

# Corridor Variance Swap

Roger Lee  
University of Chicago

December 29, 2008

A corridor variance swap, with corridor  $C$ , on an underlying  $Y$  is a *weighted variance swap* on  $X := \log Y$  (unless otherwise specified), with weight given by the corridor's indicator function:

$$w(y) := \mathbb{I}_{y \in C}. \quad (1)$$

For example, one may define an *up*-variance swap by taking  $C = (H, \infty)$ , and a *down*-variance swap by taking  $C = (0, H)$ , for some agreed  $H$ .

In practice, the corridor variance swap monitors  $Y$  discretely, typically daily, for some number of periods  $N$ , annualizes by a factor such as  $252/N$ , and multiplies by notional, for a total payoff

$$\text{Notional} \times \text{Annualization} \times \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{I}_{Y_n \in C} \left( \log \frac{Y_n}{Y_{n-1}} \right)^2. \quad (2)$$

If the contract makes dividend adjustments (as typical for contracts on single stocks but not on indices), then the term inside the parentheses becomes  $\log((Y_n + D_n)/Y_{n-1})$ , where  $D_n$  denotes the dividend payment, if any, of the  $n$ th period.

Corridor variance swaps accumulate only the variance that occurs while price is in the corridor. The buyer therefore pays less than the cost of a full variance swap. Among the possible motivations for a volatility investor to accept this trade-off, and to buy up (or down) variance are the following. First, the investor may be bullish (bearish) on  $Y$ . Second, the investor may have the view that the market's downward volatility skew is too steep (flat), making down-variance expensive (cheap) relative to up-variance. Third, the investor may be seeking to hedge a short volatility position that worsens as  $Y$  increases (decreases).

## Model-free replication and valuation

The continuously-monitored corridor variance swap admits model-free replication by a static position in options and dynamic trading of shares, under conditions specified in the *weighted variance swap* article, which include all positive continuous semimartingale share prices  $Y$  under deterministic interest rates and proportional dividends.

Explicitly, one replicates using that article's (7), with payoff derived in [3]:

$$\lambda(y) = \int_{K \in C} \frac{2}{K^2} \text{Van}(y, K) dK, \quad (3)$$

where  $\text{Van}(y, K) := (K - y)^+ \mathbb{I}_{K < \kappa} + (y - K)^+ \mathbb{I}_{K > \kappa}$  for an arbitrary put/call separator  $\kappa$ .

Therefore, in the case that the interest rate equals the dividend yield (otherwise, see the weighted variance swap article), a replicating portfolio statically holds  $2/K^2 dK$  out-of-the-money vanilla calls or puts at each strike  $K$  in the corridor  $C$ . The corridor variance swap model-independently has the same initial value as this portfolio of Europeans. Additionally, the replication strategy trades shares dynamically according to a “zero-vol” delta-hedge, meaning that its share holding equals the negative of what would be the European portfolio's delta under zero volatility.

For corridors of the type  $C = (0, H)$  or  $C = (H, \infty)$  where  $H > 0$ , taking  $\kappa := H$  in (3) yields

$$\lambda(y) = (-2 \log(y/H) + 2y/H - 2) \mathbb{I}_{y \in C}. \quad (4)$$

This  $\lambda$ , with  $H$  chosen arbitrarily, is also valid for the variance swap  $C = (0, \infty)$ .

## Further properties

1. For a small interval  $C = (a, b)$ , the corridor variance swap approximates a contract on local time, in the following sense. Corridor variance satisfies

$$V_T^{(a,b)} := \int_0^T \mathbb{I}_{X_t \in (\log a, \log b)} d\langle X \rangle_t = \int_{\log a}^{\log b} L_T^x dx,$$

by the occupation time formula, where  $L_T^x$  denotes (an  $x$ -cadlag modification of) the *local time* of  $X$ . Therefore, at any point  $a$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\log b - \log a} V_T^{(a,b)} \longrightarrow L_T^a, \quad \text{as } b \downarrow a.$$

2. Corridor variance can arise from imperfect replication of variance. The replicating portfolio for a standard variance swap holds options at all strikes  $K \in (0, \infty)$ . In practice, not all of those strikes actually trade. If we truncate the portfolio to hold only the strikes in some interval  $C$ , then the resulting value does not price a full variance swap but rather a  $C$ -corridor variance swap. (Moreover, in practice not even an interval of strikes actually trade, but rather a finite set, which can replicate instead a strike-to-strike notion of corridor variance, as shown in [1].)
3. In the case  $C = (H, \infty)$  where  $H > 0$ , we rewrite (4) as

$$\lambda(y) = \frac{2}{H} (y - H)^+ - 2(\log y - \log H)^+.$$

Thus the replicating portfolio is long calls on  $Y_T$  and short calls on  $\log Y_T$ .

Let  $F_{X_T}$  be the characteristic function of  $X_T = \log Y_T$ . Then techniques in [4] and [5] price the calls on  $Y_T$  and  $\log Y_T$  respectively. Specifically, assuming zero interest rates and dividends, we have the following semi-explicit formula for the corridor variance swap's fair strike:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\lambda(Y_T) - \lambda(Y_0) &= \frac{2}{H\pi} \int_{0-\alpha i}^{\infty-\alpha i} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{F_{X_T}(z-i)}{iz-z^2} e^{-iz \log H}\right) dz \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0-\beta i}^{\infty-\beta i} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{F_{X_T}(z)}{z^2} e^{-iz \log H}\right) dz - \lambda(Y_0), \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

for arbitrary positive  $\alpha, \beta$  such that  $\alpha + 1, \beta < \sup\{p : \mathbb{E}Y_T^p < \infty\}$ .

In the case  $C = (0, \infty)$ , equation (4) implies the fair strike formula

$$\mathbb{E}\lambda(Y_T) - \lambda(Y_0) = -2\mathbb{E}\log(Y_T/Y_0) = 2iF'_{X_T}(0) + 2\log Y_0. \tag{6}$$

In the case  $C = (H_1, H_2)$  where  $0 \leq H_1 < H_2$ , subtract the formula for  $C = (H_2, \infty)$  from the formula for  $C = (H_1, \infty)$ .

In the case of nonzero interest rates or dividends, add to (5) a correction involving payoffs at all expiries in  $(0, T)$ , as specified in *weighted variance swap* article's (7a); and in (6) replace the  $Y_0$  by the forward price.

4. With discrete monitoring, the question arises, how to define up-variance and down-variance, and in particular how much variance to recognize, given a discrete move that takes  $Y$  across  $H$ . Definition (2) recognizes the full square of each move that ends in the corridor. Alternatively, the contract specifications in [2] treat the movements of  $Y$  across  $H$  by recognizing a fraction of the squared move. The fraction is defined in a way that admits approximate discrete hedging, in the sense that the time-discretized implementation of the continuous replication strategy has in each period a hedging error of only third-order in that period's return.

## References

- [1] Peter Carr and Roger Lee. From hyper options to variance swaps. Bloomberg LP and University of Chicago, 2008.
- [2] Peter Carr and Keith Lewis. Corridor variance swaps. *Risk*, 17(2):67–72, 2004.
- [3] Peter Carr and Dilip Madan. Towards a theory of volatility trading. In R. Jarrow, editor, *Volatility*, pages 417–427. Risk Publications, 1998.
- [4] Peter Carr and Dilip Madan. Option valuation using the fast Fourier transform. *Journal of Computational Finance*, 3:463–520, 1999.
- [5] Roger Lee. Option pricing by transform methods: Extensions, unification, and error control. *Journal of Computational Finance*, 7(3):51–86, 2004.