of a process that is called *excursion reflected Brownian motion*. This is a process  $X_t$  whose state space is  $D \cup \{\partial_0, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_k\}$ . In other words, we identify the ‘‘holes’’  $\partial_j$  into single points. To describe the process we give the properties.

- When the process reaches  $\partial_0$  the process stops.
- When the process is in  $D$  it acts like usual Brownian motion.
- Suppose  $j \geq 1$  and  $\gamma$  is a curve as above that separates  $\partial_j$  from the rest of the boundary. Let  $T = \inf\{t : B_t = \partial_j\}$  and  $S = \inf\{t \geq T : B_t \in \gamma\}$ . Then for any  $z$ , the conditional distribution on  $B_S$  given  $T < \infty$  is that of normalized excursion measure  $\mathcal{E}_C^\#(\partial_j, \cdot)$ .

It is not difficult to define Brownian motion ‘‘excursion reflected’’ off of the unit circle. Roughly speaking, everytime the process hits the boundary it chooses an angle randomly. (Since the number of visits to the boundary is uncountable, one needs to take a little care here, but it is not a problem.) For other domains, we can use conformal invariance to define the process near holes, and away from holes it acts like Brownian motion. The equation (40) can be viewed as a mean value property for the function  $v$  with respect to excursion reflected Brownian motion, that is, the required condition on  $v$  is that  $v$  is excursion reflected harmonic.

We now ask: can we find  $y_j$  so that  $v$  is excursion reflected harmonic? Let us view the excursion reflected Brownian motion at the times it visits the boundary points  $\{\partial_0, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_k\}$ . This gives a discrete time, discrete space Markov chain  $Y_n$  with absorbing state  $\partial_0$ . The transition probabilities  $q(j, l)$  are given by

$$\mathbf{P}\{Y_{n+1} = l \mid Y_n = j\} = \frac{\mathcal{E}_D(\partial_j, \partial_l)}{\mathcal{E}_D(\partial_j, \partial D \setminus \partial_j)}.$$

Let  $N$  be sufficiently large so that  $\mathbb{H} \cap \{\text{Im}(w) \geq N\} \subset D$ . Let  $X_t$  be the excursion reflected Brownian motion starting at  $\partial_j$ , let  $A_j = \{\partial_0, \dots, \partial_k\} \setminus \{\partial_j\}$ , and let

$$T_N = T_{N,j} = \inf\{t : \text{Im}(X_t) = N \text{ or } X_t \in A_j\}.$$

If  $v$  is excursion reflected harmonic, then

$$y_j = \mathbf{E}[v(X_{T_N})] = \mathbf{P}\{\text{Im}(X_T) = N\} \mathbf{E}[v(X_T) \mid \text{Im}(X_T) = N] + \sum_{l \neq j} \mathbf{P}\{X_T = \partial_l\} y_l.$$

Note that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{l \neq j} \mathbf{P}\{X_T = \partial_l\} y_l = \sum_{l \neq j} q(j, l) y_l.$$

Since  $v(z) = \text{Im}(z) + O(1)$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}\{\text{Im}(X_T) = N\} \mathbf{E}[v(X_T) \mid \text{Im}(X_T) = N] = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N \mathbf{P}\{\text{Im}(X_T) = N\}.$$

Also,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N \mathbf{P}\{\text{Im}(X_T) = N\} = \frac{\mathcal{E}_D(\infty, \partial_j)}{\mathcal{E}_D(\partial_j, \partial D \setminus \partial_j)},$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_D(\infty, \partial_j) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N \mathcal{E}_D(I_N, p_j) = \alpha_j := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{hm}_D(x + ib, \partial_j) dx.$$

The integral on the right is the same for all  $b > y(D)$ .

The parameters  $q(j, l)$  and  $\alpha_j$  can be determined from the domain  $D$ . What we have seen is that we need  $y_j$  to satisfies

$$y_j = \alpha_j + \sum_{l \neq j} q(j, l) \alpha_l,$$

where  $y_0 = 0$ . This has a unique solution that can be given in terms of the finite Markov chain,

$$y_j = \mathbf{E}^{\partial_j} \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y_{Y_n} \right] = \sum_{l=1}^k y_l g(y_j, y_l).$$

Here

$$g(y_j, y_l) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}\{Y_n = y_k \mid Y_0 = y_j\}$$

is the Green's function for the discrete Markov chain with absorbing state  $\partial_0$ .

At this point we have shown that for every  $D \in \mathcal{O}$ , there is a unique choice of  $\{y_j\}$  for which we can find a function  $v$  on  $\mathbb{H}$  with the following properties:

- $v$  is positive on  $D$  with

$$v(z) = \text{Im}(z) + O(1), \quad z \rightarrow \infty.$$

- $v \equiv 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- $v$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{H}$  with  $v(z) = y_j$  for  $z \in \partial_j, j \geq 1$ .
- $v$  is excursion reflected harmonic. Equivalently for every closed curve  $\gamma$  in  $v$ ,

$$\int_{\gamma} \partial_n v(w) |dw| = 0. \tag{41}$$

Let  $z_0 \in D$ . We define  $u$  by

$$u(z) = \int_{\gamma} \partial_n v(w) |dw|.$$

Here  $\gamma$  is any curve from  $z_0$  to  $z$ . Since  $v$  satisfies (41) the value is independent of the curve  $\gamma$ . This gives a holomorphic function  $f : D \rightarrow D^*$ . We need to show that  $f$  is one-to-one and onto. As in the proof of the Riemann mapping theorem, we consider the level sets of  $v$ . Let

$$V_s = \{z : v(z) = s\}, \quad V_s^+ = \{z : v(z) > s\}, \quad V_s^- = \{z : v(z) < s\}.$$

Let  $\mathbb{H}_b = \{z \in \mathbb{H} : \text{Im}(z) < b\}$ . There exists  $c$  such that  $v(z) \leq \text{Im}(z) + c$ . We claim that  $V_s^+$  is connected. Indeed there is one connected component, say  $U$ , of  $V_s^+$  that contains  $\mathbb{H} \setminus \mathbb{H}_{s+c}$  for some  $c$ . Since  $v$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{H}_{s+c}$ , we can see that  $v$  is bounded on any other component  $U_1$ , and its maximum value on that component must be bounded by the maximum of  $v$  on  $\partial U_1$  which is  $s$ . For  $V_s^-$ , note that there exists  $c_D < \infty$  such that for all  $z$ ,

$$v(z) \leq \text{Im}(z) + \|y_j\|_{\infty} \mathbf{P}^z\{B_{\tau} \notin \mathbb{R}\} \leq c_D \text{Im}(z).$$

In particular,  $\mathbb{H}_{\epsilon} \subset V_s^-$  for all  $\epsilon$  sufficiently small, and there is a unique component of  $V_s^-$  that contains  $\mathbb{R}$  on its boundary. Suppose there were another component of  $V_s^-$ , say  $U'$ . Then the value of  $v$  on  $\partial[D \cap U']$  is either  $s$  or in  $\{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ . Since  $v$  is a bounded harmonic function on  $D \cap U'$ ,

$$v(z) = \mathbf{E}^z[v(B_{\tau'})], \quad \tau' = \tau_{D \cap U'}.$$

Since  $v(z) < s$ , there must be at least one  $j$  such that  $\partial_j \subset U'$ . Let us choose  $\partial_j$  such that  $y_j$  is minimal (if there is a tie, choose any one). Then by the maximal (minimal) principle,  $v(z) \geq y_j$  for all  $z \in U'$ . However,

if  $\gamma$  is a curve in  $D$  surrounding  $\partial_j$  and close enough to  $\partial_j$ , we know that the average value of  $v$  on  $\gamma$  (with respect to normalized excursion measure) is  $y_j$ . This means either  $v$  is constant (which is clearly not true in our case), or  $v$  takes on values smaller than  $v_j$ . This is a contradiction, and hence  $U'$  does not exist.

We fix  $D$  and allow constants to depend on  $D$ . Let  $z = x + iy$  and consider  $|z|$  large. Note that

$$v(z) = y + \mathbf{E}^z[h(B_\tau)],$$

where  $\phi(z) = \mathbf{E}^z[h(B_\tau)]$  and  $h$  is a bounded function that vanishes on the origin. Using the form of the Poisson kernel, we can see that

$$|\phi(x + iy)| \leq \frac{cy}{|z|^2}.$$

Using the fact that  $\phi$  is a harmonic function in the disk of radius  $|z|/2$  about  $z$  (if  $x$  is large, but  $y$  is not, use Schwarz reflection about the origin to extend the function), we see that

$$|\nabla\phi(z)| \leq \frac{cy}{|z|^3},$$

and hence

$$|\partial_x v(x + iy)| + |\partial_y v(x + iy) - 1| \leq \frac{cy}{|z|^3}.$$

We will define (at least for large  $y$ )

$$u(iy) = - \int_y^\infty \partial_x v(iy') dy'.$$

Since  $|\partial_x v(y')| = O(|y|^{-2})$ , we see that  $u(iy)$  is well defined and  $|u(iy)| = O(|y|^{-1})$ . We then define

$$u(x + iy) = u(iy) + \int_0^x \partial_y v(x' + iy) dx',$$

and, similarly we see that

$$u(x + iy) = x + O(|y|^{-1}).$$

From this we can see that

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} u(x + iy) = x,$$

and this allows us to see that we can also write

$$u(x + iy) = x - \int_y^\infty \partial_x v(x + iy') dy'.$$

This allows to improve the error to

$$u(z) = \operatorname{Re}(z) + O(|z|^{-1}),$$

and

$$f(z) = z + O(|z|^{-1}).$$

This guarantees injectivity outside a compact subset. Inside we do an argument as in the Riemann mapping theorem. □

## A Poisson kernels

Here we will give the Poisson kernels and Green's functions for a number of standard domains. Recall that we have chosen the constants in our definitions so that

$$H_{\mathbb{D}}(0, e^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad G_{\mathbb{D}}(0, z) = -\log |z|.$$

If  $n_z = n_{z,D}$  represents the inward normal at  $z$ , then if  $z \in D$  and  $w, \zeta \in \partial D$ ,

$$H_{\mathbb{D}}(z, w) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{n_w} G_D(z, w),$$

$$H_{\partial D}(\zeta, w) = \partial_{n_\zeta} H_D(\zeta, w) = \partial_{n_w} H_D(w, \zeta) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{n_\zeta} \partial_{n_w} G_D(\zeta, w).$$

We will do straightforward computations using the scaling rules if  $f : D \rightarrow f(D)$  is a conformal transformation, then

$$G_D(z, z') = G_{f(D)}(f(z), f(z')), \quad H_D(z, w) = |f'(w)| H_{f(D)}(f(z), f(w)),$$

$$H_{f(D)}(f(z), f(w)) = |f'(\zeta)| |f'(w)| H_{f(D)}(f(\zeta), f(w)).$$

### A.1 Disk

**Proposition A.1.** *For the unit disk  $\mathbb{D} = \{|z| < 1\}$ ,*

$$H_{\mathbb{D}}(w, e^{i\theta}) = H_{\mathbb{D}}(we^{-i\theta}, 1) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 - |w|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - w|^2}$$

$$G_{\mathbb{D}}(z, w) = -\log \left| \frac{z - w}{1 - z\bar{w}} \right|,$$

$$H_{\partial \mathbb{D}}(e^{i2\theta}, e^{i2\psi}) = \frac{1}{4 \sin^2(\theta - \psi)}.$$

*Proof.* Using the Möbius transformation

$$T_w(z) = \frac{z - w}{1 - z\bar{w}}, \quad T'_w(z) = \frac{1 - |w|^2}{(1 - z\bar{w})^2}$$

$$G_{\mathbb{D}}(z, w) = G_{\mathbb{D}}(T_w(z), 0) = -\log \left| \frac{z - w}{1 - z\bar{w}} \right|,$$

$$H_{\mathbb{D}}(w, 1) = |T'_w(1)| H_{\mathbb{D}}(T_w(w), T_w(1)) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 - |w|^2}{|1 - \bar{w}|^2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 - |w|^2}{|1 - w|^2}.$$

$$H_{\mathbb{D}}(w, e^{i\theta}) = H_{\mathbb{D}}(we^{-i\theta}, 1) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 - |w|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - w|^2}$$

$$H_{\partial \mathbb{D}}(e^{i\theta}, 1) = \lim_{r \downarrow 0} r^{-1} H_{\mathbb{D}}((1 - r)e^{i\theta}, 1)$$

$$= \lim_{r \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{r} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 - (1 - r)^2}{|1 - (1 - r)e^{i\theta}|^2} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{|1 - e^{i\theta}|^2} = \frac{1}{4 \sin^2(\theta/2)},$$

and

$$H_{\partial \mathbb{D}}(e^{i2\theta}, e^{i2\psi}) = H_{\partial \mathbb{D}}(e^{i2(\theta - \psi)}) = \frac{1}{4 \sin^2(\theta - \psi)}.$$

□

We start with the upper half plane,

$$H_{\mathbb{H}}(x + iy, x') = \frac{y}{(x - x')^2 + y^2}, \quad H_{\mathbb{H}}(x, x') = (x - x')^{-2}.$$

## A.2 Upper half plane

**Proposition A.2.** *For the upper half plane  $\mathbb{H} = \{x + iy : Y > 0\}$ ,*

$$G_{\mathbb{H}}(z, w) = \log |z - \bar{w}| - \log |z - w|$$

$$H_{\mathbb{H}}(x + iy, x') = \frac{y}{(x - x')^2 + y^2}, \quad H_{\mathbb{H}}(x, x') = \frac{1}{(x - x')^2},$$

*Proof.* The map

$$f(z) = \frac{z - i}{z + i}, \quad f'(z) = \frac{2i}{(z + i)^2},$$

takes the upper half plane  $\mathbb{H}$  onto  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $f(i) = 0$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mathbb{H}}(z, i) &= G_{\mathbb{D}}(f(z), f(i)) = -\log \left| \frac{z - i}{z + i} \right| = \log \frac{|z + i|}{|z - i|}, \\ G_{\mathbb{H}}(z, x + iy) &= G_{\mathbb{H}}\left(\frac{z - x}{y}, i\right) = \log \frac{\left| \frac{z - x}{y} + i \right|}{\left| \frac{z - x}{y} - i \right|} = \log \frac{|z - \overline{(x + iy)}|}{|z - (x + iy)|}, \\ H_{\mathbb{H}}(i, x) &= |f'(x)| H_{\mathbb{H}}(0, f(x)) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{|x + i|^2} = \frac{1}{x^2 + 1}, \\ H_{\mathbb{H}}(x + iy, x') &= y^{-1} H_{\mathbb{H}}\left(i, \frac{x' - x}{y}\right) = \frac{y}{(x - x')^2 + y^2}. \\ H_{\mathbb{H}}(x, x') &= \lim_{y \downarrow 0} y^{-1} H_{\mathbb{H}}(x, x' + iy) = \frac{1}{(x - x')^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Another way to see that the Green's function is

$$G_{\mathbb{H}}(z, w) = \log |z - \bar{w}| - \log |z - w|.$$

is to note that for fixed  $z$ , the right-hand side is a harmonic function of  $w$  that vanishes on the real line and looks like  $-\log |z - w| + O(1)$  as  $w \rightarrow z$ . □

If  $z = re^{i\theta}$ , then

$$G_{\mathbb{H}}(re^{i\theta}, i) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left| \frac{r^2 \cos^2 \theta + (r \sin \theta + 1)^2}{r^2 \cos^2 \theta + (r \sin \theta - 1)^2} \right|.$$

As  $r \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$G_{\mathbb{H}}(re^{i\theta}, i) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left| 1 + \frac{4r \sin \theta}{r^2 \cos^2 \theta + (r \sin \theta - 1)^2} \right| = 2r^{-1} \sin \theta [1 + O(r^{-1})]. \quad (42)$$

### A.3 Half Disk

**Proposition A.3.** *Let  $\mathbb{D}_+ = \mathbb{H} \cap \mathbb{D}$  be the upper half disk. Then,*

$$H_{\partial\mathbb{D}_+}(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\psi}) = \frac{\sin \theta \sin \psi}{(\cos \theta - \cos \psi)^2},$$

$$H_{\partial\mathbb{D}_+}(x, e^{i\theta}) = \frac{2(1-x^2)}{[x^2 - 2x \cos \theta + 1]^2} \sin \theta.$$

*In particular,  $H_{\partial\mathbb{D}_+}(0, e^{i\theta}) = 2 \sin \theta$ . More generally, for  $z$  near the origin,*

$$H_{\mathbb{D}_+}(z, e^{i\theta}) = 2 \operatorname{Im}(z) \sin \theta [1 + O(|z|)]. \quad (43)$$

*Proof.* The function

$$f(z) = \frac{2z}{z^2 + 1}, \quad f'(z) = \frac{2(1-z^2)}{(z^2 + 1)^2},$$

is a conformal transformation of  $\mathbb{D}_+$  onto  $\mathbb{H}$  with  $f(0) = 0, f(i) = \infty, f(1) = 1, f(-1) = -1$  and

$$f(e^{i\theta}) = \frac{2e^{i\theta}}{e^{2i\theta} + 1} = \frac{2}{e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}} = \frac{1}{\cos \theta}.$$

Note that

$$|f'(e^{i\theta})| = \left| \frac{2(1 - e^{2i\theta})}{(e^{2i\theta} + 1)^2} \right| = \frac{\sin \theta}{\cos^2 \theta}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\partial\mathbb{D}_+}(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\psi}) &= |f'(e^{i\theta})| |f'(e^{i\psi})| H_{\mathbb{H}}(f(e^{i\theta}), f(e^{i\psi})) \\ &= \frac{\sin \theta \sin \psi}{\cos^2 \theta \cos^2 \psi} \left[ \frac{1}{\cos \theta} - \frac{1}{\cos \psi} \right]^{-2} \\ &= \frac{\sin \theta \sin \psi}{(\cos \theta - \cos \psi)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$H_{\partial\mathbb{D}_+}(x, e^{i\theta}) = \frac{2(1-x^2)}{(x^2 + 1)^2} \frac{\sin \theta}{\cos^2 \theta} \left[ \frac{2x}{x^2 + 1} - \frac{1}{\cos \theta} \right]^{-2} = \frac{2(1-x^2)}{[x^2 - 2x \cos \theta + 1]^2} \sin \theta.$$

One could derive (43) from the exact formulas. Alternatively, note that the function  $h(z) = H_{\mathbb{D}_+}(z, e^{i\theta})$  can be extended by Schwarz reflection to a harmonic function on  $\mathbb{D}$  that vanishes on the real line. Note that  $\partial_y h(0) = 2 \sin \theta$  and  $\partial_x h$  vanishes on the real line. Also,  $|h(z)| \leq c \sin \theta$  for  $|z| \leq 1/2$ , and hence we can see that for  $|z| \leq 1/4$  all the second partials are bounded by a universal constant times  $\sin \theta$ . Hence

$$\partial_y h(x) = 2 \sin \theta [1 + O(|x|)],$$

and

$$h(x + iy) = y \partial_y h(x) + O((\sin \theta) y^2) = 2y \sin \theta [1 + O(|x|) + O(y)].$$

□

## A.4 Infinite Strip

**Proposition A.4.** *Let  $S_r = \{x + iy \in \mathbb{H} : y < r\}$  denote the half-infinite strip. Then*

$$H_{\partial S_r}(0, x) = \frac{\pi^2}{4r^2} \left[ \sinh\left(\frac{\pi x}{2r}\right) \right]^{-2},$$

$$H_{\partial S_r}(0, x + ir) = \frac{\pi^2}{4r^2} \left[ \cosh\left(\frac{\pi x}{2r}\right) \right]^{-2},$$

*Proof.* Note that  $f(z) = e^z$  is a conformal transformation of  $S_\pi$  onto  $\mathbb{H}$  and hence

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\partial S_\pi}(0, x) &= |f'(0)| |f'(x)| H_{\partial \mathbb{H}}(f(0), f(x + i\pi)) \\ &= e^x H_{\mathbb{H}}(1, e^x) \\ &= \frac{e^x}{(e^x - 1)^2} = \frac{1}{(e^{x/2} - e^{-x/2})^2} = \frac{1}{4 \sinh^2(x/2)}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\partial S_\pi}(0, x + i\pi) &= |f'(0)| |f'(x)| H_{\partial \mathbb{H}}(f(0), f(x + i\pi)) \\ &= e^x H_{\mathbb{H}}(1, -e^x) \\ &= \frac{e^x}{(e^x + 1)^2} = \frac{1}{4 \cosh^2(x/2)}. \end{aligned}$$

By using the conformal transformation  $z \mapsto (\pi/r)z$ , we get

$$H_{\partial S_r}(0, x) = (\pi/r)^2 H_{\partial S_\pi}(0, \pi x/r) = \frac{\pi^2}{4r^2} \left[ \sinh\left(\frac{\pi x}{2r}\right) \right]^{-2},$$

$$H_{\partial S_r}(0, x + ir) = (\pi/r)^2 H_{\partial S_\pi}(0, \pi x/r + i\pi) = \frac{\pi^2}{4r^2} \left[ \cosh\left(\frac{\pi x}{2r}\right) \right]^{-2}.$$

□

We will compute this another way using Fourier series. This will be useful when comparing to simple random walk. We will let  $S = S_1$ , and we first consider the domain  $R_m = \{x + iy : 0 < x < 2m, 0 < y < 1\}$ . Consider

$$F(x + iy) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j \sin(j\pi x/2m) \sinh(j\pi y/2m).$$

For any choice of constants (decaying sufficiently fast), this gives a harmonic function. If we choose

$$b_j = \frac{\sin(mj\pi/2m)}{2m \sinh(j\pi/2m)},$$

we see that the boundary condition on  $\partial R_m$  is the delta function at  $m + ni$ . Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{\pi} H_{R_m}((m + u) + iy, m + i) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(mj\pi/2m)}{2m \sinh(j\pi/2m)} \sin(j\pi(m + u)/2m) \sinh(j\pi y/2m).$$

Note that

$$\sin(mj\pi/2) \sin(j\pi(m + u)/2m) = \begin{cases} \cos(j\pi u/2m), & j \text{ odd,} \\ 0 & j \text{ even,} \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{\pi} H_{R_m}((m + u) + iy, m + i) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos((2j - 1)\pi u/2m) \sinh((2j - 1)\pi y/2m)}{2m \sinh((2j - 1)\pi/2m)}.$$



This is a Riemann sum approximation of an integral and hence we get

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} H_{R_m}((m+u) + iy, m+i) = \pi \int_0^\infty \frac{\cos(t\pi u) \sinh(t\pi y)}{\sinh(\pi t)} dt = \int_0^\infty \frac{\cos(su) \sinh(sy)}{\sinh s} ds,$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\partial S}(u, i) &= \partial_y H_S(z, i) |_{z=u} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{s \cos(su)}{\sinh s} ds \\ &= \partial_u \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin(su)}{\sinh s} ds \right] \\ &= \partial_u \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} \tanh(u\pi/2) \right] \\ &= \frac{\pi^2}{4 \cosh^2(u\pi/2)}. \end{aligned}$$

The penultimate inequality is identity 711 in the CRC table of integrals.