

# Gamma Swap

Roger Lee  
University of Chicago

December 29, 2008

A gamma swap on an underlying  $Y$  is a *weighted variance swap* on  $\log Y$ , with weight function

$$w(y) := y/Y_0. \quad (1)$$

In practice, the gamma swap monitors  $Y$  discretely, typically daily, for some number of periods  $N$ , annualizes by a factor such as  $252/N$ , and multiplies by notional, for a total payoff

$$\text{Notional} \times \text{Annualization} \times \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{Y_n}{Y_0} \left( \log \frac{Y_n}{Y_{n-1}} \right)^2. \quad (2)$$

If the contract makes dividend adjustments (as typical for single-stock gamma swaps but not index gamma swaps), then the term inside the parentheses becomes  $\log((Y_n + D_n)/Y_{n-1})$ , where  $D_n$  denotes the dividend payment, if any, of the  $n$ th period.

Gamma swaps allow investors to acquire variance exposures proportional to the underlying level. One application is dispersion trading of a basket's volatility against its components' single-name volatilities; as a component's value increases, so does its proportion of the total basket value, and hence so does the desired volatility exposure of the single-name contract; this variable exposure to volatility is provided by gamma swaps, according to point 1 below. A second application is to trade the volatility skew; for example, to express a view that the skew slopes too steeply downward, the investor can go long a gamma swap and short a variance swap, to create a weighting  $y/Y_0 - 1$ , which is short downside variance and long upside variance. A third application is to trade single-stock variance without the caps often embedded in variance swaps to protect the seller from crash risk; in a gamma swap, the weighting inherently dampens the downside variance, so caps are typically regarded as unnecessary.

## Model-free replication and valuation

The continuously-monitored gamma swap admits model-free replication by a static position in options and dynamic trading of shares, under conditions specified in the *weighted variance swap* article, which include all positive continuous semimartingale share prices  $Y$  under deterministic interest rates and proportional dividends.

Explicitly, one replicates by using that article's (7), with payoff function

$$\lambda(y) = \frac{2}{Y_0} \left[ y \log(y/\kappa) - y + \kappa \right] = \int_0^\infty \frac{2}{Y_0 K} \text{Van}(y, K) dK, \quad (3)$$

where  $\text{Van}(y, K) := (K - y)^+ \mathbb{I}_{K < \kappa} + (y - K)^+ \mathbb{I}_{K > \kappa}$  for an arbitrary put/call separator  $\kappa$ . Forms of this payoff were derived in, for instance, [2] and [3].

Therefore, in the case that the interest rate equals the dividend yield (otherwise, see the weighted variance swap article), a replicating portfolio statically holds  $2/(Y_0 K) dK$  out-of-the-money vanilla calls or puts at each strike  $K$ . The gamma swap model-independently has the same initial value as this portfolio of Europeans. Additionally, the replication strategy trades shares dynamically according to a “zero-vol” delta-hedge, meaning that its share holding equals the negative of what would be the European portfolio's delta under zero volatility.

## Further properties

Points 2–5 follow from (3). Point 1 uses only the definition (1).

1. For an index  $Y_t := \sum_{j=1}^J \theta_j Y_{j,t}$ , let  $\alpha_{j,t} := \theta_j Y_{j,t}/Y_t$  be the fraction of total index value due to the quantity  $\theta_j$  of the  $j$ th component  $Y_{j,t}$ . Define the cumulative *dispersion*  $D_t$  by

$$dD_t = \sum_{j=1}^J \alpha_{j,t} d[\log Y_j]_t - d[\log Y]_t.$$

Then going long  $\alpha_{j,0}$  gamma swaps (non-dividend-adjusted) on each  $Y_j$  and short a gamma swap on  $Y$  creates the payoff

$$\sum_{j=1}^J \alpha_{j,0} \int_0^T \frac{Y_{j,t}}{Y_{j,0}} d[\log Y_j]_t - \int_0^T \frac{Y_t}{Y_0} d[\log Y]_t = \int_0^T \frac{Y_t}{Y_0} dD_t,$$

as noted in [2]. Hence a static combination of gamma swaps produces cumulative index-weighted dispersion.

2. By Corollary 2.7 in [1], if the implied volatility smile is symmetric in log-moneyness, and the dividend yield equals the interest rate ( $q_t = r_t$ ), and there are no discrete dividends, then a gamma swap has the same value as a variance swap.
3. Assuming that  $Y_T = Y_t R_{t,T}$  for all  $t$ , where the time- $t$  conditional distribution of each  $R_{t,T}$  does not depend on  $Y_t$ , the gamma swap has time- $t$  gamma equal to a discounting/dividend-dependent factor times the risk-neutral expectation

$$\frac{2}{Y_0} \mathbb{E}_t \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \Big|_{y=Y_t} y R_{t,T} \log(y R_{t,T}) \right) = \frac{2 \mathbb{E}_t R_{t,T}}{Y_0 Y_t}.$$

Therefore its *share gamma*, defined to be  $Y_t$  times the gamma, does not depend on  $Y_t$ . This property motivates the term *gamma swap*.

4. Within the family of weight functions proportional to  $w(y) = y^n$ , the gamma swap takes  $n = 1$ . In that sense, the gamma swap is intermediate between the usual logarithmic variance swap (which takes  $n = 0$ ) and an arithmetic variance swap (which, in effect, takes  $n = 2$ ).

Expressed in terms of put and call holdings, the replicating portfolios in these three cases hold, at each strike  $K$ , a quantity proportional to  $K^{n-2}$ . The gamma swap  $O(1/K)$  is intermediate between logarithmic variance  $O(1/K^2)$  and arithmetic variance  $O(1)$ .

5. Let  $F_{X_t}$  be the characteristic function of  $X_t := \log Y_t$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}Y_t \log Y_t = -iF'_{X_t}(-i).$$

Gamma swap valuations are therefore directly computable in continuous models for which  $F_{X_t}$  is known, such as the Heston model.

## References

- [1] Peter Carr and Roger Lee. Put-call symmetry: Extensions and applications. *Mathematical Finance*. Forthcoming.
- [2] Nicolas Mougeot. Variance swaps and beyond. BNP Paribas, 2005.
- [3] Marcus Overhaus, Ana Bermúdez, Hans Buehler, Andrew Ferraris, Christopher Jorinson, and Aziz Lamnouar. *Equity Hybrid Derivatives*. John Wiley & Sons, 2007.