

## DEFINITIONS: OPERADS, ALGEBRAS AND MODULES

J. P. MAY

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a symmetric monoidal category with product  $\otimes$  and unit object  $\kappa$ .

**Definition 1.** An operad  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  consists of objects  $\mathcal{C}(j)$ ,  $j \geq 0$ , a unit map  $\eta : \kappa \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(1)$ , a right action by the symmetric group  $\Sigma_j$  on  $\mathcal{C}(j)$  for each  $j$ , and product maps

$$\gamma : \mathcal{C}(k) \otimes \mathcal{C}(j_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{C}(j_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(j)$$

for  $k \geq 1$  and  $j_s \geq 0$ , where  $\sum j_s = j$ . The  $\gamma$  are required to be associative, unital, and equivariant in the following senses.

- (a) The following associativity diagrams commute, where  $\sum j_s = j$  and  $\sum i_t = i$ ; we set  $g_s = j_1 + \cdots + j_s$ , and  $h_s = i_{g_{s-1}+1} + \cdots + i_{g_s}$  for  $1 \leq s \leq k$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(k) \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{s=1}^k \mathcal{C}(j_s) \right) \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{r=1}^j \mathcal{C}(i_r) \right) & \xrightarrow{\gamma \otimes \text{id}} & \mathcal{C}(j) \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{r=1}^j \mathcal{C}(i_r) \right) \\ \downarrow \text{shuffle} & & \downarrow \gamma \\ \mathcal{C}(k) \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{s=1}^k \left( \mathcal{C}(j_s) \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{q=1}^{j_s} \mathcal{C}(i_{g_{s-1}+q}) \right) \right) \right) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes (\otimes_s \gamma)} & \mathcal{C}(k) \otimes \left( \bigotimes_{s=1}^k \mathcal{C}(h_s) \right) \\ & & \uparrow \gamma \\ & & \mathcal{C}(i) \end{array}$$

- (b) The following unit diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(k) \otimes (\kappa)^k & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{C}(k) \\ \text{id} \otimes \eta^k \downarrow & \nearrow \gamma & \\ \mathcal{C}(k) \otimes \mathcal{C}(1)^k & & \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \kappa \otimes \mathcal{C}(j) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{C}(j) \\ \eta \otimes \text{id} \downarrow & \nearrow \gamma & \\ \mathcal{C}(1) \otimes \mathcal{C}(j) & & \end{array}$$

- (c) The following equivariance diagrams commute, where  $\sigma \in \Sigma_k$ ,  $\tau_s \in \Sigma_{j_s}$ , the permutation  $\sigma(j_1, \dots, j_k) \in \Sigma_j$  permutes  $k$  blocks of letter as  $\sigma$  permutes  $k$  letters, and  $\tau_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \tau_k \in \Sigma_j$  is the block sum:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(k) \otimes \mathcal{C}(j_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{C}(j_k) & \xrightarrow{\sigma \otimes \sigma^{-1}} & \mathcal{C}(k) \otimes \mathcal{C}(j_{\sigma(1)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{C}(j_{\sigma(k)}) \\ \gamma \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\ \mathcal{C}(j) & \xrightarrow{\sigma(j_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, j_{\sigma(k)})} & \mathcal{C}(j) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(k) \otimes \mathcal{C}(j_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{C}(j_k) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \tau_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_k} & \mathcal{C}(k) \otimes \mathcal{C}(j_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{C}(j_k) \\ \gamma \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\ \mathcal{C}(j) & \xrightarrow{\tau_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \tau_k} & \mathcal{C}(j). \end{array}$$

The  $\mathcal{C}(j)$  are to be thought of as objects of parameters for “ $j$ -ary operations” that accept  $j$  inputs and produce one output. Thinking of elements as operations, we think of  $\gamma(c \otimes d_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes d_k)$  as the composite of the operation  $c$  with the  $\otimes$ -product of the operations  $d_s$ .

Let  $X^j$  denote the  $j$ -fold  $\otimes$ -power of an object  $X$ , with  $\Sigma_j$  acting on the left. By convention,  $X^0 = \kappa$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an operad. A  $\mathcal{C}$ -algebra is an object  $A$  together with maps

$$\theta : \mathcal{C}(j) \otimes A^j \rightarrow A$$

for  $j \geq 0$  that are associative, unital, and equivariant in the following senses.

- (a) The following associativity diagrams commute, where  $j = \sum j_s$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(k) \otimes \mathcal{C}(j_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{C}(j_k) \otimes A^j & \xrightarrow{\gamma \otimes \text{id}} & \mathcal{C}(j) \otimes A^j \\ \downarrow \text{shuffle} & & \downarrow \theta \\ \mathcal{C}(k) \otimes \mathcal{C}(j_1) \otimes A^{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{C}(j_k) \otimes A^{j_k} & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \theta^k} & \mathcal{C}(k) \otimes A^k \\ & & \uparrow \theta \\ & & A \end{array}$$

- (b) The following unit diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \kappa \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\cong} & A \\ \eta \otimes \text{id} \downarrow & \nearrow \theta & \\ \mathcal{C}(1) \otimes A & & \end{array}$$

- (c) The following equivariance diagrams commute, where  $\sigma \in \Sigma_j$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(j) \otimes A^j & \xrightarrow{\sigma \otimes \sigma^{-1}} & \mathcal{C}(j) \otimes A^j \\ \searrow \gamma & & \swarrow \gamma \\ & A & \end{array}$$

**Definition 3.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an operad and  $A$  be a  $\mathcal{C}$ -algebra. An  $A$ -module is an object  $M$  together with maps

$$\lambda : \mathcal{C}(j) \otimes A^{j-1} \otimes M \rightarrow M$$

for  $j \geq 1$  that are associative, unital, and equivariant in the following senses.

- (a) The following associativity diagrams commute, where  $j = \sum j_s$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(\mathcal{C}(k) \otimes (\bigotimes_{s=1}^k \mathcal{C}(j_s))) \otimes A^{j-1} \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\gamma \otimes \text{id}} & \mathcal{C}(j) \otimes A^{j-1} \otimes M \\
\downarrow \text{shuffle} & & \downarrow \lambda \\
\mathcal{C}(k) \otimes (\bigotimes_{s=1}^{k-1} (\mathcal{C}(j_s) \otimes A^{j_s})) \otimes (\mathcal{C}(j_k) \otimes A^{j_{k-1}} \otimes M) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \theta^{k-1} \otimes \lambda} & \mathcal{C}(k) \otimes A^{k-1} \otimes M \\
& & \uparrow \lambda \\
& & M
\end{array}$$

(b) The following unit diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\kappa \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\cong} & M \\
\eta \otimes \text{id} \downarrow & \nearrow \lambda & \\
\mathcal{C}(1) \otimes M & & 
\end{array}$$

(c) The following equivariance diagram commutes, where  $\sigma \in \Sigma_{j-1} \subset \Sigma_j$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C}(j) \otimes A^{j-1} \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\sigma \otimes \sigma^{-1} \otimes \text{id}} & \mathcal{C}(j) \otimes A^{j-1} \otimes M \\
\searrow \lambda & & \swarrow \lambda \\
& M & 
\end{array}$$

Maps of operads, of algebras over an operad, and of modules over an algebra over an operad are defined in the evident ways: all structure must be preserved.

**Variants 4.** (i) *Non- $\Sigma$  (or non-symmetric) operads.* When modelling non-commutative algebras, it is often useful to omit the permutations from the definition, giving the notion of a non- $\Sigma$  operad. An operad is a non- $\Sigma$  operad by neglect of structure.

(ii) *Unital operads.* The object  $\mathcal{C}(0)$  parametrizes “0-ary operations”. When concerned with unital algebras  $A$ , the unit “element”  $1 \in A$  is defined by a map  $\kappa \rightarrow A$ , and it is sensible to insist that  $\mathcal{C}(0) = \kappa$ . We then say that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a unital operad. For types of algebras without units (e.g. Lie algebras) it is sensible to set  $\mathcal{C}(0) = 0$  (categorically, an initial object).

(iii) *Augmentations.* If  $\mathcal{C}$  is unital, the  $\mathcal{C}(j)$  have the “augmentations”

$$\epsilon = \gamma : \mathcal{C}(j) \cong \mathcal{C}(j) \otimes \mathcal{C}(0)^j \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(0) = \kappa$$

and the “degeneracy maps”  $\sigma_i : \mathcal{C}(j) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(j-1)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq j$ , given by the composites

$$\mathcal{C}(j) \cong \mathcal{C}(j) \otimes \kappa^j \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(j) \otimes \mathcal{C}(1)^{i-1} \otimes \mathcal{C}(0) \otimes \mathcal{C}(1)^{j-i} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathcal{C}(j-1),$$

where the first map is determined by the unit map  $\eta : \kappa \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(1)$ .

**Example 5.** Assume that  $\mathcal{S}$  has an internal Hom functor. Define the endomorphism operad of an object  $X$  by

$$\text{End}(X)(j) = \text{Hom}(X^j, X).$$

The unit is given by the identity map  $X \rightarrow X$ , the right actions by symmetric groups are given by their left actions on  $\otimes$ -powers, and the maps  $\gamma$  are given by the following composites, where  $\sum j_s = j$ :

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{Hom}(X^k, X) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(X^{j_1}, X) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(X^{j_k}, X) \\ \downarrow \text{id } \otimes (k\text{-fold } \otimes\text{-product of maps)} \\ \mathrm{Hom}(X^k, X) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(X^j, X^k) \\ \downarrow \text{composition} \\ \mathrm{Hom}(X^j, X). \end{array}$$

Conditions (a)-(c) of the definition of an operad are forced by direct calculation. In adjoint form, an action of  $\mathcal{C}$  on  $A$  is a morphism of operads  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{End}(A)$ , and conditions (a)-(c) of the definition of a  $\mathcal{C}$ -algebra are also forced by direct calculation.

**Example 6.** The operad  $\mathcal{M}$  has  $\mathcal{M}(j) = \kappa[\Sigma_j]$ , the coproduct of a copy of  $\kappa$  for each element of  $\Sigma_j$ ; the maps  $\gamma$  are determined by the formulas defining an operad. An  $\mathcal{M}$ -algebra  $A$  is a monoid in  $\mathcal{S}$  and an  $A$ -module in the operadic sense is an  $A$ -bimodule in the classical sense of commuting left and right actions  $A \otimes M \rightarrow M$  and  $M \otimes A \rightarrow M$ .

**Example 7.** The operad  $\mathcal{N}$  has  $\mathcal{N}(j) = \kappa$ ; the maps  $\gamma$  are canonical isomorphisms. An  $\mathcal{N}$ -algebra  $A$  is a commutative monoid in  $\mathcal{S}$  and an  $A$ -module in the operadic sense is a left  $A$ -module in the classical sense. If we regard  $\mathcal{N}$  as a non- $\Sigma$  operad, then an  $\mathcal{N}$ -algebra  $A$  is a monoid in  $\mathcal{S}$  and an  $A$ -module in the operadic sense is a left  $A$ -module in the classical sense. A unital operad  $\mathcal{C}$  has the augmentation  $\varepsilon : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ ; an  $\mathcal{N}$ -algebra is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -algebra by pullback along  $\varepsilon$ .

There are important alternative formulations of some of the definitions. First, there is a conceptual reformulation of operads as monoids in a certain category of functors. Assume that  $\mathcal{S}$  has finite colimits. These allow one to make sense of passage to orbits from group actions.

**Definition 8.** Let  $\Sigma$  denote the category whose objects are the finite sets  $\mathbf{n} = \{1, \dots, n\}$  and their isomorphisms, where  $\mathbf{0}$  is the empty set. Define a  $\Sigma$ -object in  $\mathcal{S}$  to be a contravariant functor  $\mathcal{C} : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{j})$  is an object of  $\mathcal{S}$  with a right action by  $\Sigma_j$ ; by convention,  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{0}) = \kappa$ . Define a product  $\circ$  on the category of  $\Sigma$ -objects by setting

$$(\mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{C})(\mathbf{j}) = \coprod_{k, j_1, \dots, j_k} \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{k}) \otimes_{\kappa[\Sigma_k]} ((\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{j}_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{j}_k)) \otimes_{\kappa[\Sigma_{j_1 \times \dots \times j_k}]} \kappa[\Sigma_j]),$$

where  $k \geq 0$ ,  $j_r \geq 0$ , and  $\sum j_r = j$ . The implicit right action of  $\kappa[\Sigma_{j_1 \times \dots \times j_k}]$  on  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{j}_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{j}_k)$  and left action of  $\Sigma_k$  on  $(\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{j}_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{j}_k)) \otimes_{\kappa[\Sigma_{j_1 \times \dots \times j_k}]} \kappa[\Sigma_j]$  should be clear from the equivariance formulas in the definition of an operad. The right action of  $\Sigma_j$  required of a contravariant functor is given by the right action of  $\Sigma_j$  on itself. The product  $\circ$  is associative and has the two-sided unit  $I$  specified by  $I(\mathbf{1}) = \kappa$  and  $I(\mathbf{j}) = \phi$  (an initial object of  $\mathcal{S}$ ) for  $j \neq 1$ .

A trivial inspection gives the following reformulation of the definition of an operad.

**Lemma 9.** *Operads in  $\mathcal{S}$  are monoids in the monoidal category of  $\Sigma$ -objects in  $\mathcal{S}$ .*

Similarly, using the degeneracy maps  $\sigma_i$  of Variant 4(iii), if  $\Lambda$  denotes the category of finite sets  $\mathbf{n}$  and all injective maps, then a unital operad is a monoid in the monoidal category of contravariant functors  $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ .

These observations are closely related to the comparison of algebras over operads to algebras over an associated monad that led me to invent the name “operad”.

**Definition 10.** Define a functor  $C : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  associated to a  $\Sigma$ -object  $\mathcal{C}$  by

$$CX = \coprod_{j \geq 0} \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{j}) \otimes_{\kappa[\Sigma_j]} X^j,$$

where  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{0}) \otimes_{\kappa[\Sigma_0]} X^0 = \kappa$ .

By inspection of definitions, the functor associated to  $\mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{C}$  is the composite  $BC$  of the functors  $B$  and  $C$  associated to  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$ . Therefore a monoid in the monoidal category of  $\Sigma$ -objects in  $\mathcal{S}$  determines a monad  $(C, \mu, \eta)$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ . This leads formally to the following result; it will be expanded in my paper “Operads, algebras, and modules” later in this volume (which gives background, details, and references for most of the material summarized here).

**Proposition 11.** *An operad  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  determines a monad  $C$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  such that the categories of algebras over  $\mathcal{C}$  and of algebras over  $C$  are isomorphic.*

There is also a combinatorial reformulation of the definition of operads that is expressed in terms of “ $\circ_i$ -products”.

**Definition 12.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an operad in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Define the product

$$\circ_i : \mathcal{C}(p) \otimes \mathcal{C}(q) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(p + q - 1)$$

to be the composite

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{C}(p) \otimes \mathcal{C}(q) \\ \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \eta^{i-1} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \eta^{p-i} \\ \mathcal{C}(p) \otimes \mathcal{C}(1)^{i-1} \otimes \mathcal{C}(q) \otimes \mathcal{C}(1)^{p-i} \\ \downarrow \gamma \\ \mathcal{C}(p + q - 1). \end{array}$$

These products satisfy certain associativity, unity, and equivariance formulas that can be read off from the definition of an operad. Conversely, the structure maps  $\gamma$  can be read off in many different ways from the  $\circ_i$ -products. In fact, just the first one suffices. By use of the associativity and unity diagrams, we find that the following composite coincides with  $\gamma$ :

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{C}(k) \otimes \mathcal{C}(j_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{C}(j_k) \\ \downarrow \circ_1 \otimes \text{id} \\ \mathcal{C}(k + j_1 - 1) \otimes \mathcal{C}(j_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{C}(j_k) \\ \downarrow \circ_1 \otimes \text{id} \\ \vdots \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \cdot \\
 \cdot \\
 \downarrow \circ_1 \otimes \text{id} \\
 \mathcal{C}(k + j_1 + \cdots + j_{k-1} - (k-1)) \otimes \mathcal{C}(j_k) \\
 \downarrow \circ_1 \\
 \mathcal{C}(j_1 + \cdots + j_k).
 \end{array}$$

We deduce that operads can be redefined in terms of  $\circ_i$ -products. This leads to another useful variant of the notion of an operad. If we are given  $\Sigma_j$ -objects  $\mathcal{C}(j)$  for  $j \geq 1$  and  $\circ_i$ -products that satisfy the associativity and equivariance laws, but not the unit laws, that are satisfied by the  $\circ_i$  operations of an operad, we arrive at the notion of an “operad without identity” (analogous to a ring without identity). Such structures arise naturally in some applications related to string theory.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, CHICAGO, IL 60637  
*E-mail address:* `may@math.uchicago.edu`