MODULI SPACES AND PERIOD MAPPINGS OF GENUS ONE FIBERED K3 SURFACES

BENSON FARB AND EDUARD LOOIJENGA

ABSTRACT. In this paper we construct various moduli spaces of K3 surfaces M equipped with a surjective holomorphic map $\pi : M \to \mathbb{P}^1$ with generic fiber a complex torus (e.g., an elliptic fibration). Examples include moduli spaces of such maps with primitive fibers; with reduced, irreducible fibers; equipped with a section; etc. Such spaces are closely related to the moduli space of Ricci-flat metrics on M. We construct period mappings relating these moduli spaces to locally symmetric spaces, and use these to compute their (orbifold) fundamental groups.

These results lie in contrast to, and exhibit different behavior than, the well-studied case of moduli spaces of polarized K3 surfaces, and are more useful for applications to the mapping class group $\mathrm{Mod}(M)$. Indeed, we apply our results on moduli space to give two applications to the smooth mapping class group of M.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recall that a *K3 surface* is a closed, simply-connected complex surface M admitting a nowhere vanishing holomorphic 2-form. Many K3 surfaces M admit a *(holomorphic) genus one fibration*; that is, a surjective holomorphic map $\pi : M \to \mathbb{P}^1$ with finitely many singular fibers, and whose smooth fibers are Riemann surfaces of genus one. Such fibrations play a central role in the theory of K3 surfaces.

The goals of the present paper are:

(1) To construct the moduli spaces of various types of genus one fibered K3 surfaces, for example those with primitive fiber class; those with reduced, irreducible fibers; those equipped with a section; etc.
(2) To construct period mappings relating these moduli spaces to locally symmetric varieties.
(3) To use the above to compute and relate the fundamental groups of these moduli spaces.

(4) To apply the above to give new results on the smooth mapping class group of M.

In order for the moduli spaces we consider to be useful, particularly for applications to understanding mapping class groups of K3 surfaces, we want them to be Hausdorff. Applications of this type also explain our focus on their orbifold fundamental groups. For this reason we will exclusively deal with K3 surfaces endowed with a Kähler class. *As a consequence, most of our moduli spaces do not have a complex structure* and so this brings us in general outside the context of algebraic varieties (or stacks). This is in contrast with the well-studied moduli spaces of polarized K3 surfaces, as these come with a natural structure of a locally symmetric variety (and are more relevant for the study of symplectic mapping class groups).

Associated to any genus one fibration of M is the Poincaré dual $e \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ of the class of a generic fiber. The vector e is nonzero and, since it is the class of a fiber in a fiber bundle, is *isotropic*: $e \cdot e = 0$. The converse is also true: for any nonzero, isotropic $e \in H^2(M)$ there is a complex structure on M and a holomorphic genus one fibration with e as the class of a generic fiber. In this way isotropic vectors $e \in H^2(M)$ will be central to this paper.

Before we proceed to state the main results of this paper, a word on terminology. We want to use the notions of 'orbifold', 'orbifold fundamental group' and 'moduli space' in such a manner that we are for instance able to say that the moduli space of elliptic curves can be given as the orbifold $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathcal{H}$ and that therefore its orbifold fundamental group is $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ (which in this case also happens to be the mapping class group of a torus). The appropriate language is that of Deligne-Mumford stacks in the smooth category, but for us the more elementary conventions stated in §1.6 already do the job.

1.1. **Ricci-flat metrics and complex structures.** Kodaira proved that all K3 surfaces are diffeomorphic. In this paper M denotes a closed 4-manifold in this diffeomorphism class; we give it the orientation for which the intersection pairing has signature $(3, 19)$. According to Donaldson [D], $H^2(M; \mathbb{R})$ comes with a natural *spinor orientation*, that is, an orientation of the bundle of positive subspaces of maximal dimension (so in this case that dimension is 3) over the appropriate Grassmannian.

In what follows the twistor construction plays a central role, so we recall some of the basics. Henceforth we assume that all metrics have unit volume. Given a Riemann metric g on M, the space P_g of its self-dual harmonic 2-forms defines a positive 3-plane in $H^2(M; \mathbb{R})$. If J is a complex structure on M for which g is a Kähler metric, then the Kähler class κ of this metric lies in P_g and $\kappa \cdot \kappa = 2$. The orthogonal complement of κ in P_g is

a positive 2-plane that inherits via the spinor orientation a canonical orientation. This determines a complex line $H^{2,0}(M, J) \subset H^2(M; \mathbb{C})$: if (x, y) is an oriented orthonormal basis of the 2-plane P_g then $H^{2,0}(M, J)$ is spanned by $x + \sqrt{-1}y$; further, when we know $H^{2,0}(M, J)$ then we know the full Hodge decomposition of $H^2(M, J)$.

In case g is Ricci flat there is a converse: each $\kappa \in P_g$ with $\kappa \cdot \kappa = 2$ determines a complex structure J on M for which g is a Kähler metric that has κ as its Kähler class. This complex structure is unique and all complex structures J for which g is a Kähler metric so arise [HKLR]; we then say that the pair (g, J) is a *Kähler-Einstein structure* on M. In particular, the set of Kähler-Einstein structures with g as underlying (Ricci-flat) metric is faithfully parametrized by the 2-sphere of radius $\sqrt{2}$ in P_g. In universal terms, if

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{RF}} := \{\mathrm{Diff}(M)\text{-orbits of Ricci-flat metrics on } M\}$$

and if

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{KE}} := \{\mathrm{Diff}(M)\text{-orbits of Kähler-Einstein structures on } M\},$$

then once we have shown these to be moduli spaces in our sense, the forgetful map $\pi : \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{KE}} :\to \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{RF}}$ defined by $\pi(g, J) := g$ is an orbifold S^2-bundle:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
S^2 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{KE}} \\
& & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\pi} \\
& & \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{RF}}
\end{array}
$$

(1.1)

A starting point for this paper is the observation that a choice of nonzero isotropic vector $e \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ determines a smooth section of (1.1), and that in fact the following stronger result is true.

Proposition 1.1 (**Metric + isotropic vector $\rightsquigarrow$ complex structure**). *Let M be the K3 manifold. Fix a nonzero isotropic vector $e \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$. Then every Ricci-flat metric g on M determines a unique complex structure J_g on M for which g is Kähler-Einstein and e is the class of a positive divisor. This divisor can be chosen to be the sum of a smooth genus 1 curve and a nonnegative linear combination of smooth genus 0 curves.*

See Section 3.2 for a proof. Additional conditions are needed in order that e be represented by a smooth genus 1 curve. But if that is the case, then e defines basepoint-free linear system of dimension 1 (a copy of $\mathbb{P}^1$), i.e., it gives rise to a genus one fibration $\pi : (M, J) \to S$ (where S is a copy of $\mathbb{P}^1$) such that e is the Poincaré dual of the class of a fiber and (hence) e is the image of the orientation class of S under $\pi^* : H^2(S) \to H^2(M)$.

1.2. **Existence theorems.** Proposition 1.1 allows us to form a number of moduli spaces of holomorphic genus one fibrations as complex structures and fiber classes vary. The first of these is:

(1.2)
$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{prim}} := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Diff}(M)\text{-orbits of triples } (g, J, \pi), \text{ where } (g, J) \text{ makes } M \text{ a} \\ \text{Kähler-Einstein K3 surface for which } \pi \text{ is a holomorphic} \\ \text{genus one fibration of } M \text{ with primitive fiber class} \end{array} \right\}$$

A singular fiber in a genus one fibration of M is *integral* if it is reduced and irreducible; equivalently, it is nodal (Kodaira type I_1) or cuspidal (Kodaira type II. We will call a genus one fibered structure on M *integral* if each singular fiber is integral. This is a property that in the situation of Proposition 1.1 can easily be characterized homologically: there should not exist an $\alpha \in H^2(M)$ with $\alpha \cdot \alpha = -2$ that is perpendicular to both e and to $P_g \cap e^\perp = P_g \cap \kappa^\perp$. The second moduli space we shall consider is :

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}} := \quad \text{the locus in } \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{prim}} \text{ for which the fibration } \pi \text{ is integral}$$

Not every holomorphic genus one fibration on M admits a holomorphic section. When it does, it is called an *elliptic fibration*, since that section chooses a basepoint for each fiber, under which each smooth fiber becomes an elliptic curve with this basepoint as the identity group element (each reduced singular fiber also becomes a group, depending on the type of fiber– see below). Two sections of a genus one fibration differ by a holomorphic fiberwise translation, although that isomorphism will in general not preserve a given Kähler class. But in case the holomorphic genus one fibration is integral and a section with class σ is given, then there is a canonical choice for the Kähler class, namely $e + 3\sigma$ (which is in fact the class of an ample line bundle, see Corollary 3.3) and hence the class of a (unique) Kähler-Einstein metric. This allows us to regard

(1.3) $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{ell}} := \{\text{Diff}(M)\text{-orbits of integral elliptic fibrations on } M\}$

as a subset of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}$. There is also a map going the other way:

$$\text{Jac} : \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}} \to \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{ell}}.$$

It assigns to a genus one fibration its *Jacobian fibration*, replacing fiberwise each cubic curve by its Jacobian (see §5 for more details) and makes $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{ell}}$ appear as a retract of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}$. The Jacobian fibration is defined for a general genus one fibration of M, but since that Jacobian fibration does not seem to have a natural Kähler class that varies smoothly in families (as in the integral case), we refrain from introducing a moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{prim}}^{\mathrm{ell}}$.

The discriminant of a genus one fibration $M \to S$ with only integral fibers yields a divisor D on S of degree 24, with a nodal fiber contributing with multiplicity one and a cuspidal fiber with multiplicity two. It is the

same as for its Jacobian fibration. Let us write $\mathcal{M}_{(24)}^{\leq 2}$ for the $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ orbit space of positive degree 24 divisors on $\mathbb{P}^1$ with multiplicities ≤ 2 and $\mathcal{M}_{(24)} \subset \mathcal{M}_{(24)}^{\leq 2}$ for the locus of reduced divisors. Let $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{nod}} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}$ be the set of genus one fibrations all of whose singular fibers are nodal.

The following theorem summarizes the moduli spaces considered in this paper and various natural maps between them. As indicated below, some of these spaces (e.g., $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{RF}}$) have previously been considered in the literature. Among our main results will be to give uniformizations of most of these moduli spaces.

Theorem 1.2 (**Existence theorem**). *With the notation above, the diagram*

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{nod}} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{prim}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{KE}} \\
\Updownarrow {\scriptstyle \mathrm{Jac}} & & \Updownarrow {\scriptstyle \mathrm{Jac}} & & & \searrow & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{nod}}^{\mathrm{ell}} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{ell}} & & & & \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{RF}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
\mathcal{M}_{(24)} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_{(24)}^{\leq 2} & & & &
\end{array}
$$

is one of moduli spaces (and morphisms between them) in the sense of the conventions on moduli spaces in §1.6 below. Each of these moduli spaces is connected.

We will abuse notation and often identify each of these moduli spaces with its base. With the exception of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{nod}}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{nod}}^{\mathrm{ell}}$ we will give fairly concrete descriptions of these moduli spaces, often in terms of arithmetic groups acting on open subsets of homogeneous spaces. This will allow us to discuss the orbifold fundamental groups of these moduli spaces. We will see that, apart of $\mathcal{M}_{(24)}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{(24)}^{\leq 2}$ where it is evident, only $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{ell}}$ lives in the quasi-projective category. That moduli space is called in [Lö] the *Miranda moduli space*.

The following table gives a quick, incomplete summary of the main results of this paper.

1.3. **Topology of the moduli spaces.** The orbifold fundamental groups of the moduli spaces above are related to a certain arithmetic groups, as we now explain. Let H denote $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ equipped with its intersection form. This is an even unimodular lattice of signature $(3, 19)$ and these properties characterize H up to (isometric) isomorphism. The orthogonal group $\mathrm{O}(H)$ is an arithmetic lattice in $\mathrm{O}(H_{\mathbb{R}})$, a Lie group isomorphic to the real semisimple Lie group $\mathrm{O}(3, 19)$.

TABLE 1. A summary of some of the results of this paper.

Moduli space	Uniformization	π_1^{orb}	Reference
$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{RF}}$	$\Gamma\backslash \mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_{\mathbb{R}})^\circ$	Γ	§2.1 and Thm.1.3
$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{KE}}$	$\Gamma\backslash E(H_{\mathbb{R}})$	Γ	§2.1 and Thm.1.3
$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{prim}}$	see §4	Γ_e	Thm.4.1
$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{ell}}$	$\Gamma(e)\backslash \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H_{\mathbb{R}})^\circ$	$\widetilde{\Gamma}(e)$	Thm.1.4-(3)
$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}$	$T^{20}\times[0,\infty)$- bundle over $\Gamma(e)\backslash \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H_{\mathbb{R}})^\circ$	$\Gamma_e\times_{\Gamma(e)}\widetilde{\Gamma}(e)$	Thm.1.5

Let $\mathrm{Mod}(M):=\pi_0(\mathrm{Diff}(M))$ be the smooth mapping class group of M. The action of $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ on M induces a representation

$$\rho:\mathrm{Mod}(M)\to \mathrm{O}(H)$$

whose image, which we will denote by Γ, is *a priori* contained in the index 2 subgroup $\mathrm{O}^+(H)\subset \mathrm{O}(H)$ preserving the spinor orientation, but is in fact known to be equal to that group [B].

Let $e\in H$ be a primitive isotropic vector. As we explain in more detail in §2.2 below, the lattice

$$H(e):=e^\perp/\mathbb{Z}e$$

is even, unimodular lattice of signature $(2,18)$ (properties which character-ize its isomorphism type). The natural action of the Γ- stabilizer Γ_e of e on $H(e)$ induces a representation

$$\Gamma_e\to \mathrm{O}(H(e))$$

whose image has index 2 (it is defined by a spinor orientation), and will be denoted by $\Gamma(e)$. This gives a (noncanonically split) short exact sequence

$$0\to H(e)\xrightarrow{E}\Gamma_e\to\Gamma(e)\to 1$$

where $H(e)$ can be identified with the unipotent radical of Γ_e, consisting of those elements of Γ_e acting trivially on $H(e)$. We can now state:

Theorem 1.3 (**Topology of** $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{prim}}, \mathcal{M}_{KE}$, **and** $\mathcal{M}_{RF}$). *The map on orbifold fundamental groups induced by the diagram*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{prim}}\to\mathcal{M}_{RF}\to\mathcal{M}_{KE}$$

is (up to conjugacy) naturally isomorphic to

$$\Gamma_e\subset\Gamma=\Gamma.$$

The new part of Theorem 1.3 concerns $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{prim}}$; the other claims are recalled in §2.1.

In what follows, we choose a fixed elliptic fibration $\pi : M \to S$ with only nodal fibers as singular fibers. The discriminant D of π is then a 24 element subset of S. We use this fibration as a basepoint for the various moduli spaces introduced here.

The group of isotopy classes of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of the pair (S, D) is the spherical braid group. That group is generated by the set of elementary braids. An *elementary braid* is given by an arc in S that connects two distinct points of D but whose interior avoids D (it is rather an isotopy class of such) and the associated elementary braid is a half Dehn twist whose support is contained in a regular neighborhood of that arc, so that it exchanges the two points of D. It is not hard to show that an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism of (S, D) lifts to M. But in order that it represents a loop in a moduli space of genus one fibrations, it must lift in a fiber preserving manner, and this is not the case unless special conditions (that pertain to the restriction of $\pi : M \to S$ to that arc) are met.

The symmetric space of the orthogonal group $\mathrm{O}(H_{\mathbb{R}})$ is the Grassmannian of positive 2-planes in $H(e)_{\mathbb{R}}$, denoted $\mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_{\mathbb{R}})$. Let

$$\mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_{\mathbb{R}})^\circ \subset \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_{\mathbb{R}})$$

denote the locus of positive 2-planes that have no (-2)-vector in their orthogonal complement. It is of course $\Gamma(e)$-invariant.

The following theorem gives a uniformization via a period mapping of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{ell}}$; uses this to compute $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{ell}})$; and gives a modular interpretation of certain degenerations in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{ell}}$. The first item is likely known to experts.

Theorem 1.4 (**Topology of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{ell}}$**). *The orbit space $\Gamma(e) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_{\mathbb{R}})$ is a locally symmetric quasi-projective orbifold and*

   (1) there is an explicit period mapping (a morphism of orbifolds)

$$P : \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{ell}} \to \Gamma(e) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_{\mathbb{R}})$$

   that is an open embedding with image $\Gamma(e) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_{\mathbb{R}})^\circ$, the complement of an irreducible, locally symmetric hypersurface.

   (2) The general point of this hypersurface represents a genus one fibration of M with a fiber of Kodaira type I_2 ([1]). .

   (3) The period map P exhibits $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{ell}})$ as a group $\widetilde{\Gamma}(e)$ in an extension of groups

(1.4) $$1 \to \pi_1(\mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_{\mathbb{R}})^\circ) \to \widetilde{\Gamma}(e) \to \Gamma(e) \to 1,$$

---

[1]Recall that such a fiber is the union of two copies of $\mathbb{P}^1$ intersecting transversally in 2 points.

where $\pi_1(\mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_{\mathbb{R}})^\circ)$ is normally generated by the square of an elementary spherical braid in S defined by an arc connecting two discriminant points along which there is a degeneration into a singular fiber of Kodaira type I_2-fiber.

(4) *The natural fiberwise-involution of the universal elliptic fibering (which can be regarded as an element of the inertia subgroup of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{ell}})$) maps to minus the identity in $\Gamma(e)$.*

The following theorems is a computation of the oribifold fundamental group of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}$.

Theorem 1.5 (**Topology of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}$**). *There is a diagram of split short exact sequences*

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & H(e) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{ell}}) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
& & \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H(e) & \xrightarrow{\ E\ } & \Gamma_e & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(e) & \longrightarrow & 1
\end{array}
$$

In particular, the right hand square is cartesian, so that

$$
\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}) \cong \Gamma_e \times_{\Gamma(e)} \widetilde{\Gamma}(e).
$$

We will prove the following theorem in 5.1.

Theorem 1.6 (**Topology of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{nod}}$**). *The inclusion $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{nod}} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}$ induces a surjection on orbifold fundamental groups whose kernel is normally generated by the lift to M of a third power of an elementary braid defined by an arc in S connecting two nodal fibers along which there is a degeneration into a cuspidal fiber.*

Remark 1.7. We have not been able to obtain for $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{nod}}$ a concrete description of the same type as we have for the other moduli spaces. This is because we do not know how read off from the Hodge structure of a genus one fibration on M whether it has a cuspidal fiber.

1.4. **Universal Jacobian fibrations.** Our next main result is a modular interpretation of Theorem 1.5, which we now explain. Associated to any K3 surface X endowed with holomorphic integral genus 1 fibration $\pi : X \to S$ is a *Jacobian fibration*

$$
\mathrm{Jac}(\pi) \to S,
$$

which is an elliptically fibered K3 surface that has the same base and the same discriminant as π, but replaces each smooth fiber with its Jacobian. The fibration $\mathrm{Jac}(\pi) \to S$ comes with a holomorphic section, namely its zero section, whereas π need not have one; indeed, the two fibrations are

(fiberwise) isomorphic if and only if π admits a section. This construction globalizes to a 'universal Jacobian' map

$$\text{Jac} : \mathcal{M}_{\text{int}} \to \mathcal{M}_{\text{int}}^{\text{ell}}$$

converting holomorphic genus 1 fibrations to elliptic fibrations. See §5 for more details.

Theorem 1.8 (**The universal Jacobian construction**). *In the diagram of moduli spaces*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{int}} \xrightarrow{\text{Jac}} \mathcal{M}_{\text{int}}^{\text{ell}}$$

the forgetful map appears as a section of Jac. *The map* Jac *factors as an* $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \otimes H(e)$-*torsor over* $\mathcal{M}_{\text{int}}^{\text{ell}} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ *followed by projection onto* $\mathcal{M}_{\text{int}}^{\text{ell}}$ *such that the forgetful map defines a section of the torsor over* $\mathcal{M}_{\text{int}}^{\text{ell}} \times \{1\}$. *In particular, the induced maps on* π_1^{orb} *induce the long exact sequence of Theorem 1.5 as well as its splitting.*

1.5. **Two applications.** The above results on moduli spaces of elliptic fibrations have applications to the smooth mapping class group $\text{Mod}(M) := \pi_0(\text{Diff}(M))$. If we are given a particular structure $\mathscr{S}$ on M (such as an elliptic fibration with only nodal fibers as singular fibers), then there is an associated mapping class group $\text{Mod}_{\mathscr{S}}(M)$, defined as the connected component group of the group of diffeomorphisms of M that preserve this structure. $\text{Mod}_{\mathscr{S}}(M)$ comes with a forgetful homomorphism $\text{Mod}_{\mathscr{S}}(M) \to \text{Mod}(M)$. The connection with the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathscr{S}}$ comes from the fact that if $\mathcal{M}_{\mathscr{S}}$ is connected then the monodromy of universal bundle over $\mathcal{M}_{\mathscr{S}}$ induces a representation

$$\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathscr{S}}) \to \text{Mod}_{\mathscr{S}}(M).$$

The period mappings constructed in this paper produce homomorphisms $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(\mathcal{M}) \to \Gamma$ that factor through $\text{Mod}(M)$ and whose image we can often determine. For example, the connectedness of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{nod}}$ implies the following (see §6 for details).

Theorem 1.9 (**Moishezon for maps**). *Given any* $\gamma \in O(H)^+$ *fixing a nonzero, isotropic vector* $e \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ *there exists:*

(1) A complex structure J on M;

(2) A holomorphic (with respect to J) elliptic fibration $\pi : M \to \mathbb{P}^1$ *whose fibers have homology class e.*

(3) A fiber-preserving (with respect to π*) diffeomorphism* $f : M \to M$ *such that* $f_* = \gamma$.

Items 1 and 2 of Theorem 1.9 are due to Moishezon (see ([FM], Cor. 7.5)), and give a new proof of that result for K3 surfaces.

As a second application of results we will deduce the following (see Theorem 6.2 below for a more precise statement).

Corollary 1.10 (Nielsen realization for $H(e)$). *Given a genus one fibration of a M with only nodal fibers and fiber class e, then $H(e)$, when regarded as a subgroup of the Γ_e, lifts to a group of fiber-preserving diffeomorphisms of M.*

Corollary 1.10 explains how the abelian group $R_u(\Gamma_e) \cong H(e)$ of rank 20 appears in algebraic geometry as a monodromy group: if we fix an elliptic K3 surface $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ with section σ, then it is the monodromy group of the family of genus one fibered K3 surfaces whose Jacobian fibration 'is' the pair (π, σ). The group of diffeomorphisms given in Corollary 1.10 can be thought of as a Mordell-Weil group of rank 20 in the smooth category, where we note that the maximal rank in the holomorphic category is at most 18. A subsequent paper will be devoted to these mapping class groups.

1.6. **Conventions on orbifold groups and orbifold structures on moduli spaces.** In this paper, an *orbifold* appears always as a global quotient, i.e., as an orbit space $\Gamma \backslash T$ of a smooth manifold T by a group Γ acting properly discontinuously by diffeomorphisms on T. In case T is simply-connected, we declare the *orbifold fundamental group* of $\Gamma \backslash T$ to be $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\Gamma \backslash T) := \Gamma$. Note that we here allow the action to be non-faithful; we call its kernel, necessarily finite, the *inertia subgroup* of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\Gamma \backslash T)$. If T is only connected and $\tilde{T} \to T$ is a universal covering with Galois group $\pi_1(T)$, then the set of all lifts of all elements of Γ to $\tilde{T}$ is a group $\tilde{\Gamma}$ that is an extension $\tilde{\Gamma}$ of Γ by $\pi_1(T)$. This group acts properly discontinuously on $\tilde{T}$ and we regard the evident bijection $\tilde{\Gamma} \backslash \tilde{T} \to \Gamma \backslash T$ as an isomorphism of orbifolds, so that $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is the orbifold fundamental group of T.

We use a similar convention for moduli spaces. These will exclusively concern a class of structures (denoted $\mathscr{S}$) that we can put on a manifold M, where we assume $\mathscr{S}$ closed under pullback by a diffeomorphisms of M. Then *grosso modo* a moduli space for $\mathscr{S}$-structures on M puts an orbifold structure on the set of $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$-equivalence classes in $\mathscr{S}$. To be precise, consider orbifolds $\Gamma \backslash T$ with T simply connected that parametrize diffeomorphism classes of $\mathscr{S}$-structures on M as follows: we are given a smooth fiber bundle $\mathcal{U} \to T$ with fiber diffeomorphic to M and with an $\mathscr{S}$-structure given on each fiber in a smoothly varying manner. We also assume that the Γ-action on T has been lifted to $\mathcal{U}$ in a way that preserves this structure. Then we say that such a family is *universal* up to Γ-action if for every family $\mathcal{U}' \to T'$ of this type (so with T' simply-connected, but here no group

Γ' acting on it is assumed) fits in cartesian diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{U}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{U} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
T' & \longrightarrow & T
\end{array}
$$

with the pair of horizontal maps being structure preserving and being unique for this property up to postcomposition with an element of Γ. In particular (take T' a singleton) a structure-preserving isomorphism between two fibers of $\mathcal{U} \to T$ is then always induced by an element of Γ. It is not hard to show that $\mathcal{U} \to T$ is unique up to the Γ-action (one may think of this as an almost final object of a category: it is unique up to Γ-action). We then say that $\Gamma\backslash(\mathcal{U} \to T)$ (or simply $\Gamma\backslash T$, when the remaining data are understood) is the *moduli space* for manifolds diffeomorphic with M and endowed with an $\mathcal{S}$-structure.

1.7. **Connection with spherical braids.**

We conclude this introduction with a final remark and question. The closure of the image of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{ell}} \to \mathcal{M}_{(24)}^{\leq 2}$ is the set of degree 24 divisors (so with multiplicities ≤ 2) that can be given by the sum of a cube and a square, i.e, that lie in a linear system generated by $3D_0$ and $2D_1$ with D_0 and D_1 positive divisors of degree 8 resp. 12 (see for instance the discussion in § 2.1 of [HL]). If we denote that locus by $\mathscr{D}_{(24)}^{\leq 2} \subset \mathcal{M}_{(24)}^{\leq 2}$, then the resulting map $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{ell}} \to \mathscr{D}_{(24)}^{\leq 2}$ is of degree one and is quasi-finite (i.e., has finite fibers).

Lönne determined in [Lö] the induced map on orbifold fundamental groups $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{ell}}) \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathcal{M}_{(24)})$ (where the latter is also known as the spherical braid group). The map $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{ell}} \to \mathscr{D}_{(24)}^{\leq 2}$ it is not proper, because we do not allow Kodaira fibers of type I_2, which also have discriminant multiplicity 2. We can however show that the restriction to reduced discriminants:

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{nod}}^{\mathrm{ell}} \to \mathscr{D}_{(24)} = \mathscr{D}_{(24)}^{\leq 2} \cap \mathcal{M}_{(24)}
$$

gives a local homeomorphism that is also a normalization. Yet we do not know the answer to the following.

Question 1.11. What is $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathscr{D}_{(24)})$? What is its image $\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\mathcal{M}_{(24)})$?

2. PRELIMINARY MATERIAL

In this section we present some preliminary material that will be used throughout the paper.

2.1. **Period mappings for moduli spaces of Ricci-flat metrics.** We now recall the construction of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{RF}}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{KE}}$, as well as their associated period mappings and use this to determine their fundamental groups.

Fix a K3 manifold M. As recalled in the introduction, M is oriented for which $H := H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$, endowed with the intersection pairing, is an even unimodular lattice of signature $(3, 19)$ and $H_{\mathbb{R}} = H^2(M; \mathbb{R})$ comes with a spinor orientation. Both are preserved by the action of $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ on H and its image Γ is all of $\mathrm{O}(H) \cap \mathrm{O}^+(H_{\mathbb{R}})$. This is subgroup of index two in $\mathrm{O}(H)$ that does not contain minus the identity, and so has trivial center. In particular, Γ acts faithfully on the Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_{\mathbb{R}})$ of positive 3-planes in $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ (which, we recall, is the symmetric space of $\mathrm{O}(H_{\mathbb{R}})$).

Definition 2.1 (**Torelli space $\mathcal{T}(M)$ of Ricci-flat metrics**). *Let $\mathcal{T}(M)$ be the space of isometry classes of K3 manifolds X equipped with a Ricci-flat metric g of unit volume and an H-marking, that is, an isomorphism $H^2(X) \to H$ which preserves the intersection pairing and the spinor orientation. The space $\mathcal{T}(M)$ is called the* Torelli space *of M.*

Let $\mathrm{Diff}_H(M)$ denote the kernel of the representation $\mathrm{Diff}(M) \to \mathrm{O}(H)$, so that $\mathrm{Diff}(M)/\mathrm{Diff}_H(M)$ can be identified with Γ. The space $\mathcal{T}(M)$ can then be characterized as the $\mathrm{Diff}_H(M)$-orbit space of the space of unit volume, Ricci-flat metrics on M. It comes with a natural Γ-action.

Remark 2.1. Another option would be to work with the Teichmüller space, defined as a $\mathrm{Diff}(M)^0$ orbit space of the space of unit volume, Ricci-flat metrics on M. Each connected component of that space is isomorphic to $\mathcal{T}(M)$ and the *Torelli group* $\mathrm{Diff}_H(M)/\mathrm{Diff}(M)^0$ permutes them simply transitively. It is still an open question whether the Torelli group of M is trivial.

Let $\mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_{\mathbb{R}})$ denote the Grasmannian of positive 3-planes in $H_{\mathbb{R}}$. Assigning to a metric g on M the space P_g of its self-dual harmonic 2-forms on (M, g) defines a Γ-equivariant *period mapping*

(2.1) $$\mathcal{T}(M) \to \mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_{\mathbb{R}}).$$

The Torelli Theorem for Kähler-Einstein K3 surfaces (see for instance [Loo]) asserts that P is an open embedding with image

$$\mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_{\mathbb{R}})^\circ \subset \mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_{\mathbb{R}})$$

the set of positive 3-planes in $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ that have no (-2)-vector of H in their orthogonal complement. The set of such planes is a Γ-invariant, locally finite union of codimension 3 submanifolds, so that $\mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_{\mathbb{R}})^\circ$ is open in $\mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_{\mathbb{R}})$. The induced map

$$P : \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{RF}} \to \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_{\mathbb{R}})^\circ$$

is a diffeomorphism of orbifolds. Since $\mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_\mathbb{R})$ is a nonpositively curved symmetric space, it is contractible, and since $\mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_\mathbb{R})^\circ$ is the complement of codimension 3 submanifolds, it follows that $\pi_1(\mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_\mathbb{R})^\circ) = 0$, and so there is an isomorphism

$$P_* : \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{RF}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Gamma,$$

where the left-hand side is an orbifold fundamental group.

It is shown in [Loo] that $\mathcal{T}(M)$ supports a family

$$\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{T}(M)} \to \mathcal{T}(M) \cong \mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_\mathbb{R})^\circ$$

of K3-manifolds endowed with a unit volume, Ricci-flat metric to which the Γ-action lifts. This family has the universal property of the conventions on moduli spaces in §1.6.

This in turn leads to a universal H-marked family of K3 surfaces endowed with a unit volume, Ricci-flat Kähler (or Kähler-Einstein) metric as follows. The twistor construction shows that for a given Ricci-flat metric on M, the set of the complex structures on M for which this metric is a Kähler metric is a 2-sphere, and if we do this universally we find a 2-sphere bundle $p_E :$ $E(M) \to \mathcal{T}(M)$ such that the pull-back

$$p_E^* \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{T}(M)} \to E(M)$$

yields the universal family in question.

The space $E(M)$ can also be described in terms of the period map: if a Ricci-flat metric on M defines the positive 3-plane $P \subset H_\mathbb{R}$, then the 2-sphere can be identified with the sphere of radius $\sqrt{2}$ in P. Indeed, the imaginary part of the Kähler metric is a closed 2-form whose class is a $\kappa_P \in P$ with self-product 2 (this reflects the fact that the metric is unital). We denote the corresponding 2-sphere bundle by $E(H_\mathbb{R}) \to \mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_\mathbb{R})$, so that $E(M)$ gets identified with $E(H_\mathbb{R})^\circ$, the restriction of $E(H_\mathbb{R})$ to $\mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_\mathbb{R})^\circ$.

This entire picture is Γ-equivariant, and so the map descends to a diffeomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{RF}} \to \Gamma \backslash E(M),$$

making $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{KE}}$ into a moduli space in the sense of the conventions on moduli spaces in §1.6. Since p_E is an S^2-bundle, the projection $\Gamma \backslash E(H_\mathbb{R}) \to \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_\mathbb{R})^\circ$ induces an isomorphism on orbifold fundamental groups, so that

$$(p_E)_* : \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{KE}}) \to \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{RF}}) \cong \Gamma$$

is an isomorphism.

2.2. **Groups and lattices attached to a primitive isotropic vector.** This subsection is purely group-theoretic. It gives the structure of the stabilizer in the arithmetic group Γ of an isotropic vector. This will be crucial for describing the fundamental groups of various moduli spaces of holomorphic genus 1 fibrations of M.

In the rest of this paper we fix a primitive isotropic vector $e \in H$, so with $e \cdot e = 0$. It does not matter which one we choose because all such vectors belong to the same Γ-orbit. This follows from the following well-known fact about lattices (see for instance [LP]): if L is an even lattice, which such as H, has a copy $\mathbf{U} \perp \mathbf{U}$ as a direct summand (here $\mathbf{U}$ stands for the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^2$ endowed with the quadratic form $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mapsto xy$), then its orthogonal group acts transitively on the primitive vectors of a given length. Any such vector is represented in one of the $\mathbf{U}$-summands and it then it follows (by exchanging the basis vectors of the other copy of $\mathbf{U}$) that the subgroup $O^+(L)$ that preserve each spinor orientation will have the same property.

We next make some observations regarding the Γ-stabilizer Γ_e. This stabilizer leaves invariant the short flag $\{0\} \subset \mathbb{Z}e \subset e^\perp \subset H$. We shall write H_e for $e^\perp$ and $H(e)$ for $e^\perp/\mathbb{Z}e$. We may identify the latter with the image of H_e under the map $e\wedge : H \to \wedge^2 H$ so that $H(e) \cong e \wedge H_e$. The real vector space $H(e)_{\mathbb{R}}$ has signature $(2, 18)$ and inherits from H a spinor orientation: its bundle of positive defintite 2-planes comes with an orientation.

Since H/H_e can be identified with the dual of $\mathbb{Z}e$, the group Γ_e acts trivially on it and so the unipotent radical $R_u(\Gamma_e)$ of Γ_e consists of the elements of Γ_e that act also trivially on $H(e)$. We denote the image of Γ_e in the orthogonal group of $H(e)$ by $\Gamma(e)$.

The following proposition collects some useful properties of these groups and lattices.

Proposition 2.2 (**Properties of Γ_e and related lattices**). *With the notation as above, the following hold:*

- *(1) The lattice $H(e)$ is even unimodular of signature $(2, 18)$ and comes with a natural spinor orientation.*
- *(2) $\Gamma(e)$ is the index 2 subgroup of $O(H(e))$ that preserves this spinor orientation.*
- *(3) There is a (noncanonically split) exact sequence*

$$0 \to H(e) \xrightarrow{E} \Gamma_e \to \Gamma(e) \to 1$$

  that identifies $H(e)$ with the unipotent radical $R_u(\Gamma_e)$ of Γ_e. Here we represent $\gamma \in H(e)$ as a 2-vector $e \wedge \gamma \in \wedge^2 H$ with $\gamma \in H_e$ as above and E assigns to the latter the Eichler transformation

$$E(e \wedge \gamma) : x \in H_{\mathbb{Q}} \mapsto x + (x \cdot e)\gamma - (x \cdot \gamma)e - \tfrac{1}{2}(\gamma \cdot \gamma)(x \cdot e)e \in H_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

(4) $\Gamma(e)$ *contains the central element* -1 *and acts transitively on the set of all primitive vectors in* $H(e)$ *of a given self-product.*

(5) *The* (-2)-*vectors in* H_e *make up a* Γ_e-*orbit, and the group of Eichler transformations that we identified with* $H(e)$ *acts transitively on the set of* (-2)-*vectors in* $H(e)$ *that lie over a given* (-2)-*vector of* $H(e)$.

Proof. Choose $e' \in H$ such that $e' \cdot e = 1$. Upon replacing e' by $e' - \frac{1}{2}(e'.e')e$ we can and will assume that $e' \cdot e' = 0$ so that (e, e') spans a copy U of $\mathbf{U}$. Its orthogonal complement $U^\perp$ is then an even unimodular lattice of signature $(2, 18)$ and hence isomorphic to $\mathbf{E_8}(-1) \perp \mathbf{E_8}(-1) \perp \mathbf{U} \perp \mathbf{U}$. It is clear that $U^\perp$ maps isomorphically onto $H(e)$. It is known that the reflections in the (-2)-vectors of such a lattice generate the index 2 subgroup of its orthogonal group that preserves spinor orientations and that the primitive vectors of a given self-product make up a single orbit under that group. So $H(e)$ has that property. This also implies that $\Gamma(e) = \mathrm{O}^+(H(e))$. That the latter contains -1 is clear.

We next exhibit the exact sequence. Any orthogonal transformation of $H_{\mathbb{Q}}$ which fixes e and acts trivially on $H(e)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is of the form

$$E(e \wedge \gamma) : x \in H_{\mathbb{Q}} \mapsto x + (x \cdot e)\hat{\gamma} - (x \cdot \hat{\gamma})e - \tfrac{1}{2}(\gamma \cdot \gamma)(x \cdot e)e \in H_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

for some $\gamma \in H_{e,\mathbb{Q}}$ (this indeed only depends on $e \wedge \gamma$, that is, only depends on the image γ' of γ in $H(e)_{\mathbb{Q}}$). Note that if $x \in H_{e,\mathbb{Q}}$, then $E(e \wedge \gamma)$ takes x to $x - (x \cdot \hat{\gamma})e$. So if we ask that this transformation preserves the lattice H, then we must have that $x \cdot \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $x \in H_e$. Since $H(e)$ is unimodular, this amounts to $\gamma' \in H(e)$. But this necessary condition clearly also suffices. We thus identify the kernel $\Gamma_e \to \Gamma(e)$ with $H(e)$. The subgroup $\Gamma_e \cap \Gamma_{e'} \subset \Gamma_e$ maps isomorphically onto $\Gamma(e)$ and so provides a splitting of the displayed exact sequence.

The (-2)-vectors in $H(e)$ form a single $\Gamma(e)$-orbit, and so it remains to prove that if $\alpha, \alpha' \in H_e$ are (-2)-vectors with the same image in $H(e)$, then there exists an Eichler transformation of the above type that takes α to α'. Clearly $\alpha - \alpha' = ne$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since H_e is unimodular there exists a $\gamma \in H_e$ is such that $\alpha \cdot \gamma = 1$, and then $E(e \wedge n\gamma)$ takes α to $\alpha - ne = \alpha'$. $\quad\square$

3. Moduli spaces of elliptic K3-surfaces: the proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section we prove Theorem 1.4.

3.1. The integrality criterion.
We begin with some basic facts that we will need; we refer to [LP] for the assertions stated here without proof.

Let X be a K3 surface. The space $H^{1,1}(X)$, which is the orthogonal complement of $H^{2,0}(X) \oplus \overline{H^{2,0}(X)}$ in $H^2(X; \mathbb{C})$, is defined over $\mathbb{R}$, and the intersection form restricted to $H^{1,1}(X; \mathbb{R})$ has signature $(1, 19)$. The spinor orientation on X singles out a connected component $\mathscr{C}_X^+$ of the space of

$x \in H^{1,1}(X;\mathbb{R})$ with $x \cdot x > 0$: it is the connected component that contains all the Kähler classes. Let κ be one such class.

A class in $H^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ is representable by a divisor if and only if it lies in $H^{1,1}(X)$. The linear equivalence class of that divisor is then unique since $H^1(X, O_X) = 0$, so that

$$\mathrm{Pic}(X) = H^2(X;\mathbb{Z}) \cap H^{1,1}(X) = H^2(X;\mathbb{Z}) \cap H^{2,0}(X)^\perp.$$

If $v \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is nonzero and such that $v \cdot v \geq 0$ or $v \cdot v = -2$, then either v or $-v$ is the class of a positive divisor, depending on the sign of $v \cdot \kappa$. The positive divisors thus obtained generate the whole semigroup $\mathrm{Pic}^+(X) \subset \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ of positive divisor classes.

A special role is played by the classes of smooth curves on X of genus 0 and 1, often called *nodal* resp. *elliptic* classes. The adjunction formula shows that the self-intersection number is then -2 resp. 0. The semigroup $\mathrm{Pic}^+(X)$ is already generated by the nodal classes, the primitive elliptic classes and $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \cap \mathscr{C}_X^+$.

Each nodal class α defines an orthogonal reflection s_α in $H^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ defined by $x \mapsto x + (\alpha \cdot x)\alpha$. It preserves both $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ and $\mathscr{C}_X^+$. The set of all reflections in nodal classes generate a Coxeter subgroup $W_X \subset O(H)$ (which together with this generating set make it a Coxeter system) that acts as such on $H^{1,1}(X;\mathbb{R})$ with 'fundamental chamber' the cone

$$C_X := \{x \in H^{1,1}(X;\mathbb{R}) \ : \ x \cdot \alpha \geq 0 \text{ for every nodal class } \alpha\}.$$

The W_X-orbit of C_X contains $\mathscr{C}_X^+$ and so $C_X \cap \mathscr{C}_X^+$ is a fundamental domain for the action of W_X on $\mathscr{C}_X^+$. The following is well known ([LP]):

Lemma 3.1 (**Roots in** $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$)**.** *Every $\alpha \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ with $\alpha \cdot \alpha = -2$ is a* root *for W_X: it lies in the W_X-orbit of a nodal class and α can be written as a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination of nodal classes for which all nonzero coefficients have the same sign (and we call accordingly α a* positive *or a* negative *root; is also the sign of the function $x \mapsto x \cdot \alpha$ on the interior of C_X).*

A class in $H^2(X;\mathbb{R})$ is a Kähler class if and only it lies in $\mathscr{C}_X^+$ and has positive intersection product with every nodal class (this is equivalent to it lying in the interior of $C_X \cap \mathscr{C}_X^+$). Any class in $\mathscr{C}_X^+$ not fixed by a reflection in a root lies in the W_X-orbit of a Kähler class.

A primitive elliptic class e defines a dominant weight: it lies in C_X. It also defines a 1-dimensional linear system $|e|$, and this linear system is a genus one fibration of X over a copy of $\mathbb{P}^1$. The irreducible components of the reducible fibers of this fibration are nodal and have zero intersection number with e. This has an important implication, namely that if d is the class of a positive divisor, then $d \cdot e \geq 0$ with the equality sign implying that d is a nonnegative combination of irreducible components of fibers of $|e|$.

The discussion above implies the following.

Proposition 3.2 (**Integrality criterion**)**.** *Let* $e \in \mathrm{Pic}^+(X)$ *be primitive with* $e \cdot e = 0$. *Then the following hold:*

(1) *e is representable as a sum of an elliptic class e' plus a nonnegative linear combination of nodal classes, and lies in the W_X-orbit of e'.*

(2) *e is the class of a genus one fibration if and only if e is dominant weight, that is $e \cdot \alpha \geq 0$ for every nodal class.*

(3) *The fibration has only integral fibers if and only if e is strictly dominant in the sense $e \cdot \alpha > 0$ for every nodal class.*

We also have the following.

Corollary 3.3. *Let e be the class of a genus one fibration with only integral fibers. If σ is the class of a section, then $\kappa := 3e + \sigma$ is the class of a Kähler metric.*

Proof. We verify that κ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.1, i.e., that $\kappa \in \mathscr{C}_X^+$ and that κ has positive intersection product with every nodal class.

We first observe that σ is represented by a smooth genus zero curve and hence nodal. Thus $\sigma \cdot \sigma = -2$, and so $\kappa \cdot \sigma = 3 \cdot 1 - 2 = 1 > 0$. Since $\kappa \cdot e = 1$, it the follows that $\kappa \cdot \kappa = 3 \cdot 1 + 1 = 4$. So $\kappa \in \mathscr{C}_X^+$. If α is a nodal class different from σ, then $\alpha \cdot v > 0$ and $\alpha \cdot \sigma \geq 0$ and hence $\kappa \cdot \alpha \geq 0$. $\qquad\square$

Remark 3.4. The class κ is in fact the class of an ample divisor. It defines on X a basepoint-free linear system $|\kappa|$ of dimension 3, but is not very ample: the resulting map X to $\mathbb{P}^3$ has as image a twisted cubic Y (a smooth rational curve of degree 3) such that the map $X \to Y$ is the given elliptic fibration. However, 3κ is very ample.

3.2. **Kähler-Einstein metrics: proof of Proposition 1.1.** Fix a primitive isotropic vector $e \in H$. Suppose M is endowed with a Ricci-flat metric g with associated positive oriented 3-plane $P \subset H_{\mathbb{R}}$. As mentioned above, every $\kappa \in P$ with $\kappa \cdot \kappa = 2$ determines a complex structure J on M for which the given metric is Kähler and has κ as its class. The Hodge structure of this complex structure can be recovered from the *oriented* 2-plane $\Pi := \kappa^{\perp} \cap P$ by means of the recipe described in Subsection 1.1.

The spinor orientation on $H(e)_{\mathbb{R}}$ implies that each positive 2-plane $\Pi \subset H(e)_{\mathbb{R}}$ defines a Hodge structure $H_{\Pi}^{\bullet,\bullet}$ on $H(e)$ that is polarized by the given pairing: if (x, y) is an oriented orthonormal basis of Π, then $H_{\Pi}^{2,0}$ is the $\mathbb{C}$-span of $x + \sqrt{-1}y$, $H_{\Pi}^{0,2}$ its complex conjugate and $H_{\Pi}^{1,1}$ is the complexification of $\Pi^{\perp}$. This gives the symmetric space $\mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_{\mathbb{R}})$ the structure of a bounded symmetric domain. Since $\Gamma(e)$ is a finite index subgroup of the orthogonal group of $H(e)$, the Baily-Borel theory tells us that the orbit space $\Gamma(e) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_{\mathbb{R}})$ is then in a natural way a quasi-projective variety.

Similarly, a positive 2-plane $\tilde{\Pi}$ in $H_{e,\mathbb{R}}$ defines a Hodge structure (but no longer polarized) on H_e. The projection $H_e \to H(e)$ becomes a morphism of Hodge structures if we endow $H(e)$ with the Hodge structure defined by the image of $\tilde{\Pi}$.

Proof of Proposition 1.1. There is precisely one $\kappa \in P$ with $\kappa \cdot \kappa = 2$ such that the linear form $x \in P \mapsto \kappa \cdot x$ is a positive multiple of $x \in P \mapsto e \cdot x$. This κ lifts g to a Kähler-Einstein structure (g, J) with the property that e is perpendicular to $H^{2,0}(M, J)$. It follows that e is of type $(1, 1)$ and $\kappa \cdot e > 0$. Proposition 3.2 then tells us that e is the class of positive divisor of the type stated here. $\square$

3.3. **Periods of elliptic fibrations: proof of Theorem 1.4.** With all of the above in hand, we can now prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Item (1). Let us first make explicit the period mapping in question. Fix a $\sigma \in H$ with $e \cdot \sigma = 1$ and $\sigma \cdot \sigma = -2$. The classes $\{e, \sigma\}$ span a copy $U \subset H$ of the basic hyperbolic lattice $\mathbf{U}$. Further, $U^{\perp}$, which is contained in $e^{\perp} = H_e$, maps isomorphically onto $H(e)$.

Suppose that X is an elliptically fibered $K3$-surface with only integral fibers. This gives a fiber class e_X and a section class σ_X spanning a copy $U_X \subset H^2(X)$ of $\mathbf{U}$ as above. Then there exists a marking $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H$ that takes e_X to e and σ_X to σ. This marking is induced by a diffeomorphism and we use that diffeomorphism to pull back all structure on X to M, so that M gets a complex structure J for which e is the class of an elliptic, integral fibration that has σ as the class of its section. The orbit of this structure under the action of the stabilizer of $\{e, \sigma\}$ in $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ on M independent of our choices.

The Hodge structure of (M, J) is given by an oriented, positive 2-plane in $U_{\mathbb{R}}^{\perp}$, which therefore is given by an oriented positive 2-plane $\Pi \subset U_{\mathbb{R}}^{\perp}$. By Proposition 3.2, $\Pi^{\perp} \cap H_e$ does not contain any root. Hence if $\Pi' \subset H(e)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the image of Π, then $\Pi'^{\perp} \cap H(e)$ does not contain a (-2)-vector.

The same argument works for families of such surfaces with a simply-connected base T: we then obtain a holomorphic map $T \to \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_{\mathbb{R}})^{\circ}$ that is unique up to postcomposition with an element of $\Gamma(e)$. There is thus an induced period map

$$P : \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{ell}} \to \Gamma(e) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_{\mathbb{R}})^{\circ}.$$

The Torelli theorem implies that P is an isomorphism of quasi-projective varieties.

Let $\alpha \in H(e)$ be such that $\alpha \cdot \alpha = -2$. Then

$$\mathrm{Gr}_2^+(\alpha_{\mathbb{R}}^{\perp}) = \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_{\mathbb{R}})^{s_\alpha}$$

parametrizes the set of those Hodge structures in H for which α is of type $(1, 1)$. A generic point of $\mathrm{Gr}_2^+(\alpha_{\mathbb{R}}^{\perp})$ will have the property that the $(1, 1)$-part is the spanned $\{e, \sigma, \alpha\}$. It is representable by a genus one fibration $\pi : M \to S$ for which e is the fiber class, σ the class of a section and α is the class of a divisor (a root).

Proof of Item (2). By part (4) of Proposition 2.2, the group $\Gamma(e)$ acts transitively on the set $\alpha \in H(e)$ with $\alpha \cdot \alpha = -2$. So the deleted locus in $\Gamma(e) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_{\mathbb{R}})$ (the hypersurface mentioned in the theorem) is irreducible.

We must show that a general element of this hypersurface represents a genus one fibration of M with a fiber of Kodaira type I_2. First note that if the elliptic fibration on M (with fiber class e and section class σ) comes with a type I_2 fiber, then the irreducible components of this fiber are nodal curves. The section intersects only one of these curves, and so if α is the class of the other, then α is a nodal class with $\alpha \cdot \sigma = \alpha \cdot e = 0$. Identifying $H(e)$ with the orthogonal complement of $\mathbb{Z}e + \mathbb{Z}\sigma$ in H (so that α is now regarded as an element of $H(e)$), then it is clear that this elliptic fibration defines an element of $\mathrm{Gr}_2^+(\alpha_{\mathbb{R}}^{\perp})$.

The Torelli theorem shows that the converse also holds: given a (-2)-class $\alpha \in H$ perpendicular to both e and σ, then there exists a complex structure J on M for which the Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(M, J)$ is spanned by $\{e, \sigma, \alpha\}$, where e is the class of an elliptic fibration with section class σ. Replacing α by $-\alpha$ if necessary, we can assume that α is effective. A positive divisor representing α then lies in a finite union of fibers. Since the section represented by σ has zero intersection with this divisor, the fibers in question are all reducible. The class of an irreducible component is perpendicular to both e and σ and hence a multiple of α. It follows that α is represented by a nodal curve contained in a fiber F.

The same argument shows that F has no other irreducible components beside C and the irreducible component C' that meets the section. Since the class of C' is $e - \alpha$, we have $C' \cdot C' = -2$ and so C' is a nodal curve. It also follows that $C \cdot C' = 2$. By means of small deformation of the complex structure we can arrange that C and C' meet transversally so that we get a fiber of Kodaira type I_2.

Proof of Item (3). The identification of orbifold fundamental groups $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ell}}^{\mathrm{int}} \cong \Gamma(e) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_{\mathbb{R}})^{\circ}$ is now clear. In particular, the kernel of

$$\pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\Gamma(e) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_{\mathbb{R}})^{\circ}) \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{orb}}(\Gamma(e) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_{\mathbb{R}})) \cong \Gamma(e)$$

is normally generated by the boundary (in $\mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_{\mathbb{R}})^{\circ}$) of a small closed disk $\varphi : D^2 \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_{\mathbb{R}})$) that is s_{α}-invariant and which meets $\mathrm{Gr}_2^+(\alpha_{\mathbb{R}}^{\perp})$) transversally with $\varphi^{-1} \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(\alpha_{\mathbb{R}}^{\perp})) = \{0\}$. Then we find over D^2 a degenerating

family of elliptic fibrations with central fiber an elliptic fibration with just one non-nodal fiber, that fiber being of type I_2. This descends to a map from the s_α-orbit space of D^2 to $\Gamma(e) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_\mathbb{R}))$ that is transversal to the image of $\mathrm{Gr}_2^+(\alpha_\mathbb{R}^\perp)$ so that the restriction to the boundary represents a simple loop around this hypersurface. The resulting map $\langle s_\alpha \rangle \backslash \partial D^2 \to \mathfrak{S}_{24} \backslash \mathcal{M}_{0,24}$ yields a simple braid of the asserted type (with ∂D^2 naturally lifting to $\mathcal{M}_{0,24}$).

Proof of Item (4). It remains to see that the fiberwise involution ι of an elliptic fibration $M \to \mathbb{P}^1$ acts on $e^\perp/e$ as minus the identity. Or equivalently, that $\mathbb{Q}e + \mathbb{Q}\sigma$ is the fixed-point set of ι in $H^2(M; \mathbb{Q})$. For this it is helpful to recall that an integral elliptic fibration is locally over its base in Weierstraß form: it is given as

$$y^2 = x^3 + a(s)x + b(s)$$

with s a local coordinate on the base. In these coordinates the fiberwise involution is given by

$$\iota(x, y, s) = (x, -y, s).$$

This shows that the orbit space M_ι of the elliptic fibration has the structure of a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$ (the local chart becomes (x, s)) that comes with a section. The space $H^2(M_\iota; \mathbb{Q})$ is spanned by the class of the section and a fiber and these are sent under the natural map $H^2(M_\iota; \mathbb{Q}) \to H^2(M; \mathbb{Q})$ to 2σ and $2e$ respectively. As the image of this map is $H^2(M; \mathbb{Q})^\iota$, the assertion follows.

Remark 3.5. The quotient $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{ell}} := \Gamma(e) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_\mathbb{R})^\circ$ is the moduli space that has been considered by Lönne in [Lö] (for the value $d = 4$ in that paper), the main result being a presentation of its orbifold fundamental group. We here find a description that brings it in relation to $\Gamma(e)$ in a way that is similar to how a braid group relates to a symmetric group: over each (-2)-reflection in $\Gamma(e)$ lies an element of infinite order represented by a simple braid in $\Gamma(e) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_\mathbb{R})^\circ$.

4. Topology of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{prim}}$: proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section we prove Theorem 1.3.

4.1. $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{prim}}$ **and its topology.** Recall that we have fixed a primitive isotropic vector $e \in H$. The locus in $\mathcal{T}(H)$ for which e is the class of a genus one fibration (resp. an integral genus one fibration) will be denoted by $\mathcal{T}(M)_e$ resp. $\mathcal{T}(M)_e^\circ$.

We have seen that the period map gives Γ-equivariant diffeomorphism $\mathcal{T}(M) \cong \mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_\mathbb{R})^\circ$. In terms of this isomorphism we can characterize $\mathcal{T}(M)_e$ and $\mathcal{T}(M)_e^\circ$ as subloci of $\mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_\mathbb{R})^\circ$. Proposition 1.1 associates to every Ricci-flat metric g on M a complex structure J for which g is a Kähler metric (whose class we denote by κ) and e is the class an positive divisor of

a particular type. In order that e is the class of a genus one fibration resp. an integral genus one fibration a necessary and sufficient condition is that for every positive root α we have $\alpha \cdot e \geq 0$ resp. $\alpha \cdot e > 0$. These properties can be entirely stated in terms of the positive 3-plane $P \subset H_{\mathbb{R}}$ defined by g, and so this gives the corresponding characterizations.

The following theorem tells us more about $\mathcal{T}(M)_e$. Except for the claim that it is simply-connected, its assertions can be found, at least implicitly, in the literature. It implies Theorem 1.3. We will given its proof in §4.2 below.

Theorem 4.1. *The locus $\mathcal{T}(M)_e \subset \mathcal{T}(M)$ for which e is the class of a holomorphic genus one fibration of M is open and Γ_e-invariant. The restriction of the universal bundle $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{T}(M)} \to \mathcal{T}(M)$ to $\mathcal{T}(M)_e$ comes with a Γ_e-invariant factorization*

$$\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{T}(M)_e} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{T}(M)_e}$$
$$\mathcal{T}(M)_e$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{T}(M)_e} \to \mathcal{T}(M)_e$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle, thus exhibiting the universal property of this restriction: it is the universal family of H-marked Kähler-Einstein K3 surfaces endowed with a genus one fibration with class e. In particular, the period map defines an isomorphism of orbifolds

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{prim}} \cong \Gamma_e \backslash \mathcal{T}(M)_e.$$

Further, $\pi_1(\mathcal{T}(M)_e) = 0$, so that there is an isomorphism

$$\pi_1(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{prim}}) \cong \Gamma_e.$$

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 4.1.** The construction underlying Proposition 1.1 suggests that we consider the map

$$h' : \mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_{\mathbb{R}}) \quad \to \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H_{e,\mathbb{R}})$$
$$P \quad \mapsto P \cap H_{e,\mathbb{R}}$$

and the map

$$h'' : \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H_{e,\mathbb{R}}) \quad \to \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_{\mathbb{R}})$$
$$\Pi \quad \mapsto \text{image of } \Pi \text{ in } H(e)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

where we note that the positive definite 2-planes in $H_{e,\mathbb{R}}$ and $H(e)$ are naturally oriented, and hence define a weight two Hodge structures on H, H_e and $H(e)$. The maps h' and h'' can be understood accordingly, namely as passing to a Hodge substructure and Hodge quotient structure. The link with Proposition 1.1 is that if P is defined by a Ricci-flat metric on M, then

$h'([P])$ gives the Hodge structure of the associated Kähler-Einstein structure. Observe that h' and h'' are Γ_e-equivariant (where Γ_e acts on $\mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_{\mathbb{R}})$ through $\Gamma(e)$).

Lemma 4.2. *The projection* $h'' : \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H_{e,\mathbb{R}}) \to \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_{\mathbb{R}})$ *lives in the holomorphic category: the target is a Hermitian symmetric domain and* h'' *has the structure of a complex affine line bundle over that domain.*

Proof. It is convenient to identify $\mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H_{e,\mathbb{R}})$ with an open subset of the quadric in $\mathbb{P}(H_{e,\mathbb{C}})$ defined by the pairing; the point associated to Π being the image of the isotropic vector $z = x + \sqrt{-1}y$, where (x, y) is an orthonormal oriented basis of Π_P. Then the fiber of h'' passing through $[z] \in \mathbb{P}(H_{e,\mathbb{C}})$ is the complex affine line parametrized by $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mapsto [z + \lambda e]$. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 4.3. *The map* $h' : \mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_{\mathbb{R}}) \to \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H_{e,\mathbb{R}})$ *is a fiber bundle of real-hyperbolic spaces of dimension* 19.

Proof. Let Π be a positive-definite 2-plane in $H_{e,\mathbb{R}}$. We determine the preimage of $[\Pi] \in \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H_{e,\mathbb{R}})$ under h'. The orthogonal complement of Π in $H_{\mathbb{R}}$, $\Pi^\perp$, has signature $(1, 19)$ and $h'^{-1}[\Pi]$ is identified with the set of $\kappa \in \Pi^\perp$ with $\kappa \cdot \kappa = 2$ and $\kappa \cdot e > 0$. This is indeed a real-hyperbolic space (the symmetric space for the orthogonal group of $\Pi^\perp$). $\qquad\square$

We will be interested in the restriction of the composite

$$h := h'' \circ h' : \mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_{\mathbb{R}}) \to \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_{\mathbb{R}})$$

to $\mathcal{T}(M)_e \subset \mathcal{T}(M) \cong \mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_{\mathbb{R}})$. By Lemma 4.2, h'' has contractible fibers and contractible domain. This is why our focus will be on h', or rather, its restriction to $\mathcal{T}(M)_e$.

To be specific, fix a positive, oriented 2-plane Π in $H_{e,\mathbb{R}}$ and determine the preimage of $[\Pi] \in \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H_{e,\mathbb{R}})$ in $\mathcal{T}(M)$, $\mathcal{T}(M)_e$ and $\mathcal{T}(M)_e^\circ$ (that we denote by resp. $\mathcal{T}(M)_\Pi$, $\mathcal{T}(M)_{e,\Pi}$ and $\mathcal{T}(M)_{e,\Pi}^\circ$). So these preimages will appear as subsets of the real-hyperbolic space given by Lemma 4.3, i.e., the set $\mathcal{H}_\Pi$ of $\kappa \in \Pi^\perp$ with $\kappa \cdot \kappa = 2$ and $\kappa \cdot e > 0$.

We will denote the set of (-2)-vectors in $H \cap \Pi^\perp$ by R_Π and will to refer its elements as *roots*. For any root $\alpha \in R_\Pi$, the associated orthogonal reflection s_α in H leaves Π pointwise fixed and $\mathcal{H}_\Pi$ invariant. These reflections generate a Coxeter subgroup $W(R_\Pi)$ of Γ. The reflection hyperplanes in $\mathcal{H}_\Pi$ are locally finite and the complement of their union (which we shall denote by $\mathcal{H}_\Pi^\circ$ is therefore an open $W(R_\Pi)$-invariant subset. A connected component of $\mathcal{H}_\Pi^\circ$ is called an *open hyperbolic $W(R_\Pi)$-chamber*. The group W_Π permutes these simply transitively.

Lemma 4.4. *Let* $\kappa \in \mathcal{H}_\Pi$. *A necessary and sufficient condition that* $\Pi + \mathbb{R}\kappa$ *is an element of* $\mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_{\mathbb{R}})^\circ$ *is that* $\kappa \in \mathcal{H}_\Pi^\circ$. *In other words,* $\mathcal{T}(M)_\Pi \cong \mathcal{H}_\Pi^\circ$.

Proof. It is clear that $\kappa \in \mathcal{H}_\Pi^\circ$ is equivalent to the orthogonal complement of $\Pi + \mathbb{R}\kappa$ not containing a (-2)-vector, i.e., to $\Pi + \mathbb{R}\kappa$ giving an element of $\mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_\mathbb{R})^\circ$. So any such κ is the class of a Kähler-Einstein structure for which e represents a positive divisor. $\square$

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\kappa \in \mathcal{H}_\Pi$. A necessary and sufficient condition that $\Pi + \mathbb{R}\kappa$ is defined by a genus one fibration (i.e., defines an element of $\mathcal{T}(M)_e$) is that the open hyperbolic chamber which contains κ has the ray defined by e as an improper point: if $\alpha \in R_\Pi$ is such that $\kappa \cdot \alpha > 0$, then $e \cdot \alpha \geq 0$. The chambers with this property are simply transitively permuted by the Coxeter subgroup $W(R_\Pi \cap H_e)$ and their union $\mathcal{K}_\Pi$ is thus identified with $\mathcal{T}(M)_{e,\Pi}$.*

Proof. Proposition 3.2 tells us that e is the class of a genus one fibration (or equivalently, that $\Pi + \mathbb{R}\kappa$ represents a point of $\mathcal{T}(M)_e$) if and only if no reflection hyperplane separates κ from e in the sense that $\kappa \cdot \alpha > 0$ implies $e \cdot \alpha \geq 0$. In other words, the hyperbolic $W(R_\Pi)$-chamber that contains κ must have e as an 'improper point'; that is, it is on the boundary of the hyperbolic space. The last assertion is a standard property of the theory of Coxeter groups. $\square$

Corollary 4.6. *The locus $\mathcal{T}(M)_e$ is simply-connected.*

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{T}(M) \cong \mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_\mathbb{R})^\circ$ is obtained from $\mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_\mathbb{R})$ by removing the fixed point sets of the reflections in (-2)-vectors in H. These are all of codimension 3 and that is why $\mathcal{T}(M)$ is simply-connected.

We shall use a similar argument for $\mathcal{T}(M)_e$, where the role of $\mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_\mathbb{R})$ is played by a subset $U_e \subset \mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_\mathbb{R})$ defined as follows: U_e is the set of positive 3-planes P with the property that for every (-2)-vector $\alpha \in H$ with $\alpha \cdot e > 0$, we also have that $\alpha \cdot e_P > 0$, where e_P is the orthogonal projection of e in P. We first show that U_e is open and contractible.

The positive multiple of e_Π with self-product 2 lies in $\mathcal{H}_\Pi$ and so $h'|U_e : U_e \to \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H_e)$ has as fiber $U_{e,\Pi}$ over $[\Pi]$ the intersection of the half spaces in $\mathcal{H}_\Pi$ defined by $\kappa \cdot \alpha > 0$, where α runs over all $\alpha \in R_\Pi$ with $\alpha \cdot e > 0$. This is an open convex (nonempty) set and so $U_e \to \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H_e)$ has contractible fibers. The local finiteness of the collection of codimension 3-loci $\mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_\mathbb{R})^{s_\alpha}$ implies that U_e is open. Since $\mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H_e)$ is contractible, so is U_e.

The definition of U_e is such that if $\alpha \in H$ is a (-2)-vector for which $\mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_\mathbb{R})^{s_\alpha} \cap U_e$ is nonempty, then $\alpha \cdot e = 0$. The intersection of this intersection is of codimension 3 in U_e and the locally finiteness of these intersections therefore implies that $U_e^\circ := U_e \cap \mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_\mathbb{R})^\circ$ is simply- connected. But for any $[\Pi] \in \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H_e)$, the fiber of $U_e^\circ \to \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H_e)$ over $[\Pi]$ is $\mathcal{K}_\Pi$ and hence U_e° is the image of $\mathcal{T}(M)_e$ in $Gr_3^+(H_\mathbb{R})$. In particular, $\mathcal{T}(M)_e$ is simply-connected. $\square$

Proof of 4.1. It remains to see that the universal bundle $f : \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{T}(M)} \to \mathcal{T}(M)$, when restricted to $\mathcal{T}(M)_e$, $f_e : \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{T}(M)_e} \to \mathcal{T}(M)_e$, factors over a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle as asserted. This amounts to a standard argument: we may regard e as the class of a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{T}(M)_e}$ that is holomorphic on each fiber of f_e. This defines a linear system over $\mathcal{T}(M)_e$ of relative rank one: the direct image $f_* \mathcal{L}$ defines a smooth complex vector bundle over $\mathcal{T}(M)_e$ of rank two. If we let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{T}(M)_e}$ stand for the projectivization of this bundle (strictly speaking of its dual, but that is the same in the rank two case), then this is a smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over $\mathcal{T}(M)_e$ which is holomorphic on each fiber of f_e. The natural map

$$\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{T}(M)_e} \to \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{T}(M)_e}$$

then gives the fiberwise elliptic fibrations over $\mathcal{T}(M)_e$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.   □

For the discussion of the Jacobian fibration in the next section we observe.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\kappa \in \mathcal{H}_\Pi$. A necessary and sufficient condition that $\Pi + \mathbb{R}\kappa$ is defined by an integral genus one fibration on M is that $R_\Pi \cap H_e$ is empty (so that $\mathcal{K}_\Pi = \mathcal{H}_\Pi$). This is equivalent to the image of Π in $H(e)$ having no (-2)-vector in its orthogonal complement.*

4.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.5.** In this subsection we prove most of Theorem 1.8. We begin with the following proposition.

Proposition 4.8. *The image of $\mathcal{T}(M)_e^\circ$ in $\mathrm{Gr}_3^+(H_\mathbb{R})$ is equal to $h^{-1} \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_\mathbb{R})^\circ$ Hence $\mathcal{T}(M)_e^\circ$ is a fiber bundle over $\mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_\mathbb{R})^\circ$ that factors as a hyperbolic space bundle over a holomorphic affine line bundle.*

Moreover, if $\kappa_P \in P$ is the unique positive multiple of the orthogonal projection of e in P with $\kappa_P \cdot \kappa_P = 2$, then the map

$$[P] \in \mathcal{T}(M)_e^\circ \mapsto \kappa_P \cdot e \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$$

is an $H(e)_\mathbb{R}$-torsor, with $H(e)_\mathbb{R}$ acting by means of Eichler transformations: $\gamma \in H(e)_\mathbb{R}$ acts as

$$E(e \wedge \gamma) : \kappa \in H_\mathbb{R} \mapsto \kappa + (\kappa \cdot e)\gamma - (\kappa \cdot \gamma)e - \tfrac{1}{2}(\gamma \cdot \gamma)(\kappa \cdot e)e \in H_\mathbb{R}$$

Proof. The first statement is clear. For the second, let K denote the set of $\kappa \in H_\mathbb{R}$ with $\kappa \cdot e > 0$ and $\kappa \cdot \kappa = 2$. If Π' is a positive 2-plane in $H(e)_\mathbb{R}$, then for every $\kappa \in K$, there is unique lift of Π' to a 2-plane $\Pi_\kappa \subset H_{e,\mathbb{R}}$ that is perpendicular to κ (see the proof of Lemma 4.2) so that then $h([\Pi_\kappa + \mathbb{R}\kappa]) = [\Pi']$. This identifies $h^{-1}[\Pi']$ with K and does so $H(e)_\mathbb{R}$-equivariantly. The assertion then boils down to saying that the function $\kappa \in K \mapsto \kappa \cdot e \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ makes K a $H(e)_\mathbb{R}$-torsor over $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$. This is left as an exercise.   □

Corollary 4.9. *The restriction of the commutative triangle of Theorem 4.1 to $\mathcal{T}(M)_e^\circ$ yields the universal bundle of marked integral genus one fibrations of a Kähler-Einstein K3-surface:*

$$\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{T}(M)_e^\circ} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{T}(M)_e^\circ}$$
$$\mathcal{T}(M)_e^\circ$$

It comes with a Γ_e-action. Taking the quotient by that action yields the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}$ of integral genus one fibrations of a Kähler-Einstein K3-surface.

The map $\mathcal{T}(M)_e^\circ \to \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_\mathbb{R}^\circ$ induces a map

$$(4.1) \qquad \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}} \cong \Gamma_e \backslash \mathcal{T}(M)_e^\circ \to \Gamma(e) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_\mathbb{R}^\circ \cong \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{ell}},$$

which factors as a $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \otimes H(e)$-torsor over $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{ell}} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and for which $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{ell}}$ defines a section of the torsor over $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{ell}} \times \{1\}$. In particular, the orbifold fundamental group of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}$ is a split extension of the orbifold fundamental group of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{ell}}$ by $H(e)$.

Proof. All these assertions follow from Proposition 4.8 except for the identification of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{ell}}$ as a section. For this recall that the Kähler class we assign an elliptically fibered structure on M with only integral fibers and fiber class e and section class σ is $\sigma + 3e$. Since $(\sigma + 3e) \cdot e = 1$, the locus $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^\ell$ defines a section of the torsor over $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{ell}} \times \{1\}$.   □

This proves Theorem 1.5.

5. The universal Jacobian fibration

Let X be a K3-surface endowed with holomorphic genus 1 fibration $\pi : X \to S$ with discriminant D. Recall from the introduction the associated Jacobian fibration $\mathrm{Jac}(\pi) \to S$. Concretely, the inclusion $\mathbb{Z}_X \subset O_X$ induces a map $R^1 f_* \mathbb{Z} \to R^1 f_* O_X$. The Jacobian fibration is over $S^\circ := S \smallsetminus \mathrm{supp}(D)$ given as the cokernel of this map (with the section given as the zero section) and this is all we need to know for what follows. We shall assume that the fiber class $f \in H^2(X)$ of π is primitive. This makes $f^\perp/\mathbb{Z}f$ free abelian and the intersection pairing induces a pairing on $f^\perp/\mathbb{Z}f$. It also inherits a Hodge structure from the one on $H^2(X)$ (as a subquotient) that is polarized by this pairing. Let us refer to this polarized Hodge structure as the *Leray-primitive subquotient of $H^2(X)$*.

Note that if we are given a section of π with class $\sigma \in H^2(X)$, then the Leray-primitive subquotient of $H^2(X)$ is naturally realized as a direct summand of $H^2(X)$, namely as the orthogonal complement $\mathbb{Z}\sigma + \mathbb{Z}f$. As σ

and f are of type $(1, 1)$, the Hodge structure on $H^2(X)$ is then completely encoded by the one on its Leray-primitive subquotient.

For our purpose, the key result we need is the following.

Proposition 5.1. *Assume that all the fibers of π are irreducible. Then the Leray-primitive subquotients of $H^2(\mathrm{Jac}(X/S))$ and $H^2(X)$ are canonically isomorphic as polarized Hodge structures.*

The importance of Proposition 5.1 is that it implies the following.

Theorem 5.2. *The natural map*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}} \cong \Gamma_e \backslash \mathcal{T}(M)_e^{\circ} \to \Gamma(e) \backslash \mathrm{Gr}_2^+(H(e)_{\mathbb{R}}^{\circ} \cong \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{ell}}$$

in Theorem 4.1 has the modular interpretation of passing to the Jacobian fibration. This is equivalent to passing to the Leray-primitive subquotient.

Proof. Indeed, if $\pi : M \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is a genus one fibration with fiber class e, then the polarized Hodge structure on $H^2(\mathrm{Jac}(\pi))$ of the Jacobian fibration splits as the span of the fiber class e and the section class (both are of type $(1, 1)$) and its perp. That perp is naturally identified with Leray-primitive subquotient $e^{\perp}/e$. The theorem follows.   □

Theorem 5.2 immediately implies Theorem 1.8. Proposition 5.1 will follow if we succeed in describing the Leray-primitive subquotient of $H^2(X)$ entirely in terms of the map $R^1 f_* \mathbb{Z} \to R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_X$. This is what we will do. It will be based on the Leray spectral sequence for π,

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(S, R^q \pi_* \mathbb{Z}) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X),$$

Proposition 5.1 then follows from Proposition 5.3 below.

Proposition 5.3. *The above Leray spectral sequence degenerates on the second page. Moreover,*

(1) *the Leray filtration on $H^2(X)$ is given by*

$$0 \subset \mathbb{Z}f \subset f^{\perp} \subset H^2(X)$$

with successive nonzero quotients $H^2(S) \cong \mathbb{Z}f$, $H^1(S, R^1 f_* \mathbb{Z}) \cong f^{\perp}/\mathbb{Z}f$ and $H^2(S, \pi_* \mathbb{Z}) \cong f^{\perp}/\mathbb{Z}f$,

(2) *the pairing on the Leray-primitive subquotient $f^{\perp}/\mathbb{Z}f$ is via its identification with $H^1(S, R^1 f_* \mathbb{Z})$ given by the cup product*

$$H^1(S, R^1 f_* \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^1(S, R^1 f_* \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(S, R^2 f_* \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z},$$

(3) *if we regard $f^{\perp}/\mathbb{Z}f$ as a subquotient of $H^2(X)$ in the category of Hodge structures, then the F^1 of its Hodge filtration is under this isomorphism equal to the kernel of the natural map*

$$H^1(S, R^1 f_* \mathbb{C}) \to H^1(S, R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_X).$$

Proof. The only possible nonzero differentials of the Leray sequence of π are

$$d_2^{0,1} : H^0(S, R^1\pi_*\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(S, \pi_*\mathbb{Z}) = H^2(S) \cong \mathbb{Z} \text{ and}$$

$$d_2^{0,2} : H^0(S, R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(S, R^1\pi_*\mathbb{Z}).$$

The kernel of $d_2^{0,1}$ contributes to $H^1(X)$ and the cokernel of $d_2^{0,2}$ contributes to $H^3(X)$. Since X is simply-connected, both cohomology groups are zero and hence these differentials are zero as well. So the Leray sequence degenerates.

The natural map $\pi^* : H^2(S) = H^2(S, \pi_*\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(X)$ is takes the generator of $H^2(S)$ to f and so $\mathbb{Z}f$ appears in the Leray filtration on $H^2(X)$. Since the fibers of π are all irreducible, $R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}$ is the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Z}$ on S and so $H^0(S, R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$. The natural map $H^2(X) \to H^0(S, R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is then given by integration over a fiber. Via Poincaré duality this is given by $a \in H^2(X) \mapsto a \cdot f$ and hence nonzero. This also shows that $f^\perp$ is a member of the Leray filtration on $H^2(X)$. It then follows that $H^1(S, R^1 f_*\mathbb{Z}) \cong f^\perp/\mathbb{Z}f$. This proves (1)

Assertion (2) is a general compatibility property of the Leray spectral sequence.

For (3) we note that $R^1\pi_*\mathbb{Z}|S^\circ$ is a polarized variation of Hodge structure of weight 1, with the nontrivial member of the Hodge filtration being given by the kernel of the natural map $O_S \otimes R^1\pi_*\mathbb{Z}) \to R^1\pi_*O_X$ restricted to S°. The theory of polarized variation of Hodge structures (see Cox-Zucker, [CZ] §1) affirms that then $H^1(S, R^1 f_*\mathbb{Z}_X)$ comes with a polarized Hodge structure of weight 2, which coincides the one that we get from $f^\perp/\mathbb{Z}f$ via its identification with $H^1(S, R^1 f_*\mathbb{Z}_X)$. □

5.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.6.**

We first confine ourselves to integral elliptic fibrations; the formation of the Jacobian will then enable us to lift our findings to the context of genus one fibrations.

An integral elliptic fibration admits a Weierstraß form. To be precise, fix a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$, denoted $F \to \mathbb{P}^1$, endowed with a section σ_0 with self-intersection -4 (this makes it a Hirzebruch surface; the given data determine its isomorphism class). The curves on F that have intersection number 3 with a fiber and 0 with σ_0 make up a linear system, so are parametrized by a projective space, and its smooth members define a Zariski-open subset that we shall denote by $\mathscr{B}$.

Let $B \in \mathscr{B}$. Then B and σ_0 will be disjoint and we can form the double cover $X_B \to F$ ramified over the union of σ_0 and B. This double cover comes endowed with maps $\pi : X_B \to F \to \mathbb{P}^1$ and a natural lift $\sigma : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X_B$ of σ_0 as well as with a (Galois) involution. This is in fact an integral elliptic fibration (the involution acts as minus the identity in each fiber). The fiber

$X_{B,s}$, $s \in \mathbb{P}^1$ is smooth, nodal or cuspidal according to whether B meets F_s in 3, 2 or 1 points.

Conversely all integral elliptic fibrations so arise up to isomorphism. This was Miranda's point of departure for the construction of his coarse moduli spaces of elliptic fibrations [M]: The group $\mathrm{Aut}(F)$ is an affine algebraic group which it automatically preserves the fibration and the section. It acts properly on $\mathscr{B}$ (so with finite isotropy groups) and if we divide out $\mathscr{B}$ by that action we recover $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{ell}}_{\mathrm{int}}$.

The condition that $X_{B,s}$ is a cuspidal fiber is equivalent to F_s meeting B in a point with multiplicity 3. This defines in $\mathscr{B}$ a closed, irreducible hypersurface $\mathscr{B}_c \subset \mathscr{B}$ whose generic point parametrizes the X_B' s that have precisely one such fiber. Let $j : \Delta \to \mathscr{B}$ be a holomorphic map from the complex unit disk such that $j^* \mathscr{B}_c = (0)$ as divisors. This defines a family $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to \Delta \times \mathbb{P}^1$ of integral elliptic fibrations. All singular fibers of $\mathcal{X} \to \Delta \times \mathbb{P}^1$ are nodal, save for one over $(0, s)$ for some $s \in \mathbb{P}^1$. If $o \in X_{0,s}$ is the cusp, then we find that after a suitable coordinate change on Δ and a choice of a suitable local coordinate u of $\mathbb{P}^1$ at s, this family takes near $(0, s)$ the simple Weierstraß form

$$y^2 = x^3 + tx + u$$

The critical points of π are given by $(x, y) = (\pm \sqrt{-t}, 0)$ on which π takes the value $s = \pm 2t \sqrt{-t}$. In other words, for $t \neq 0$, we find two nodal fibers over the point satisfying $s^2 = -4t^3$. If we let t traverse counterclockwise a circle $|t| = \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon > 0$ small, then the two critical values trace out the third power of a simple braid. This settles the analogue of Theorem 1.6 in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{ell}}_{\mathrm{int}}$.

Theorem 1.6 itself (i.e., the corresponding result for $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{int}}$), then follows via Corollary 4.9.

6. Applications to the mapping class group

Our results on moduli spaces have consequences for the mapping class group of M. In order to state these, let us first agree on the following terminology and notation. Noting that the group $\mathrm{Diff}(M) \times \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ acts on the set of genus one fibrations $\pi : M \to \mathbb{P}^1$ that have 24 nodal fibers

$$(h, h') \cdot \pi := h' \circ \pi \circ h^{-1},$$

then we say that (h, h') is an *automorphism of π* if (h, h') fixes π. Denote the stabilizer of π in $\mathrm{Diff}(M) \times \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ by $\mathrm{Diff}(\pi)$. If in addition h' is the identity (so that h is simply a diffeomorphism of M that preserves each fiber of π), then we say that h is an *automorphism over $\mathbb{P}^1$*. We denote the subgroup of such elements by $\mathrm{Diff}(M/\mathbb{P}^1)$. We denote the corresponding component groups accordingly by $\mathrm{Mod}(\pi)$ resp. $\mathrm{Mod}(M/\mathbb{P}^1)$.

So $\mathrm{Mod}(M/\mathbb{P}^1)$ is the kernel of the evident forgetful homomorphism from $\mathrm{Mod}(\pi)$ to the spherical braid group on 24 strands. Such mapping classes may arise as monodromies: if $\pi : M \to \mathbb{P}^1$ shows up as a fiber (over $o \in T$, say) in a family of genus one fibered K3 manifolds

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{U} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P} \\
& \searrow \quad \swarrow & \\
& T &
\end{array}
$$

where $\mathcal{P} \to T$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle, and the relative discriminant $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{P}$ is an unramified degree 24 cover of T, then such a family is locally trivial in the smooth category. So its structural group is $\mathrm{Diff}(M) \times \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ and the monodromy of this family is a homomorphism $\pi_1(T, o) \to \mathrm{Mod}(\pi)$. If the degree 24 cover is trivial, then a trivialization of that cover extends to $\mathcal{P}$ and hence we can take the structural group to be $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$. The monodromy group then takes its values in $\mathrm{Mod}(M/\mathbb{P}^1)$.

There is such a family over $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{nod}}$, in the sense of our conventions on moduli spaces in §1.6. The fact that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{nod}}$ is connected as a moduli space implies the following. The first part of the Corollary below is a special case of a result due to Moishezon ([FM], Cor. 7.5). The second assertion is central to this paper.

Corollary 6.1. *The group $\mathrm{Diff}(M) \times \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ acts transitively on the set of holomorphic genus one fibrations of M with primitive fiber class (the complex structure varying) with 24 singular fibers (necessarily all nodal).*

If $\pi : M \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is such a genus one fibration with fiber class e, then the image of $\mathrm{Diff}(\pi)$ in Γ under the map $\mathrm{Diff}(M) \to \Gamma \subset \mathrm{O}(H)^+$ is all of Γ_e.

6.1. **Fiber-preserving diffeomorphisms.** Recall that an *affine structure* on manifold is specified by an atlas whose coordinate changes are affine-linear and which is maximal for that property; this is equivalent to give a flat, torsion free connection on its tangent bundle.

We begin with the observation that if E is a closed genus 1 surface endowed with an affine structure, then the identity component of the automorphism group of E is isomorphic to the 2-torus $T^2 \cong (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^2$ for which E is a torsor. Denote that group by $\mathrm{Tr}(E)$ and refer to it as the *translation group* of E. A complex structure on E determines such an affine structure because there is then a unique flat metric compatible with the complex structure that gives that fiber unit volume.

The situation is similar for a once or twice-punctured 2-sphere: an affine structure makes such a surface a torsor of the identity component of its automorphism group, which is then isomorphic to the additive group resp. the multiplicative group of the complex field.

Let $\pi : M \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be a genus fibration with only nodal fibers as singular fibers and with discriminant D. The complex structure determines in each fiber an affine structure (depending smoothly on the base point). So the structure group of π, at least over $\mathbb{P}^1 \smallsetminus D$, is the semi-direct product $T^2 \rtimes$ $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. This determines a subgroup $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{aff}}(\pi) \subset \mathrm{Diff}(\pi)$ as the subgroup of fibration preserving diffeomorphisms that also preserve this fiberwise-affine structure. We put

$$\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{aff}}(M/\mathbb{P}^1) := \mathrm{Diff}(M/\mathbb{P}^1) \cap \mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{aff}}(\pi),$$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(M/\mathbb{P}^1) := \{\text{fiberwise translations}\}$$

Note that $\mathrm{Tr}(M/\mathbb{P}^1)$ is an abelian subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{aff}}^+(M/\mathbb{P}^1)$ that is normal in $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{aff}}^+(\pi)$. We call its connected component group the *smooth Mordell-Weil* group of π, denoting it

$$\mathrm{MW}(M/\mathbb{P}^1) := \pi_0(\mathrm{Tr}(M/\mathbb{P}^1)).$$

We could also include fiberwise involutions (acting as minus the identity in a 2-torus). Since these exist globally, this gives us a semi-direct product $\mathrm{Tr}(M/\mathbb{P}^1) \rtimes \mu_2$ contained in $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{aff}}(M/\mathbb{P}^1)$. We have a corresponding semi-direct product $\mathrm{MW}(M/\mathbb{P}^1) \rtimes \mu_2$ in $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{aff}}(M/\mathbb{P}^1)$.

For any of the other diffeomorphism groups, its connected component group will be denoted in the usual manner (replace Diff by Mod).

If $\pi : M \to \mathbb{P}^1$ appears as a member of a family $\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{P} \to T$ of such fibrations whose discriminant is locally constant, then the monodromy defines an element of $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{aff}}(\pi) = \pi_0(\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{aff}}(\pi))$.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $\pi : M \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be a genus one fibration with primitive fiber class e and 24 singular, nodal fibers. Then the action of $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{aff}}(\pi)$ on $H = H^2(M)$ has image Γ_e.*

Moreover, the smooth Mordell-Weil group $\mathrm{MW}(M/\mathbb{P}^1)$ maps onto the unipotent radical $R_u(\Gamma_e)$ of Γ_e (which we recall, can be identified with the rank 20 lattice $H(e) = e^\perp/\mathbb{Z}e$) and there is a Nielsen realization for this subgroup in the sense that the map $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{aff}}^+(M/\mathbb{P}^1) \to \mathrm{MW}(M/\mathbb{P}^1)$ admits a section homomorphism. This section extends to the semidirect product with μ_2 giving a group homomorphism $R_u(\Gamma_e) \rtimes \mu_2 \to \mathrm{Diff}(M/\mathbb{P}^1)$.

Proof. The first part of the theorem follows from Theorem 4.1.

For the proof of the second assertion, we invoke part of Theorem 1.8. We here focus on the fiber of the universal Jacobian that contains our (given) $\pi : M \to \mathbb{P}^1$. This is a $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes H(e)$ torsor over the ray $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ whose geometric monodromy is a copy of $H(e)$ contained in $\mathrm{Tr}(M/\mathbb{P}^1)$. So this defines a section as desired. The choice of a section of $M \to \mathbb{P}^1$ extends this to the semidirect product with μ_2. $\qquad\square$

References

[B]   C. Borcea: *Diffeomorphisms of a K3 surface,* Math. Ann. **275** (1986), 1–4. 6

[CZ]   D. Cox, S. M. Zucker: *Intersection numbers of sections of elliptic surfaces* Invent. Math. **53** (1979), 1–44. 27

[D]   S. K. Donaldson: *The orientation of Yang-Mills moduli spaces and 4-manifold topology,* J. Differential Geom. **26** (1987), 397–428. 2

[EE]   C. J. Earle, J. Eells: *A fibre bundle description of Teichmüller theory,* J. Diff. Geom. **3** (1969), 19–43.

[FL]   B. Farb, E. Looijenga: *The Nielsen realization problem for K3 surfaces,* to appear in J. Diff. Geom.

[FM]   R. Friedman, J.W. Morgan: *Smooth four-manifolds and complex surfaces,* Erg. d. Math. u. ihrer Grenzgeb. 3e Folge **27**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1994). 9, 29

[HL]   G. Heckman, E. Looijenga: *The moduli space of rational elliptic surfaces,* Algebraic geometry 2000, Azumino (Hotaka), 185–248, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., **36**, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2002. 11

[HKLR] N. Hitchin, A. Karlhede, U. Lindström, M. Roček: *Hyper-Kähler metrics and supersymmetry,* Comm. Math. Phys. **108** (1987), 535–589. 3

[Lö]   M. Lönne, π_1 *of Miranda moduli spaces of elliptic surfaces,* Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. **15** (2022), 277–303. 5, 11, 20

[Loo] E. Looijenga, *Teichmüller spaces and Torelli theorems for hyperkähler manifolds.* Math. Z. **298** (2021), 261–279. 12, 13

[LP]   E. Looijenga, C. Peters: *Torelli theorems for Kähler K3 surfaces,* Compositio Math. **42** (1980/81),145–186. 14, 15, 16

[M]   R. Miranda: *The moduli of Weierstrass fibrations over* $\mathbb{P}^1$, Math. Ann. **255** (1981), 379–394. 28

Dept. of Mathematics, University of Chicago
bensonfarb@gmail.com
e.j.n.looijenga@uu.nl