

A formulation of the fundamental lemma for $SL(n)$ in concrete terms.

Notation: F a non-archimedean local field, $O_F \subset F$ the ring of integers, $\mathfrak{m}_F \subset O_F$ the maximal ideal, $q = |O_F/\mathfrak{m}_F|$. Let $E \supset F$ be an unramified extension of degree n and $O_E \subset E$ the ring of integers.

Now let $\gamma \in O_E$ be such that $F[\gamma] = E$. Then the ring $R := O_F[\gamma]$ is a subgroup of finite index in O_E . For each $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $N_{\gamma, F}(s)$ denote the number of R -submodules $M \subset E$ such that the number

$\text{size}(M) := \text{length}_O(M/M\gamma R) - \text{length}_O(R/M\gamma R)$ equals s . (Note that if $\text{size}(M) \neq \pm\infty$ then M is finitely generated and nonzero). Since $\text{size}(\mathfrak{m}_O M) = \text{size}(M) - n$ the number $N_{\gamma, F}(s)$ depends only on the image of s in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. The fundamental lemma says something about the Fourier transform of the function $N_{\gamma, F}: \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

More precisely, for each $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\zeta^n = 1$ define

$$u_{\gamma, F}(\zeta) := \frac{1}{(O_E : R)} \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \zeta^s N_{\gamma, F}(s),$$

where $(O_E : R)$ is the index of the subgroup $R \subset O_E$.

As far as I understand, the Fundamental Lemma for $SL(n)$ says that if ζ is a primitive root of degree $m \mid n$ then

$$u_{\gamma, F}(\zeta) = u_{\gamma, F_m}(1), \quad (*)$$

where $F_m < E$ is the unique subfield containing F with $[F_m : F] = m$ and the definition of u_{γ, F_m} is similar to that of $u_{\gamma, F}$ (consider E as an extension of F_m of degree $\frac{n}{m}$ rather than an extension of F of degree n , consider $O_{F_m}[\gamma]$ instead of $R := O_F[\gamma]$, etc.). E.g., if $\zeta = 1$ then the Fundamental Lemma is a tautology, and if ζ is a primitive n -th root of 1 it says that $u_{\gamma, E}(\zeta) = 1$.

Exercise 1. Prove (or disprove!) that the Fundamental Lemma indeed implies formula (*). and moreover, in the case that $G = SL(n)$ and

the endoscopy group H equals $\text{Ker}(E^x \xrightarrow{N} F^x)$
the Fundamental Lemma is equivalent to
formula (*) for $m=n$. (However, if

$H = \text{Ker}(GL(\frac{n}{m}, F_m) \xrightarrow{\det} F_m^x \xrightarrow{N} F^x)$, $m|n$, $m \neq n$
then the Fundamental Lemma is stronger
than (*).

As a first step, prove that the normalized
absolute value of the discriminant of γ
equals $(O_E : R)^{-2}$.

Exercise 2. Prove the Fundamental Lemma
for $SL(2)$. Hint: show that if $(O_E : R) = q^k$
then $u_{\gamma, F}(-1) = a_k - a_{k-1} + a_{k-2} - \dots + (-1)^k a_0$,
where $a_0 = 1$ and $a_i = q^{i-1}(q+1)$ for $i > 0$. In
fact, a_i is the number of vertices of the
Bruhat-Tits tree at distance i from a fixed
vertex).

Historical remark. The fundamental lemma
for $SL(3)$ was proved by Kottwitz. The funda-
mental lemma for $SL(n)$ was proved by
Kazhdan and Waldspurger.

Remark. Equality (*) on p. 2 implies that the function
 $N_{\gamma, F} : \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined on p. 1 satisfies $N_{\gamma, F}(as) = N_{\gamma, F}(s)$
for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ coprime to n . This looks mysterious! Anyway,
I do not know how to prove this without (*).